C++ Class Details, Heap

CSE 333 Winter 2019

Instructor: Hal Perkins

Teaching Assistants:

Alexey Beall	Renshu Gu	Harshita Neti
David Porter	Forrest Timour	Soumya Vasisht
Yifan Xu	Sujie Zhou	

Administrivia

- Yet another exercise released today, due ~~Wed~~. Mon.
  - Rework exercise 10 but with dynamic memory this time
    - Fine to use ex10 solution as a starting point for ex11

- Should be due before Fri. lecture, but can't because…

- …Homework 2 due Thursday (tomorrow!) night
  - File system crawler, indexer, and search engine

- Not clear what to do about exercise based on Fri. lecture
  - 2nd exercise due Monday? (☹ but maybe need to catch up?)

Lecture Outline

- **Class Details**
  - **Filling in some gaps from last time**
- Using the Heap
  - `new` / `delete` / `delete[]`

Rule of Three

- If you define any of:
  1) Destructor
  2) Copy Constructor
  3) Assignment (`operator=`)

- Then you should normally define all three
  - Can explicitly ask for default synthesized versions (C++11):

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point() = default;                                   // the default ctor
  ~Point() = default;                                  // the default dtor
  Point(const Point& copyme) = default;                // the default cctor
  Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = default;  // the default "="
  ...
```

Dealing with the Insanity

- C++ style guide tip:
  - If possible, disable the copy constructor and assignment operator by declaring as private and not defining them (pre-C++11)

Point.h

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { }  // ctor
  ...
 private:
  Point(const Point& copyme);             // disable cctor (no def.)
  Point& operator=(const Point& rhs);   // disable "=" (no def.)
  ...
};  // class Point

Point w;           // compiler error (no default constructor)
Point x(1, 2);   // OK!
Point y = w;      // compiler error (no copy constructor)
y = x;             // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

Disabling in C++11

- C++11 add new syntax to do this directly
  - This is the better choice in C++11 code

Point_2011.h

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { }  // ctor
  ...
  Point(const Point& copyme) = delete;   // declare cctor and "=" as
  Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = delete; // as deleted (C++11)
 private:
  ...
};  // class Point

Point w;          // compiler error (no default constructor)
Point x(1, 2);    // OK!
Point y = w;      // compiler error (no copy constructor)
y = x;            // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

CopyFrom

- C++11 style guide tip:
  - If you disable them, then you instead may want an explicit "CopyFrom" function that can be used when occasionally needed

Point.h

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { }  // ctor
  void CopyFrom(const Point& copy_from_me);
  ...
  Point(Point& copyme) = delete;              // disable cctor
  Point& operator=(Point& rhs) = delete;   // disable "="
 private:
  ...
};  // class Point
```

sanepoint.cc

```
Point x(1, 2);   // OK
Point y(3, 4);   // OK
x.CopyFrom(y);   // OK
```

`struct` vs. `class`

- In C, a `struct` can only contain data fields
  - Has no methods and all fields are always accessible

- In C++, `struct` and `class` are (nearly) the same!
  - Both can have methods and member visibility (public/private/protected)
  - <u>Only real (minor) difference</u>: members are default *public* in a `struct` and default *private* in a `class`

- Common style/usage convention:
  - Use `struct` for simple bundles of data
  - Use `class` for abstractions with data + functions

Access Control

- Access modifiers for members:
  - `public`: accessible to *all* parts of the program
  - `private`: accessible to the member functions of the class
    - Private to *class*, not object instances
  - `protected`: accessible to member functions of the class and any *derived* classes (subclasses – more to come, later)

- Reminders:
  - Access modifiers apply to *all* members that follow until another access modifier is reached
  - If no access modifier is specified, `struct` members default to `public` and `class` members default to `private`

Nonmember Functions

- "Nonmember functions" are just normal functions that happen to use some class
  - Called like a regular function instead of as a member of a class object instance
    - This gets a little weird when we talk about operators…
  - These do *not* have access to the class' private members

- Useful nonmember functions often included as part of interface to a class
  - Declaration goes in header file, but *outside* of class definition

`friend` Nonmember Functions

- A class can give a nonmember function (or class) access to its non`public` members by declaring it as a `friend` within its definition
  - `friend` function is not a class member, but has access privileges as if it were
  - `friend` functions are usually unnecessary if your class includes appropriate “getter” public functions

Complex.h

```
class Complex {
  ...
  friend std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a);
  ...
};  // class Complex
```

```
std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a) {
  ...
}
```

Complex.cc

Namespaces

- Each namespace is a separate scope
  - Useful for avoiding symbol collisions!

