Section 7: Midterm

Slides by Vinod Rathnam and Geoffrey Liu

(with material from Alex Mariakakis, Kellen Donohue, David Mailhot, and Hal Perkins)

Midterm review

Midterm topics

Reasoning about code

Specification vs. Implementation

Abstract Data Types (ADTs)

Testing

Subtypes & subclasses

Exceptions & assertions

Identity & equality

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{_____________________}
z = x + y;
{_____________________}
y = z - 3;
{x > y}
```

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{_____________________}
z = x + y;
{x > z – 3}
y = z – 3;
{x > y}
```

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{x > x + y – 3 => y < 3}
z = x + y;
{x > z – 3}
y = z – 3;
{x > y}
```

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{_____________________}
p = a + b;
{_____________________}
q = a - b;
{p + q = 42}
```

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{_____________________}
p = a + b;
{p + a - b = 42}
q = a - b;
{p + q = 42}
```

Reasoning about code 1

Using backwards reasoning, find the weakest precondition for each sequence of statements and postcondition below. Insert appropriate assertions in each blank line. You should simplify your answers if possible.

```
{a + b + a – b = 42 ⇒ a = 21}
p = a + b;
{p + a - b = 42}
q = a - b;
{p + q = 42}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

I.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Another way to ask the question:

If the client does not know the implementation, will the method do what the client expects it to do based on the specification?

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ does exactly what the spec says

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

I.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ does exactly what the spec says

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

I.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ does exactly what the spec says

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ Method never throws an exception

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

I.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

II.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (balance >= amount) balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

II.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (balance >= amount) balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

II.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (balance >= amount) balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ Method never throws an exception

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

II.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (balance >= amount) balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

III.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (amount < 0) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

III.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (amount < 0) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

III.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (amount < 0) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ Method throws wrong exception for wrong reason

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

III.
```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (amount < 0) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

IV.
```
void withdraw(int amount) throws InsufficientFundsException {
    if (balance < amount) throw new InsufficientFundsException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

IV.
```
void withdraw(int amount) throws InsufficientFundsException {
    if (balance < amount) throw new InsufficientFundsException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

IV.
```
void withdraw(int amount) throws InsufficientFundsException {
    if (balance < amount) throw new InsufficientFundsException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specification vs. Implementation

Suppose we have a `BankAccount` *class with instance variable* `balance`*. Consider the following specifications:*

A.
```
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✗ balance does not always decrease

B.
```
@requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ If the client follows the `@requires` precondition, the code will execute as expected

C.
```
@throws InsufficientFundsException
    if balance < amount
@effects decreases balance by amount
```
✓ Method does what the spec says

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

IV.
```
void withdraw(int amount) throws InsufficientFundsException {
    if (balance < amount) throw new InsufficientFundsException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```

Specifications 2

```
/**
 * An IntPoly is an immutable, integer-valued polynomial
 * with integer coefficients. A typical IntPoly value
 * is a_0 + a_1*x + a_2*x^2 + ... + a_n*x_n. An IntPoly
 * with degree n has coefficent a_n != 0, except that the
 * zero polynomial is represented as a polynomial of
 * degree 0 and a_0 = 0 in that case.
 */

public class IntPoly {
    int a[];
    // AF(this) = a has n+1 entries, and for each entry,
    // a[i] = coefficient a_i of the polynomial.
}
```

Specifications 2

```
/**
 * Return a new IntPoly that is the sum of this and other
 * @requires
 * @modifies
 * @effects
 * @return
 * @throws
 */
public IntPoly add(IntPoly other)
```

Specifications 2

```
/**
 * Return a new IntPoly that is the sum of this and other
 * @requires other != null
 * @modifies none
 * @effects none
 * @return a new IntPoly representing the sum of this and other
 * @throws none
 */
public IntPoly add(IntPoly other)
```

Specifications 2

```
/**
 * Return a new IntPoly that is the sum of this and other
 * @requires other != null
 * @modifies none
 * @effects none
 * @return a new IntPoly representing the sum of this and other
 * @throws none
 */
public IntPoly add(IntPoly other)
```

Note: if you have an instance variable in **`@modifies`**, it better appear in **`@effects`** as well

Note2: this is not the only answer, you could specify an exception in **`@throws`** or specify the output in **`@return`**

Representation invariants

One of your colleagues is worried that this creates a potential representation exposure problem. Another colleague says there's no problem since an `IntPoly` *is immutable. Is there a problem? Give a brief justification for your answer.*

```
public class IntPoly {
    int a[];
    // AF(this) = a has n+1 entries, and for each entry,
    // a[i] = coefficient a_i of the polynomial.

    // Return the coefficients of this IntPoly
    public int[] getCoeffs() {
        return a;
    }
}
```

Representation invariants

One of your colleagues is worried that this creates a potential representation exposure problem. Another colleague says there's no problem since an `IntPoly` *is immutable. Is there a problem? Give a brief justification for your answer.*

```
public class IntPoly {
    int a[];
    // AF(this) = a has n+1 entries, and for each entry,
    // a[i] = coefficient a_i of the polynomial.

