## Using the pumping lemma Atri Rudra Apr 21

```
Announcements

Turn in H/W # 3
Handouts
H/W #4
Handout on Myhill-Nerode theorem
Next lecture
Solns to # 2, if you did not pick up one last time
Graded H/W # 2 at the end of the lecture
No puzzle today
```

```
Statement of the pumping lemma

• If L is regular then

• \exists integer p \ge 1

• \forall strings s \in L with |s| \ge p

• \exists strings x,y,z satisfying s=xyz with

• |xy| \le p

• |y| > 0

• \forall integer i \ge 0, xy^iz \in L
```

```
The "proof"

■ L is regular

■ L is accepted by a DFA with (say) p states

■ Consider any string s in L with |s| ≥ p

■ The "walk" in the DFA must contain a cycle

■ Repeating the cycle arbitrary number of times will give new strings that are also in L
```

```
Contrapositive of implication

• A \Rightarrow B is same as \neg B \Rightarrow \neg A

• Recall:

• \neg (\forall x \ A(x)) is same as \exists x \ (\neg A(x))

• \neg (\exists x \ B(x)) is same as \forall x \ (\neg B(x))
```

```
Contrapositive of the pumping lemma
If L is regular then
                                  If
   □ ∃ integer p≥ 1

□ ∀ integer p≥ 1

   □ \forall strings s \in L with |s| \ge
                                    □ \exists string s \in L with |s| \ge p
                                    □ ∀ strings x,y,z satisfying
  □ ∃ strings x,y,z satisfying
                                       s=xyz with
     s=xyz with
                                       |xy|≤ p
     |xy|≤ p
                                       ■ |y| > 0
     ■ |y| > 0
                                     ☐ ∃ integer i≥ 0, xy<sup>i</sup>z not in
   □ \forall integer i \ge 0, xy^iz in L
                                  then L is not regular
A. Rudra, CSE322
```