- Namespace definition:
  - ```
    namespace name {
      // declarations go here
    }
    ```
  - Creates a new namespace name if it did not exist, otherwise *adds to the existing namespace* (**!**)
    - This means that components (classes, functions, etc.) of a namespace can be defined in multiple source files
    ```

Classes vs. Namespaces

- They seems somewhat similar, but classes are *not* namespaces:
  - There are no instances/objects of a namespace; a namespace is just a group of logically-related things (classes, functions, etc.)
  - To access a member of a namespace, you must use the fully qualified name (*i.e.* `nsp_name::member`)
    - Unless you are `using` that namespace
    - You only used the fully qualified name of a class member when you are defining it outside of the scope of the class definition

Lecture Outline

- Class Details
  - Filling in some gaps from last time
- **Using the Heap**
  - `new` / `delete` / `delete[]`

C++11 `nullptr`

- C and C++ have long used `NULL` as a pointer value that references nothing

- C++11 introduced a new literal for this: `nullptr`
  - New reserved word
  - Interchangeable with `NULL` for all practical purposes, but it has type `T*` for any/every `T`, and is not an integer value
    - Avoids funny edge cases (see C++ references for details)
    - Still can convert to/from integer `0` for tests, assignment, etc.
  - Advice: prefer `nullptr` in C++11 code
    - Though NULL will also be around for a long, long time

`new`/`delete`

- To allocate on the heap using C++, you use the `new` keyword instead of `malloc()` from `stdlib.h`
  - You can use new to allocate an object (*e.g.* `new Point`)
  - You can use new to allocate a primitive type (*e.g.* `new int`)

- To deallocate a heap-allocated object or primitive, use the `delete` keyword instead of `free()` from `stdlib.h`
  - Don't mix and match!
    - ***Never*** `free()` something allocated with `new`
    - ***Never*** `delete` something allocated with `malloc()`
    - Careful if you're using a legacy C code library or module in C++

new/delete Example

```
int* AllocateInt(int x) {
  int* heapy_int = new int;
  *heapy_int = x;
  return heapy_int;
}
```

```
Point* AllocatePoint(int x, int y) {
  Point* heapy_pt = new Point(x,y);
  return heapy_pt;
}
```

heappoint.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
using namespace std;

...  // definitions of AllocateInt() and AllocatePoint()

int main() {
  Point* x = AllocatePoint(1, 2);
  int* y = AllocateInt(3);

  cout << "x's x_ coord: " << x->get_x() << endl;
  cout << "y: " << y << ", *y: " << *y << endl;

  delete x;
  delete y;
  return 0;
}
```

Dynamically Allocated Arrays

- To dynamically allocate an array:
  - Default initialize: `type* name = new type[size];`

- To dynamically deallocate an array:
  - Use `delete[] name;`
  - It is an *incorrect* to use "`delete name;`" on an array
    - The compiler probably won't catch this, though (!) because it can't always tell if `name*` was allocated with `new type[size];` or `new type;`
      - Especially inside a function where a pointer parameter could point to a single item or an array and there's no way to tell which!
    - Result of wrong `delete` is undefined behavior

Arrays Example (primitive)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
using namespace std;

int main() {
  int stack_int;
  int* heap_int = new int;
  int* heap_init_int = new int(12);

  int stack_arr[10];
  int* heap_arr = new int[10];

  int* heap_init_arr = new int[10]();  // uncommon usage
  int* heap_init_error = new int[10](12); // bad syntax

  ...

  delete heap_int;           //
  delete heap_init_int;      //
  delete heap_arr;           //
  delete[] heap_init_arr;    //

  return 0;
}
```

Arrays Example (class objects)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
using namespace std;

int main() {
  ...

  Point stack_point(1, 2);
  Point* heap_point = new Point(1, 2);

  Point* err_pt_arr = new Point[10];  // no Point() ctor

  Point* err2_pt_arr = new Point[10](1,2); // bad syntax
  ...

  delete heap_point;

  ...

  return 0;
}
```

`malloc` vs. `new`

	`malloc()`	`new`
What is it?	a function	an operator or keyword
How often used (in C)?	often	never
How often used (in C++)?	rarely	often
Allocated memory for	anything	arrays, structs, objects, primitives
Returns	a `void*` (*should be cast*)	appropriate pointer type (*doesn't need a cast*)
When out of memory	returns `NULL`	throws an exception
Deallocating	`free()`	`delete` or `delete[]`

Heap Member Example

- Let's build a class to simulate some of the functionality of the C++ string
  - Internal representation: c-string to hold characters

- What might we want to implement in the class?

Str Class Walkthrough

Str.h

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Str {
 public:
  Str();                    // default ctor
  Str(const char* s);       // c-string ctor
  Str(const Str& s);        // copy ctor
  ~Str();                   // dtor

  int length() const;       // return length of string
  char* c_str() const;      // return a copy of st_
  void append(const Str& s);

  Str& operator=(const Str& s);   // string assignment

  friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Str& s);

 private:
  char* st_;    // c-string on heap (terminated by '\0')
};  // class Str
```

Str Example Walkthrough

See:

`Str.h`

`Str.cc`

`strtest.cc`

- Look carefully at assignment `operator=`
  - self-assignment test is especially important here

Extra Exercise #1

- Write a C++ function that:
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of strings and uses `delete[]` to free it
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of pointers to strings
    - Assign each entry of the array to a string allocated using `new`
  - Cleans up before exiting
    - Use `delete` to delete each allocated string
    - Uses `delete[]` to delete the string pointer array
    - (whew!)