C++ Heap CSE 333 Fall 2023

Instructor: Chris Thachuk

Teaching Assistants:

Ann BaturytskiHumza LalaYuquan DengAlan LiNoa FermanLeanna Mi NguyenJames FroelichChanh TruongHannah JiangJennifer XuYegor Kuznetsov

Relevant Course Information

- Exercise 6 due tonight
- Exercise 7 due next Wednesday
 - Will build on Exercise 6
- Homework 2 due next Monday (10/30)
 - Hw2 partner declaration due this Thursday (10/26)
- Midterm this Friday in class (10/27)
 - A single 3"x5" index card with handwritten notes is allowed.

Lecture Outline

- **Solution** Using the Heap
 - new/delete/delete[]

C++11 nullptr



- C and C++ have long used NULL as a pointer value that references nothing
- C++11 introduced a new literal for this: nullptr
 - New reserved word
 - Interchangeable with NULL for all practical purposes, but it has type T* for any/every T, and is not an integer value
 - Avoids funny edge cases (see C++ references for details)
 - Still can convert to/from integer 0 for tests, assignment, etc.
 - Advice: prefer nullptr in C++11 code
 - Though ${\tt NULL}$ will also be around for a long, long time

new/delete

- * To allocate on the heap using C++, you use the new keyword instead of malloc() from stdlib.h
 - You can use new to allocate an object (e.g., new Point)
 - You can use new to allocate a primitive type (e.g., new int)
- * To deallocate a heap-allocated object or primitive, use the delete keyword instead of free () from stdlib.h
 - Don't mix and match!
 - <u>Never</u> **free** () something allocated with new
 - <u>Never</u> delete something allocated with malloc ()
 - Careful if you're using a legacy C code library or module in C++

new/delete Behavior

new behavior:

- When allocating you can specify a constructor or initial value
 - *e.g.*, new Point(1, 2), new int(333)
- If no initialization specified, it will use default constructor for objects and uninitialized ("mystery") data for primitives
- You don't need to check that new returns nullptr
 - When an error is encountered, an exception is thrown (that we won't worry about)
- * delete behavior:
 - If you delete already deleted memory, then you will get undefined behavior (same as when you double **free** in C)

new/delete Example

```
int* AllocateInt(int x) {
    int* heapy_int = new int;
    *heapy_int = x;
    return heapy_int;
```

```
Point* AllocatePoint(int x, int y) {
   Point* heapy_pt = new Point(x,y);
   return heapy_pt;
```

heappoint.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
... // definitions of AllocateInt() and AllocatePoint()
int main() {
  Point* x = AllocatePoint(1, 2);
  int* y = AllocateInt(3);
  cout << "x's x_ coord: " << x->get_x() << endl;
  cout << "y: " << y << ", *y: " << *y << endl;
  delete x;
  delete x;
  delete y;
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;</pre>
```

Dynamically Allocated Arrays

- To dynamically allocate an array:
 - Default initialize:

type* name = new type[size];

- To dynamically deallocate an array:
 - Use delete[] name;
 - It is incorrect to use "delete name;" on an array
 - The compiler probably won't catch this, though (!) because it can't always tell if name* was allocated with new type[size]; or new type;
 - Especially inside a function where a pointer parameter could point to a single item or an array and there's no way to tell which!
 - Result of wrong delete is undefined behavior

Arrays Example (primitive)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
int main() {
 int stack int;
 int* heap int = new int;
 int* heap int init = new int(12);
 int stack arr[3];
 int* heap arr = new int[3];
 int* heap arr init val = new int[3]();
 int* heap arr init lst = new int[3]{4, 5}; // C++11
                            //
 delete heap int;
 delete heap int init; //
                             //
 delete heap arr;
 delete[] heap arr init val; //
 return EXIT SUCCESS;
```

Arrays Example (class objects)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
int main() {
  . . .
 Point stack pt(1, 2);
  Point* heap pt = new Point(1, 2);
  Point* heap pt arr err = new Point[2];
  Point* heap pt arr init lst = new Point[2] \{ \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\} \};
                                                           // C++11
  . . .
  delete heap pt;
  delete[] heap pt arr init lst;
  return EXIT SUCCESS;
```

malloc vs. new

	malloc()	new
What is it?	a function	an operator or keyword
How often used (in C)?	often	never
How often used (in C++)?	rarely	often
Allocated memory for	anything	arrays, structs, objects, primitives
Returns	a void* (should be cast)	appropriate pointer type (<i>doesn't need a cast</i>)
When out of memory	returns NULL	throws an exception
Deallocating	free()	delete or delete[]



pollev.com/cse333

What will happen when we invoke **Bar()**?

If there is an error, how would you fix it?

- A. Bad dereference
- **B. Bad delete**
- C. Memory leak
- D. "Works" fine
- E. We're lost...

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~Foo() { delete foo ptr ; }
void Foo::Init(int val) {
   foo ptr = new int;
  *foo ptr = val;
}
Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
  delete foo ptr ;
  Init(*(rhs.foo ptr ));
  return *this;
}
void Bar() {
  Foo a(10);
  Foo b(20);
  a = a;
```

Rule of Three, Revisited

- Now what will happen when we invoke Bar ()?
 - If there is an error, how would you fix it?

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~Foo() { delete foo ptr ; }
void Foo::Init(int val) {
   foo ptr = new int;
  *foo ptr = val;
}
Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
  if (&rhs != this) {
    delete foo ptr ;
    Init(*(rhs.foo ptr ));
  return *this;
}
void Bar() {
  Foo a(10);
  Foo b = a;
```

Extra Exercise #1

- Write a C++ function that:
 - Uses new to dynamically allocate an array of strings and uses delete[] to free it
 - Uses new to dynamically allocate an array of pointers to strings
 - Assign each entry of the array to a string allocated using new
 - Cleans up before exiting
 - Use delete to delete each allocated string
 - Uses delete [] to delete the string pointer array
 - (whew!)

BONUS SLIDES

An extra example for practice with class design and heapallocated data: a C-string wrapper class classed Str.

Heap Member (extra example)

- Let's build a class to simulate some of the functionality of the C++ string
 - Internal representation: c-string to hold characters
- What might we want to implement in the class?

Str Class

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std; // should replace this
class Str {
public:
              // default ctor
 Str();
 Str(const char* s); // c-string ctor
 Str(const Str& s); // copy ctor
                    // dtor
 \simStr();
 int length() const; // return length of string
  char* c str() const; // return a copy of st
 void append(const Str& s);
  Str& operator=(const Str& s); // string assignment
  friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Str& s);</pre>
private:
  char* st ; // c-string on heap (terminated by '\0')
}; // class Str
```

Str::append (extra example)

- Complete the append () member function:
 - char* strncpy(char* dst, char* src, size t num);
 - char* strncat(char* dst, char* src, size t num);

```
#include <cstring>
#include "Str.h"
// append contents of s to the end of this string
void Str::append(const Str& s) {
```

Clone

- ✤ C++11 style guide tip:
 - If you disable them, then you instead may want an explicit "Clone" function that can be used when occasionally needed

Point_2011.h

```
class Point {
  public:
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor
    void Clone(const Point& copy_from_me);
    ...
    Point(Point& copyme) = delete; // disable cctor
    Point& operator=(Point& rhs) = delete; // disable "="
    private:
    ...
}; // class Point
```

sanepoint.cc

Point x(1, 2); // OK
Point y(3, 4); // OK
x.Clone(y); // OK