## CSE331 Fall 2014, Midterm Examination October 31, 2014

## Please do not turn the page until 2:30.

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, etc.
- Please stop promptly at 3:20.
- There are **110 points (not 100 points)**, distributed **unevenly** among **8** questions (many with multiple parts):

Question	Max	Earned
1	16	
2	16	
3	18	
4	10	
5	12	
6	14	
7	10	
8	14	

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit. But clearly indicate what is your final answer.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. Skip around. Make sure you get to all the questions.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name:

1. (**16** points) Here is a correct Java method:

```
@requires: arr != null and arr.length > 0
Oreturns: number of elements in arr that are strictly greater than all
          elements earlier in the arr
int f(int[] arr) {
   int max = arr[0];
   int count = 1;
   int i = 1;
   while(i != arr.length) {
       if(arr[i] > max) {
          max = arr[i];
          count = count + 1;
       }
       i = i + 1;
   }
   return count;
}
```

To prove this method correct would require a loop invariant for the loop in the code. For each suggested loop invariant below, indicate which of the following is true (no explanation required):

- **A.** The invariant is correct and is strong enough to prove the method correct.
- **B.** The invariant is correct but is not strong enough to prove the method correct.
- C. The invariant is false because it may not hold initially.
- **D.** The invariant is false because it holds initially but may not hold after the loop body.
- (a) count holds the number of elements in arr[0]..arr[i] inclusive that are greater than all earlier elements in the array
- (b) count holds the number of elements in arr[0]..arr[i-1] inclusive that are greater than all earlier elements in the array
- (c) count holds the number of elements in arr[0]..arr[i] inclusive that are greater than all earlier elements in the array and max holds the largest value in arr[0]..arr[i] inclusive
- (d) count holds the number of elements in arr[0]..arr[i-1] inclusive that are greater than all earlier elements in the array and max holds the largest value in arr[0]..arr[i-1] inclusive
- (e) count holds the number of elements in arr[0]..arr[i-1] inclusive that are greater than all earlier elements in the array and max holds the largest value in arr[0]..arr[i-1] inclusive and count >= 1
- (f)  $\operatorname{count} < \max$
- (g) count = 1 and max  $\geq$  arr[0]
- (h) true

Name:\_\_\_

2. (16 points) Fill in the blanks below so that the program is correct *and* the assertions you write down are true and sufficient to prove the program is correct. Put assertions in the blanks with "{" and "}" and code in the other blanks. For code, use Java syntax. For assertions, use syntax similar to the provided assertions. Assume **arr** is an array of ints and **x**, **y**, and **i** are ints. Notice the initial pre-condition, final post-condition, and a little of the code are provided to you. You need to understand the post-condition to determine what the program should do.

```
{arr != null and arr.length > 1}
arr[0] = x;
arr[1] = y;
{
                        }
_____
 _____
{
                        }
 _____
{inv:
                         }
_____
while (i != arr.length) {
    _____
 {
                         }
 _____
   _____
 {
                         }
  _____
}
\{ arr[0] = x and arr[1] = y and 
for all j from 2..(arr.length-1) inclusive, arr[j] = arr[j-2] + arr[j-1] }
```

Name:\_

**Background:** Problems 3–5 involve an ADT for the state of a checkerboard during a game of checkers. You do not need to know how to play checkers, and even if you do, do not use any information beyond what is listed here:

- There are two sides, "red" and "black."
- Each side has a total number of pieces between 0 and 12 inclusive. If one side has 0 pieces, the game is over. Both sides cannot have 0 pieces.
- In addition to color, each piece is either "regular" or a "king."
- There are 32 positions on a checkerboard. We assume these positions are numbered 0,1,...,31 and this numbering is part of the (public) specification, but what each position number means will not matter on this exam.
- Each position holds 0 or 1 piece.
- 3. (18 points) In this problem, we consider an implementation of a checkerboard where the concrete representation is an array of length 32 and the abstract values are the state of a checkers game as described above.

```
class CheckerBoardProblem3 {
    private int[] board = new int[32];
    ... many methods not shown ...
}
```

In the concrete implementation, the value in **board[i]** indicates what piece, if any, is at board-position **i** as follows:

- 0 means no piece
- 1 means a regular red piece
- 2 means a king red piece
- -1 means a regular black piece
- -2 means a king black piece
- (a) Write a checkRep method for class CheckerBoardProblem3.
- (b) Write an equals method for class CheckerBoardProblem3.
- (c) Explain in approximately 1-2 English sentences what is wrong with this method for CheckerBoardProblem3 and how to fix it:

```
@returns the board positions of all red kings
public List<Integer> redKingPositions() {
   List<Integer> ans = new ArrayList<Integer>();
   for(int i=0; i<board.length; i++) {
        if(board[i] == 2)
            ans.add(board[i]);
    }
    return ans;
}</pre>
```

The next page has room for your answers.

