



$$a b$$

$$a+b$$

$$a+b$$

$$a+b$$
is to a as a is to b

This is a special number

- · Aside: Since the Renaissance, many artists and architects have proportioned their work (e.g., length:height) to approximate the golden ratio: If (a+b)/a = a/b, then $a = \phi b$
- We will need one special arithmetic fact about φ :

 ϕ^2 = ((1+5^{1/2})/2)^2 $= (1 + 2 \times 5^{1/2} + 5)/4$ $(6 + 2 \times 5^{1/2})/4$ $= (3 + 5^{1/2})/2$ $= 1 + (1 + 5^{1/2})/2$ $= 1 + \phi$

Spring 2012

CSE332: Data Abstractions

Good news

Proof means that if we have an AVL tree, then find is $O(\log n)$

- Recall logarithms of different bases > 1 differ by only a constant factor

But as we insert and delete elements, we need to: (10)

- 1 Track balance
- 2. Detect imbalance
- 3. Restore balance

Is this AVL tree balanced? How about after insert(30)?

Spring 2012

CSE332: Data Abstractions

AVL tree operations

AVI find

- Same as BST find

- AVL insert:
 - First BST insert, then check balance and potentially "fix" the AVL tree
 - Four different imbalance cases
- AVL delete:
 - The "easy way" is lazy deletion
 - Otherwise, do the deletion and then have several imbalance cases (next lecture)



S(-1)=0, S(0)=1, S(1)=2 For $h \ge 1$, S(h) = 1 + S(h-1) + S(h-2)

Theorem: For all $h \ge 0$, $S(h) > \phi^h - 1$ Proof: By induction on h Base cases: $S(0) = 1 > \phi^0 - 1 = 0$ $S(1) = 2 > \phi^1 - 1 \approx 0.62$ Inductive case (k > 1): Show $S(k+1) > \phi^{k+1} - 1$ assuming $S(k) > \phi^k - 1$ and $S(k-1) > \phi^{k-1} - 1$ S(k+1) = 1 + S(k) + S(k-1)by definition of S > 1 + ϕ^{k} - 1 + ϕ^{k-1} - 1 by induction $= \phi^{k} + \phi^{k-1} - 1$ by arithmetic (1-1=0) $= \phi^{k-1} (\phi + 1) - 1$ by arithmetic (factor ϕ^{k-1}) $= \phi^{k-1} \phi^2 - 1$ by special property of ϕ $= \phi^{k+1} - 1$ by arithmetic (add exponents) CSE332: Data Abstractions Spring 2012





Insert: detect potential imbalance

- 1. Insert the new node as in a BST (a new leaf)
- 2. For each node on the path from the root to the new leaf, the insertion may (or may not) have changed the node's height
- 3. So after recursive insertion in a subtree, detect height imbalance and perform a rotation to restore balance at that node

All the action is in defining the correct rotations to restore balance

Fact that an implementation can ignore:

Spring 2012

- There must be a deepest element that is imbalanced after the insert (all descendants still balanced)
- After rebalancing this deepest node, every node is balanced
- So at most one node needs to be rebalanced

Spring 2012

9

CSE332: Data Abstractions



The general right-right case

- · Mirror image to left-left case, so you rotate the other way
 - Exact same concept, but need different code



Two cases to go

Unfortunately, single rotations are not enough for insertions in the left-right subtree or the right-left subtree



Two cases to go

Unfortunately, single rotations are not enough for insertions in the left-right subtree or the right-left subtree

Simple example: insert(1), insert(6), insert(3)



The general right-left case



Sometimes two wrongs make a right ©

- · First idea violated the BST property
- Second idea didn't fix balance
- But if we do both single rotations, starting with the second, it works! (And not just for this example.)
- Double rotation:
 - 1. Rotate problematic child and grandchild
 - 2. Then rotate between self and new child



Comments

- Like in the left-left and right-right cases, the height of the subtree after rebalancing is the same as before the insert
 - So no ancestor in the tree will need rebalancing
- Does not have to be implemented as two rotations; can just do:



24

Move c to grandparent's position Put a, b, X, U, V, and Z in the only legal positions for a BST Spring 2012 CSE332: Data Abstractions

The last case: left-right

- Mirror image of right-left
 - Again, no new concepts, only new code to write



Insert, summarized

- · Insert as in a BST
- Check back up path for imbalance, which will be 1 of 4 cases:
 - Node's left-left grandchild is too tall
 - Node's left-right grandchild is too tall
 - Node's right-left grandchild is too tall
 - Node's right-right grandchild is too tall
- Only one case occurs because tree was balanced before insert
- After the appropriate single or double rotation, the smallestunbalanced subtree has the same height as before the insertion
 So all ancestors are now balanced

Spring 2012	CSE332: Data Abstractions	25	Spring 2012	CSE332: Data Abstractions	26
Now efficie	псу				
 Worst-case con – Tree is bala 	nplexity of find: O(log n) anced				
 Worst-case complexity of insert: O(log n) Tree starts balanced A rotation is O(1) and there's an O(log n) path to root (Same complexity even without one-rotation-is-enough fact) Tree ends balanced 					
 Worst-case complexity of buildTree: O(n log n) 					
Will take some more rotation action to handle delete					
Spring 2012	CSE332: Data Abstractions	27			