

Section 3 Worksheet Solutions

April 18, 2013

Proof with Even and Odd

Premises: $\forall x \neg(\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(x))$, $\forall x(\text{Even}(x) \rightarrow \text{Even}(x^2))$, $\forall x(\text{Even}(x) \vee \text{Odd}(x))$

Conclusion: $\forall x(\text{Odd}(x^2) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x))$

Proof with Even and Odd

- | | |
|---|--|
| (1) $\forall x(\text{Even}(x) \rightarrow \text{Even}(x^2))$ | Premise |
| (2) $\text{Even}(a) \rightarrow \text{Even}(a^2)$ | \forall elim., a arbitrary |
| (3) $\neg \text{Even}(a^2) \rightarrow \neg \text{Even}(a)$ | Contrapositive of (2) |
| (4) $\forall x(\text{Odd}(x) \vee \text{Even}(x))$ | Premise |
| (5) $\text{Odd}(a) \vee \text{Even}(a)$ | \forall elim. |
| (6) $\neg \text{Even}(a), \therefore \text{Odd}(a)$ | Disjunctive Syllogism |
| (7) $\text{Odd}(a^2) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(a)$ | Applying (6) to (3)
(similar argument for a^2) |
| (8) $\forall x(\text{Odd}(x^2) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x))$ | \forall intro. |

Proof Practice with Predicate Logic

1. Premises: $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x))), \forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$

Conclusion: $\forall x(R(x) \wedge S(x))$

(1) $\forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$

Premise

(2) $P(a) \wedge R(a)$

\forall elimination, a arb.

(3) $\therefore P(a), R(a)$

Simplification

(4) $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$

Premise

(5) $P(a) \rightarrow (Q(a) \wedge S(a))$

\forall elimination

(6) $P(a), \therefore (Q(a) \wedge S(a))$

Modus ponens

(7) $\therefore Q(a), S(a)$

Simplification

Proof Practice with Predicate Logic

(1) $\forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$	Premise
(2) $P(a) \wedge R(a)$	\forall elimination, a arb.
(3) $\therefore P(a), R(a)$	Simplification
(4) $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$	Premise
(5) $P(a) \rightarrow (Q(a) \wedge S(a))$	\forall elimination
(6) $P(a), \therefore (Q(a) \wedge S(a))$	Modus ponens
(7) $\therefore Q(a), S(a)$	Simplification
(8) $R(a), S(a) \therefore R(a) \wedge S(a)$	Conjunction on (3) and (7)
(9) $\forall x(R(x) \wedge S(x))$	\forall introduction

Proof Practice with Predicate Logic

2. Premises: $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x)), \forall x(\neg Q(x) \vee S(x)),$
 $\forall x(R(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x)), \exists x\neg P(x)$

Conclusion: $\exists x\neg R(x)$

- | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| (1) $\exists x\neg P(x)$ | Premise |
| (2) $\neg P(c)$ | \exists elimination, specific c |
| (3) $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$ | Premise |
| (4) $P(c) \vee Q(c)$ | \forall elimination |
| (5) $\neg P(c) \wedge (P(c) \vee Q(c))$ | Conjunction of (2), (4) |
| (6) $\therefore Q(c)$ | Disjunctive Syllogism |
| (7) $\forall x(\neg Q(x) \vee S(x))$ | Premise |

Proof Practice with Predicate Logic

(5) $\neg P(c) \wedge (P(c) \vee Q(c))$	Conjunction of (2), (4)
(6) $\therefore Q(c)$	Disjunctive Syllogism
(7) $\forall x(\neg Q(x) \vee S(x))$	Premise
(8) $\neg Q(c) \vee S(c)$	\forall elim.
(9) $Q(c) \wedge (\neg Q(c) \vee S(c))$	Conjunction on (6), (8)
(10) $\therefore S(c)$	Disjunctive syllogism
(11) $\forall x(R(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x))$	Premise
(12) $R(c) \rightarrow \neg S(c)$	\forall elim.
(13) $S(c) \rightarrow \neg R(c)$	Contrapositive
(14) $S(c), \therefore \neg R(c)$	Modus Ponens ($S(c)$ true from (10))

Proof Practice with Predicate Logic

(11) $\forall x(R(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x))$

Premise

(12) $R(c) \rightarrow \neg S(c)$

\forall elim.

(13) $S(c) \rightarrow \neg R(c)$

Contrapositive

(14) $S(c), \therefore \neg R(c)$

Modus Ponens ($S(c)$ true from (10))

(15) $\exists x \neg R(x)$

\exists introduction

Notes on Previous Slides

- Note on \forall elimination: When eliminating \forall , you use an arbitrary value in the domain (what we call a in the previous proofs). Later on, when I eliminate \forall from other premises, I pick that *same* arbitrary a so that I can combine the statements I've derived. You can introduce \forall again as long as a is still arbitrary (that is, you haven't combined it with an expression that involved an \exists – see next slide) .

Notes on Previous Slides

- Note on \exists elimination: When eliminating \exists , you need to remember that you are picking a *specific* value in the domain (this is c in our previous proofs). Also, when I eliminate \forall in part 2 of the second problem, note that I chose c again so that I can combine the two expressions I've derived. This is allowed since \forall implies the expression is true for *every* value in the domain, including c . However, note that you can't introduce \forall from this, since c is still the specific value that we chose when eliminating \exists .

English Proof Practice

Prove: If $n=ab$ for positive a,b , then $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ or $b \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Note: Not easy to see how to directly derive $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ or $b \leq \sqrt{n}$ from $n=ab$. Therefore we will use a proof by contraposition:
 $\neg Q(x) \rightarrow \neg P(x)$ instead of $P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$.

$P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$: $(n=ab \text{ for positive } a,b) \rightarrow (a \leq \sqrt{n} \text{ or } b \leq \sqrt{n})$

English Proof Practice

Proof: Assume $\neg(a \leq \sqrt{n} \vee b \leq \sqrt{n})$.

Then $a > \sqrt{n}$ and $b > \sqrt{n}$ by DeMorgan's Law.

Next, multiplying and noting that a and b are both positive (and thus our greater than sign does not get flipped), we get $ab > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$.

So $ab > n$ and thus $ab \neq n$, which is $\neg(ab = n)$.

We have shown $\neg(a \leq \sqrt{n} \vee b \leq \sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \neg(ab = n)$, which is the contrapositive of $(ab = n) \rightarrow (a \leq \sqrt{n} \vee b \leq \sqrt{n})$, which is what we were trying to show. ■