CSE331 Spring 2015, Final Examination June 8, 2015

Please do not turn the page until 8:30.

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, etc.
- Please stop promptly at 10:20.
- There are 158 points (not 100), distributed unevenly among 11 questions (all with multiple parts):

Question	Max	Earned
1	21	
2	15	
3	8	
4	21	
5	8	
6	12	
7	9	
8	17	
9	17	
10	10	
11	20	

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit. But clearly indicate what is your final answer.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. Skip around. Make sure you get to all the questions.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

1. (21 points) Here is correct Java code for a mutable ADT that maintains the average of a collection of ints.

```
class IntAverage {
   private int count = 0;
   private int sum = 0;
    // add the argument x to the collection whose average is maintained
    public void add(int x) {
        sum += x;
        count++;
    }
    // return the current average, or 0 if no ints have been added
    // (truncates using integer division)
   public int average() {
        if(sum==0) // don't misread this line
            return 0;
        return sum / count;
    }
}
```

To reason about this ADT would require a representation invariant and an abstraction function. This problem concerns only the representation invariant. Here are seven proposed representation invariants:

```
(a) count \geq 0
```

```
(b) count > 0
```

(c) true (the logical assertion satisfied by all program states)

```
(d) if count==0 then sum==0
```

- (e) if sum==0 then count==0
- (f) count >=0 and (if count==0 then sum==0)
- (g) count >=0 and (if sum==0 then count==0)

For each proposed invariant above, indicate which one of the statements A-E below is true (no explanation required). Assume ints can be positive or negative, but ignore overflow.

- A. The invariant is incorrect because it may not hold initially.
- **B.** The invariant is incorrect because it holds initially but may not hold later.
- C. The invariant is correct but is not strong enough to prove that division-by-zero (which causes an ArithmeticException) will not occur.
- **D.** The invariant is correct but cannot be used to prove that it is preserved by all operations.
- **E.** The invariant is correct, the invariant is strong enough to prove that no division-by-zero can occur, and we can use it to prove that the invariant is preserved by all operations.

```
2. (15 points) Consider these two interfaces and their specifications:
```

```
// A list of ints where each int is at a position where the first
// position is 0, second position is 1, etc.
interface IntList {
   Qrequires receiver has >= i elements
   Qeffects puts x at position i, moving all elements at positions >= i one position higher
   Qmodifies this
   void insertAt(int x, int i);
   Ceffects puts x at some unspecified position i, moving all elements
            at positions >= i one position higher
   Qmodifies this
   void insert(int x);
   Qrequires receiver has > i elements
   Oreturns the int currently at position i
   int get(int i);
}
// A sorted list of ints where each int is at a position where the first
// position is 0, second position is 1, etc. Ints are in increasing order.
interface SortedIntList {
   Orequires receiver has \geq i elements and the effect of the operation
             maintains a sorted list (i.e., i is a "legal" position to add x)
   Qeffects puts x at position i, moving all elements at positions >= i one position higher.
   Qmodifies this
   void insertAt(int x, int i);
   Ceffects puts x at some position i that maintains sorted order (moving
            all elements previously at position i or greater to one position higher).
   Qmodifies this
   void insert(int x);
   Qrequires receiver has > i elements.
   Oreturns the int currently at position i.
   int get(int i);
}
```

For both parts below, **explain your answer**. Refer to particular parts of the specifications above. You might find it helpful to show and explain example client code as well, but it is not required.

- (a) Is SortedIntList a *true subtype* of IntList?
- (b) Is IntList a *true subtype* of SortedIntList?

- 3. (8 points)
 - (a) Is **Java** subtyping *transitive*? Explain your answer in 1-3 sentences.
 - (b) Is **true** subtyping *transitive*? Explain your answer in 1-3 sentences.

4. (21 points) Consider these six class definitions, where we have omitted fields, other methods, method bodies, and constructors. (We assume each class has a zero-argument constructor, not shown.)

```
class Matching<T1,T2> {
    public void addPair(T1 x, T2 y) { ... }
    public T2 getMatchLeft(T1 x) { ... }
    public T1 getMatchRight(T2 x) { ... }
}
class OptionallyLabeledMatching<T1,T2,T3> extends Matching<T1,T2> {
    public void setLabel(T1 x, T2 y, T3 s) { ... }
    public T3 getLabel(T1 x, T2 y) { ... }
}
class Socks { ... }
class FancySocks extends Socks { ... }
class Shoes { ... }
```