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

Put your answers to problem 3 here.

Name:\_\_\_

4. (10 points) In this problem, we consider a different implementation of the same abstraction of a checkerboard. In this approach, the concrete implementation has a list of the positions for each kind of piece:

```
class CheckerBoardProblem4 {
    private List<Integer> regularBlackPositions;
    private List<Integer> kingBlackPositions;
    private List<Integer> regularRedPositions;
    private List<Integer> kingRedPositions;
    ... many methods not shown ...
}
```

- (a) In English, describe two things a checkRep for CheckerBoardProblem4 should check that your implementation of checkRep in Problem 3 did not have to check.
- (b) Explain in approximately 1-2 English sentences what is wrong with this method for CheckerBoardProblem4 and how to fix it:

```
@returns the board positions of all red kings
public List<Integer> redKingPositions() {
   return kingRedPositions;
}
```

Name:\_\_\_\_

- 5. (12 points) This problem uses both CheckerBoardProblem3 and CheckerBoardProblem4.
  - (a) Suppose CheckerBoardProblem3 and CheckerBoardProblem4 both implement the same checkerboard abstraction correctly. Would an instance of CheckerBoardProblem3 and an instance of CheckerBoardProblem4 with the same abstract state be reference-equivalent? Answer "always", "sometimes," or "never." No explanation required.
  - (b) Same question as (a) but replace reference-equivalent with behavior-equivalent.
  - (c) Can two instances of CheckerBoardProblem3 with different concrete values represent the same abstract value? Answer "yes" or "no" and explain your answer in 1-2 sentences.
  - (d) Can two instances of CheckerBoardProblem4 with different concrete values represent the same abstract value? Answer "yes" or "no" and explain your answer in 1-2 sentences.

Name:

- 6. (14 points) Consider these five possible specifications for a method that takes one parameter, an int x:
  - **A.** @returns some number between x 10 and x + 10
  - **B.** @returns some number between x 5 and x + 5
  - **C.** @requires x > 0@returns some number between x - 5 and x + 5
  - **D.** @requires x > 0 or x < -5@returns some number between x - 5 and x + 5
  - **E.** @requires x > 0@throws IllegalArgument if x > 100@returns some number between x - 10 and x + 10
  - (a) List all specifications above that are stronger than A.
  - (b) List all specifications above that are stronger than B.
  - (c) List all specifications above that are stronger than C.
  - (d) List all specifications above that are stronger than D.
  - (e) List all specifications above that are stronger than E.
  - (f) Yes or no: Is it possible for a single method to satisfy A, B, C, and D?
  - (g) Yes or no: Is it possible for a single method to satisfy C, D and E?

Name:

- 7. (10 points) Two questions on testing
  - (a) Can you use a black-box testing methodology to try to find representation-exposure bugs in an ADT implementation? If so, explain how. If not, explain why not. Aim for 2–3 English sentences.

(b) Consider this method:

```
@returns the least of the 3 arguments
int min3(int x, int y, int z) {
    int a;
    if(x < y) {
        a = x;
    } else {
        a = y;
    }
    if(z < a) {
        return z;
    } else {
        return x;
    }
}</pre>
```

i. Give a test suite for this method with full branch coverage and where all tests pass.

ii. Give a test that does not pass.

```
Name:
```

- 8. (14 points) Short answer (only (e) requires more than a word or letter)
  - (a) Can defining this method in a Java class violate any contracts specified by Object?

```
int hashCode() {
   return 42;
}
```

- (b) In Java, can a method overriding a method defined in a superclass throw a checked exception that is not part of the method signature in the superclass?
- (c) In Java, can a method overriding a method defined in a superclass throw an unchecked exception that is not part of the method signature in the superclass?
- (d) When is it decided whether Java assertions are executed or ignored:
  - A. When code containing assertions is compiled
  - B. When the Java program is started
  - C. When an assertion is encountered by checking whether there is a DEBUG flag that is true
  - D. It is not an option: Java assertions are always executed
- (e) Rewrite this code to be shorter but do the same thing:

```
try {
   f();
} catch(Exception e) {
   g();
   throw e;
} catch(Error e) {
   g()
   throw e;
}
g();
return 0;
```

- (f) Why should you avoid using strings to store data that is not naturally a string?
  - A. So the type system can catch more bugs
  - B. Performance
  - C. Both (A) and (B)
  - D. Neither (A) nor (B)
- (g) True or false: Assuming unlimited time and developer resources, a stronger specification is always better than a weaker one.