    // Return the coefficients of this IntPoly
    public int[] getCoeffs() {
        return a;
    }
}
```

The return value is a reference to the same coefficient array stored in the **`IntPoly`** and the client code could alter those coefficients.

Representation invariants

If there is a representation exposure problem, give a new or repaired implementation of `getCoeffs` *that fixes the problem but still returns the coefficients of the* `IntPoly` *to the client. If it saves time you can give a precise description of the changes needed instead of writing the detailed Java code.*

```
public class IntPoly {
    int a[];
    // AF(this) = a has n+1 entries, and for each entry,
    // a[i] = coefficient a_i of the polynomial.

    // Return the coefficients of this IntPoly
    public int[] getCoeffs() {
        return a;
    }
}
```

Representation invariants

If there is a representation exposure problem, give a new or repaired implementation of `getCoeffs` *that fixes the problem but still returns the coefficients of the* `IntPoly` *to the client. If it saves time you can give a precise description of the changes needed instead of writing the detailed Java code.*

```
public int[] getCoeffs() {
    int[] copyA = new int[a.length];
    for (int i = 0; i < copyA.length; i++) {
        copyA[i] = a[i]
    }
    return copyA
}
```

Representation invariants

If there is a representation exposure problem, give a new or repaired implementation of `getCoeffs` *that fixes the problem but still returns the coefficients of the* `IntPoly` *to the client. If it saves time you can give a precise description of the changes needed instead of writing the detailed Java code.*

```
public int[] getCoeffs() {
    int[] copyA = new int[a.length];
    for (int i = 0; i < copyA.length; i++) {
        copyA[i] = a[i]
    }
    return copyA
}
```

1. Make a copy
2. Return the copy

Reasoning about code 2

We would like to add a method to this class that evaluates the `IntPoly` *at a particular value x. In other words, given a value x, the method* `valueAt(x)` *should return* $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \ldots + a_nx^n$*, where* a_0 *through an are the coefficients of this* `IntPoly`*.*

For this problem, develop an implementation of this method and prove that your implementation is correct.

(see starter code on next slide)

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {_____}
    while (k != n) {
        {_____}
        xk = xk * x;
        {_____}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {_____}
        k = k + 1;
        {_____}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {_____}
        xk = xk * x;
        {_____}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {_____}
        k = k + 1;
        {_____}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {inv && k != n}
        xk = xk * x;
        {_____}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {_____}
        k = k + 1;
        {_____}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {inv && k != n}
        xk = xk * x;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {_____}
        k = k + 1;
        {_____}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {inv && k != n}
        xk = xk * x;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k+1]*x^(k+1)}
        k = k + 1;
        {_____}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {inv && k != n}
        xk = xk * x;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k+1]*x^(k+1)}
        k = k + 1;
        {inv}
    }
    {_____}
    return val;
}
```

Reasoning about code 2

```
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length-1; // degree of this, n >=0
    {inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
    while (k != n) {
        {inv && k != n}
        xk = xk * x;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k+1]*x^(k+1)}
        k = k + 1;
        {inv}
    }
    {inv && k = n ⇒ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n}
    return val;
}
```

Equality

*Suppose we are defining a class **`StockItem`** to represent items stocked by an online grocery store. Here is the start of the class definition, including the class name and instance variables:*

```
public class StockItem {
    String name;
    String size;
    String description;
    int quantity;

    /* Construct a new StockItem */
    public StockItem(...);
}
```

Equality

A summer intern was asked to implement an `equals` *function for this class that treats two* `StockItem` *objects as equal if their* `name` *and* `size` *fields match. Here's the result:*

```
/** return true if the name and size fields match */
public boolean equals(StockItem other) {
    return name.equals(other.name) && size.equals(other.size);
}
```

This `equals` *method seems to work sometimes but not always. Give an example showing a situation when it fails.*

Equality

A summer intern was asked to implement an `equals` *function for this class that treats two* `StockItem` *objects as equal if their* `name` *and* `size` *fields match. Here's the result:*

```
/** return true if the name and size fields match */
public boolean equals(StockItem other) {
    return name.equals(other.name) && size.equals(other.size);
}
```

This `equals` *method seems to work sometimes but not always. Give an example showing a situation when it fails.*

```
Object s1 = new StockItem("thing", 1, "stuff", 1);
Object s2 = new StockItem("thing", 1, "stuff", 1);
System.out.println(s1.equals(s2));
```

Equality

A summer intern was asked to implement an `equals` *function for this class that treats two* `StockItem` *objects as equal if their* `name` *and* `size` *fields match. Here's the result:*

```
/** return true if the name and size fields match */
public boolean equals(StockItem other) {  // equals is overloaded, not overridden
    return name.equals(other.name) && size.equals(other.size);
}
```

This `equals` *method seems to work sometimes but not always. Give an example showing a situation when it fails.*

```
Object s1 = new StockItem("thing", 1, "stuff", 1);
Object s2 = new StockItem("thing", 1, "stuff", 1);
System.out.println(s1.equals(s2));
```