- (a) List all Java subtyping relationships among the 6 types below. For example, if **A** is a Java subtype of **B**, write, "**A** subtype of **B**." You do *not* need to say that types are subtypes of themselves.
 - A. Matching<Socks,Shoes>
 - B. Matching<FancySocks,PrettyShoes>
 - C. OptionallyLabeledMatching<Socks,Shoes,Number>
 - $D. \ {\tt OptionallyLabeledMatching} < {\tt Socks, Shoes, Integer} >$
 - $E. \ {\tt OptionallyLabeledMatching}{{\tt FancySocks,PrettyShoes,Number}{{\tt Socks}}} > 0$
 - F. OptionallyLabeledMatching<FancySocks,PrettyShoes,Integer>
- (b) Here is client code that does not type-check. For *each* line in the code, circle "yes" if that line type-checks or "no" if it would produce a type-checking error. Note:
 - It is fine for a method call to ignore a non-void result.
 - If a line declaring a variable does not type-check, still assume the variable has the declared type in later lines (so a use of the variable may or may not type-check).

```
Socks s = new Socks();
                                   //
                                       yes
                                              no
PrettyShoes p = new PrettyShoes(); // yes
                                              no
Number n = new Integer(42);
                                   // yes
                                              no
OptionallyLabeledMatching<Socks,Shoes,Integer> m
   = new OptionallyLabeledMatching<Socks,Shoes,Integer>(); // yes
                                                                      no
Matching<Socks,Shoes> m2 = m;
                                                           // yes
                                                                      no
OptionallyLabeledMatching<Socks,Shoes,Number> m3 = m;
                                                           // yes
                                                                      no
m.addPair(s, p);
                    // yes
                               no
m2.getMatchLeft(s); // yes
                               no
m3.addPair(s,p);
                    // yes
                               no
m.setLabel(s,p,n);
                    // yes
                               no
m3.setLabel(s,p,n); // yes
                               no
                    // yes
m.getLabel(s,p);
                               no
m2.getLabel(s,p);
                    // yes
                               no
```

5. (8 points) This method does not type-check:

```
static <T> boolean hasTies(T[] arr) {
    for(int i=0; i < arr.length-1; i++) {
        for(int j=i+1; j < arr.length; j++) {
            if(arr[i].compareTo(arr[j])==0)
                return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}</pre>
```

- (a) What line produces a type-checking error?
- (b) How would you add a type bound to the code above so that the method type-checks? Be completely precise about what change or changes you would make.

- 6. (**12** points)
 - (a) For each of the Java method signatures below, give an equivalent method signature that does not use wildcards.
 - i. boolean m1(Set<? super Foo> x, Foo y); This turns out to be a bad question. See the sample solution for why.
 - ii. void m2(Set<? extends Foo> x, Set<? extends Foo> y, boolean z);
 - (b) Consider these two method signatures:
 - A. void m(Set<?> x);
 - B. void m(Set<Object> x);
 - i. Give an example client that can use A but cannot use B. (Assume there is already a variable obj defined that refers to an object containing method m, so you can call obj.m(...).)
 - ii. Give an example method body that can be used for **B** but not for **A**.

- 7. (9 points)
 - (a) Explain in roughly 2–3 English sentences why high-quality regression testing makes debugging easier.
 - (b) Explain in roughly 2–3 English sentences how the debugging process we advocated in lecture leads to better regression testing.

8. (17 points) Below is working code for a small application that includes a text box that is spell-checked, with misspelled words colored red. The contents of the text-box are simply re-spell-checked every time the text-box contents are edited. You may want to rip this page out of your exam. On the next page, you will rewrite the application to *invert a dependency*.

```
class Main {
   private SpellCheckedTextBox b = new SpellCheckedTextBox("English");
    // ... use b in various methods in the application
}
class Dictionary {
   public static Dictionary findDictionary(String language) { ... }
   public boolean contains(String s) { ... }
}
class SpellCheckedTextBox {
   private Dictionary dictionary;
   private TextBox textbox;
    public SpellCheckedTextBox(String language) {
        dictionary = Dictionary.findDictionary(language);
        textbox = new TextBox();
    }
   public void addLetter(char c, int position) {
        textbox.addLetter(c,position);
        performSpellCheck();
   }
   public void deleteLetter(int position) {
        textbox.deleteLetter(position);
       performSpellCheck();
    }
   public void performSpellCheck() {
        String[] allWords = textbox.getAllWords();
        Set<String> wrongWords = new HashSet<String>();
        for(String w : allWords) {
            if(!dictionary.contains(w))
                wrongWords.add(w);
        }
        textbox.resetFormatting();
        for(String w : wrongWords)
            textbox.formatMisspelledWord(w);
    }
}
class TextBox {
   private StringBuffer text;
    public TextBox() { text = new StringBuffer(); }
    public void addLetter(char c, int position) { text.insert(position,c); }
   public void deleteLetter(int position) { text.delete(position,position+1); }
   public String[] getAllWords() {
        return text.toString().trim().split("\\s+"); // no need to understand this line in detail
   }
   public void resetFormatting() {
        // change all text to Black (details not shown)
    7
   public void formatMisspelledWord(String word) {
        // change all occurrences of word to be Red (details not shown)
    3
}
```

