

Section 04: Solutions

1. Divisibility

(a) Circle the statements below that are true. Recall for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$: $a \mid b$ if and only if $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b = ka$.

- (i) $1 \mid 3$
- (ii) $3 \mid 1$
- (iii) $2 \mid 2018$
- (iv) $-2 \mid 12$
- (v) $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \mid 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$

Solution:

- (i) True
- (ii) False
- (iii) True
- (iv) True
- (v) True

(b) Circle the statements below that are true. Recall for $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m > 0$: $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $m \mid (a - b)$.

- (i) $-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$
- (ii) $0 \equiv 9000 \pmod{9}$
- (iii) $44 \equiv 13 \pmod{7}$
- (iv) $-58 \equiv 707 \pmod{5}$
- (v) $58 \equiv 707 \pmod{5}$

Solution:

- (i) True
- (ii) True
- (iii) False
- (iv) True
- (v) False

2. Just The Setup

For each of these statements,

- Translate the sentence into predicate logic.
- Write the first few sentences and last few sentences of the English proof.

- (a) The product of an even integer and an odd integer is even.

Solution:

$$\forall x \forall y ([\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)] \rightarrow \text{Even}(xy))$$

Let x be an arbitrary even integer and let y be an arbitrary odd integer.

...

So xy is even.

Since x, y were arbitrary, we have that the product of an even integer with an odd integer is always even.

- (b) There is an integer x s.t. $x^2 > 10$ and $3x$ is even.

Solution:

$$\exists x [\text{GreaterThan10}(x^2) \wedge \text{Even}(3x)]$$

Consider $x = 6$.

...

So $6^2 > 10$ and $3 \cdot 6$ is even.

Hence, 6 is the desired x .

- (c) For every integer n , there is a prime number p greater than n .

Solution:

$$\forall x \exists y [\text{Prime}(y) \wedge \text{GreaterThan}(y, x)]$$

Let x be an arbitrary integer.

Consider $y = p$ (this p is a specific prime).

...

So p is prime and $p > x$.

Since x was arbitrary, we have that every integer has a prime number that is greater than it.

3. Modular Arithmetic

- (a) Prove that if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, where a and b are integers greater than 0, then $a = b$ or $a = -b$. **Solution:**

Suppose that $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, where a, b are arbitrary integers greater than 0. By the definition of divides, we have $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ and $b = ka, a = jb$ for some integers k, j . Substituting this equation, we see that $a = j(ka)$.

Then, dividing both sides by a , we get $1 = jk$. So, $\frac{1}{j} = k$. Note that j and k are integers, which is only possible if $j, k \in \{1, -1\}$. Since a and b were arbitrary, it follows that $b = -a$ or $b = a$,

- (b) Prove that if $n \mid m$, where n and m are integers greater than 1, and if $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where a and b are integers, then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Solution:

Let n and m be arbitrary integers.

Suppose $n \mid m$ with $n, m > 1$, and $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$. By definition of divides, we have $m = kn$ for some

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition of congruence, we have $m \mid a - b$, which means that $a - b = mj$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Combining the two equations, we see that $a - b = (knj) = n(kj)$. By definition of congruence, we have $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, as required. Since n and m were arbitrary, the claim holds.

4. Become a Mod God

Prove from definitions that for integers a, b, c, d and positive integer m , if $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{m}$.

Solution:

Let a, b, c, d be arbitrary integers, and let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Suppose that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$. Then by the definition of congruence, $m \mid (a - b)$ and $m \mid (c - d)$.

By the definition of divides, there exist integers k and j such that $a - b = km$ and $c - d = jm$. Subtracting the second equation from the first, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(a - b) - (c - d) &= km - jm \\ a - b - c + d &= (k - j)m \\ (a - c) - (b - d) &= (k - j)m\end{aligned}$$

Then by the definition of divides, $m \mid (a - c) - (b - d)$. Then by the definition of congruence, $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{m}$, as desired.

Since a, b, c, d , and m were arbitrary the claim holds.

5. Fair and Square

(a) Prove that for all integers n , $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. **Solution:**

Let n be an arbitrary integer. We will argue by cases.

Case 1: n is even. Then $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Then $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$. Since k is an integer, k^2 is an integer. So n^2 is 4 times an integer. Then by definition of divides, $4 \mid n^2 - 0$. Then by definition of congruence, $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Since $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, it follows that $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Case 2: n is odd. Then $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . Then $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 4(k^2 + k) + 1$. So $n^2 - 1 = 4(k^2 + k)$. Since k is an integer, $k^2 + k$ is an integer. So $n^2 - 1$ is 4 times an integer. Then by definition of divides, $4 \mid n^2 - 1$. Then by definition of congruence, $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, it follows that $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Thus in all cases, $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since n was arbitrary, the claim holds.

6. Even Numbers, Odd Results!

For any integer j , if $3j + 1$ is even, then j is odd

(a) Write the predicate logic of this claim

Odd(x) := x is $2k + 1$, for some integer k

Even(x) := x is $2k$, for some integer k

Solution:

$$\forall j (\text{Even}(3j + 1) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(j))$$

- (b) Write the contrapositive of this claim

Solution:

For any integer j , if j is even, $3k+1$ is odd
 $\forall j (\text{Even}(j) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(3j + 1))$

- (c) Determine which claim is easier to prove, then prove it! **Solution:**

we will prove the contrapositive of this claim

Let j be an arbitrary even integer.

By the definition of even $j = 2k$ for some integer k

Then by Algebra, $3j + 1 = 3(2k) + 1 = 2(3k) + 1$

Since k is an integer, under closure of multiplication, $3k$ is an integer

Therefore $2(3k) + 1$ takes the form of an odd integer so $3j + 1$ must be odd Since j was arbitrary and we have shown the contrapositive, the claim holds

7. The Trifecta

Consider the following proposition: For each integer a , if 3 divides a^2 , then 3 divides a

- (a) Write the contrapositive of this proposition as a sentence:

Solution:

If 3 does not divide a then 3 does not divide a^2

- (b) Prove the proposition by proving its contrapositive.

Hint: Consider using cases based on the Division Algorithm using the remainder for “division by 3.” There will be two cases! **Solution:**

we will prove the contrapositive of this claim

Let a be an arbitrary integer such that 3 does not divide a .

If a is not divisible by 3, it can have a remainder of either 1 or 2

Case 1: $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

a can be expressed as an integer with remainder 1 as: $a = 3k + 1, a = 3k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Similarly, we define a^2 as $a \cdot a = (3k + 1) \cdot (3k + 1) = 9k^2 + 6k + 1 = 3(3k^2 + 2k) + 1$ where $3k^2 + 2k$ is an integer under closure of addition and multiplication such that we produce an integer that is not divisible by 3.

Case 2: $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

a can be expressed as an integer with remainder 2 as: $a = 3k + 2, a = 3k + 2, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Similarly, we define a^2 as $a \cdot a = (3k + 2) \cdot (3k + 2) = 9k^2 + 12k + 4 = 9k^2 + 12k + 3 + 1 = 3(3k^2 + 4k + 1) + 1$ where $3k^2 + 4k + 1$ is an integer under closure of addition and multiplication such that we produce an integer that is not divisible by 3.

In either case for integer a , we see that 3 does not divide a^2 and results in a remainder of 1.

Since a was arbitrary, and we have demonstrated the contrapositive, the claim holds