Equality

Show how you would fix the `equals` *method so it works properly (*`StockItems` *are equal if their* `names` *and* `sizes` *are equal)*

```
/** return true if the name and size fields match */
```

Equality

Show how you would fix the `equals` *method so it works properly (*`StockItems` *are equal if their* `names` *and* `sizes` *are equal)*

```
/** return true if the name and size fields match */
@Override
public boolean equals(Object o) {
    if (!(o instanceof StockItem)) {
        return false;
    }
    StockItem other = (StockItem) o;
    return name.equals(other.name) && size.equals(other.size);
}
```

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();`
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();`
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;`
4. `return quantity;`

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();` ✓ legal
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();`
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;`
4. `return quantity;`

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();` ✓ legal
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();` ✓ legal
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;`
4. `return quantity;`

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();` ✓ legal
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();` ✓ legal
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;` ✗ illegal!
4. `return quantity;`

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();` ✓ legal
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();` ✓ legal
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;` ✗ illegal!
4. `return quantity;` ✗ illegal!

hashCode

Which of the following implementations of `hashCode()` *for the* ***`StockItem`*** *class are legal:*

1. `return name.hashCode();` ✓ legal
2. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + size.hashCode();` ✓ legal
3. `return name.hashCode() * 17 + quantity;` ✗ illegal!
4. `return quantity;` ✗ illegal!

The **`equals`** method does not care about **`quantity`**

hashCode

Which implementation do you prefer?

```
public int hashCode() {
    return name.hashCode();
}
```

```
public int hashCode() {
    return name.hashCode()*17 + size.hashCode();
}
```

hashCode

Which implementation do you prefer?

```
public int hashCode() {
    return name.hashCode();
}
```

(ii) will likely do the best job since it takes into account both the size and name fields. (i) is also legal but it gives the same **`hashCode`** for **`StockItems`** that have different sizes as long as they have the same name, so it doesn't differentiate between different **`StockItems`** as well as (ii).

```
public int hashCode() {
    return name.hashCode()*17 + size.hashCode();
}
```

Winter 2013 Q7

Suppose we are specifying a method and we have a choice between either requiring a precondition (e.g., `@requires: n > 0`*) or specifying that the method throws an exception under some circumstances (e.g.,* `@throws IllegalArgumentException if n <= 0`*).*

Assuming that neither version will be significantly more expensive to implement than the other and that we do not expect the precondition to be violated or the exception to be thrown in normal use, is there any reason to prefer one of these to the other, and, if so, which one?

Winter 2013 Q7

Suppose we are specifying a method and we have a choice between either requiring a precondition (e.g., `@requires: n > 0`*) or specifying that the method throws an exception under some circumstances (e.g.,* `@throws IllegalArgumentException if n <= 0`*).*

Assuming that neither version will be significantly more expensive to implement than the other and that we do not expect the precondition to be violated or the exception to be thrown in normal use, is there any reason to prefer one of these to the other, and, if so, which one?

It would be better to specify the exception. That reduces the domain of inputs for which the behavior of the method is unspecified. It also will cause the method to fail fast for incorrect input, which should make the software more robust – or at least less likely to continue execution with erroneous data.

Winter 2013 Q7

Suppose we are specifying a method and we have a choice between either requiring a precondition (e.g., `@requires: n > 0`*) or specifying that the method throws an exception under some circumstances (e.g.,* `@throws IllegalArgumentException if n <= 0`*).*

Assuming that neither version will be significantly more expensive to implement than the other and that we do not expect the precondition to be violated or the exception to be thrown in normal use, is there any reason to prefer one of these to the other, and, if so, which one?

It would be better to specify the exception. That reduces the domain of inputs for which the behavior of the method is unspecified. It also will cause the method to fail fast for incorrect input, which should make the software more robust – or at least less likely to continue execution with erroneous data.

Note: You could just as easily argue the other way. It may be better to specify the precondition because once the exception is in the specification, it has to stay there because the client may expect it.

Winter 2013 Q8

Suppose we are trying to choose between two possible specifications for a method. One of the specifications S is stronger than the other specification W, but both include the behavior needed by clients. In practice, should we always pick the stronger specification S, always pick the weaker one W, or is it possible that either one might be the suitable choice? Give a brief justification of your answer, including a brief list of the main criteria to be used in making the decision.

Winter 2013 Q8

Suppose we are trying to choose between two possible specifications for a method. One of the specifications S is stronger than the other specification W, but both include the behavior needed by clients. In practice, should we always pick the stronger specification S, always pick the weaker one W, or is it possible that either one might be the suitable choice? Give a brief justification of your answer, including a brief list of the main criteria to be used in making the decision.

Neither is necessarily better. What is important is picking a specification that is simple, promotes modularity and reuse, and can be implemented efficiently.

(Many answers focused narrowly on which would be easier to implement. While that is important – we don't want a specification that is impossible to build – it isn't the main thing that determines whether a system design is good or bad.)