Previous problem continued:

On the previous page, Main depends on SpellCheckedTextBox and SpellCheckedTextBox depends on TextBox. Here you will rewrite the application, so Main depends on TextBox and TextBox depends on SpellChecker and an interface you will define. Your rewritten application should:

- Have the same behavior as the original one, with re-spell-checking after each edit
- Use the given code below
- Define only the interface and class requested

Given code:

```
class Main {
   private TextBox b = new TextBox("English");
    \ensuremath{//} ... use b in various methods in the application
}
class Dictionary { /* no change from previous page */ }
class SpellChecker {
   private Dictionary dictionary;
   public SpellChecker(String language) {
        dictionary = Dictionary.findDictionary(language);
   }
   public void performSpellCheck(TextHolder textholder) {
        String[] allWords = textholder.getAllWords();
        Set<String> wrongWords = new HashSet<String>();
        for(String w : allWords) {
            if(!dictionary.contains(w))
                wrongWords.add(w);
        }
        textholder.resetFormatting();
        for(String w : wrongWords)
            textholder.formatMisspelledWord(w);
   }
}
```

- (a) Write an interface TextHolder containing exactly 3 methods such that SpellChecker type-checks.
- (b) Write a full TextBox class to complete the application (omitting only the same details that the previous page does). You can, of course, add field(s), change method bodies, and implement an interface as needed.

The next page is blank in case you need more room.

More room if needed for previous problem.

- 9. Short Answer on Design Patterns (17 points)
 - (a) Give the two limitations of Java constructors that motivate the creational design patterns we studied.
 - (b) For each of your answers to part (a), give two design patterns that work around an aspect of the limitation. (So you'll list four design patterns, two for each limitation.) No explanation required.
 - (c) For the Visitor design pattern, let's consider visitors (i.e., implementors of the appropriate Visitor interface) to be clients. From this perspective, does the design pattern use synchronous callbacks, asynchronous callbacks, both, or neither. Briefly explain your answer.

- 10. (10 points) Short Answer on Systems Integration and Software Teams
 - (a) In roughly one sentence, why does adding software developers to a project that is behind schedule often not improve progress?
 - (b) Are *stubs* used in top-down development or bottom-up development?
 - (c) What is a stub?
 - (d) Why do we implement stubs?

- 11. (20 points) Still More Short Answer:
 - (a) Choose one. Putting almost all the code for an application in one module has:
 - i. Poor coupling and poor cohesion
 - ii. Okay coupling and poor cohesion
 - iii. Poor coupling and okay cohesion
 - iv. Okay coupling and okay cohesion
 - (b) For each of the course topics below, are there core concepts directly related to the idea of a stronger versus weaker specification? (Answer yes or no for each.)
 - i. Whether an overriding method meets a superclass specification
 - ii. Whether it is okay for Java to allow covariant return types in overriding methods
 - iii. Guidelines for when it is good style to use method overloading
 - iv. Whether one generic type is a subtype of another
 - v. Deciding what should be part of the view versus the controller in an application using the MVC design pattern
 - vi. The benefits of using an anonymous inner class rather than a named class
 - (c) Consider overriding paintComponent in a class you define as a subclass of a Java Swing class. For each of the following, in the body of paintComponent, should you *always*, *sometimes* (i.e., "it depends what you're doing"), or *never* do it?
 - i. Call paintComponent on all the components contained within this.
 - ii. Call super.paintComponent.
 - iii. Open a file containing an image.
 - iv. Cast the argument of paintComponent to Graphics2D.
 - (d) True or false for each in reference to Java's Swing library:
 - i. To register an event listener, you need to use an anonymous inner class.
 - ii. It is a bug to register the same object to listen for multiple events in different Swing components.
 - iii. In terms of the MVC design pattern, event-listener code is usually part of the controller.