C++ Class Details, Heap

CSE 333 Spring 2023

Instructor: Chris Thachuk

Teaching Assistants:

Byron Jin	CJ Reith
Deeksha Vatwani	Edward Zhang
Humza Lala	Lahari Nidadavolu
Noa Ferman	Saket Gollapudi
Seulchan (Paul) Han	Timmy Yang
Tim Mandzyuk	Wui Wu

Relevant Course Information

- Exercise 6 due Monday
- Exercise 7 out by Monday
  - Will build on Exercise 6 and use what a lot of is discussed today
- Homework 2 due Thursday (4/27)
  - File system crawler, indexer, and search engine
  - Don’t forget to clone your repo to double-/triple-/quadruple-check compilation!
  - Don’t modify the header files!

Lecture Outline

- **Class Details**
  - **Filling in some gaps from last time**
- Using the Heap
  - `new` / `delete` / `delete[]`

Rule of Three

- If you define any of:
  1) Destructor
  2) Copy Constructor
  3) Assignment (`operator=`)

- Then you should normally define all three
  - Can explicitly ask for default synthesized versions (C++11):

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point() = default;                                     // the default ctor
  ~Point() = default;                                    // the default dtor
  Point(const Point& copyme) = default;                  // the default cctor
  Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = default;          // the default "="
  ...
```

Dealing with the Insanity (C++11)

- C++ style guide tip:
  - Disabling the copy constructor and assignment operator can avoid confusion from implicit invocation and excessive copying

Point_2011.h

```
class Point {
 public:
  Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { }  // ctor
  ...
  Point(const Point& copyme) = delete;    // declare cctor and "=" as
  Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = delete; // as deleted (C++11)
 private:
  ...
};  // class Point

Point w;          // compiler error (no default constructor)
Point x(1, 2);    // OK!
Point y = w;      // compiler error (no copy constructor)
y = x;            // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

Access Control

- Access modifiers for members:
  - `public`: accessible to *all* parts of the program
  - `private`: accessible to the member functions of the class
    - Private to *class*, not object instances
  - `protected`: accessible to member functions of the class and any *derived* classes (subclasses – more to come, later)

- Reminders:
  - Access modifiers apply to *all* members that follow until another access modifier is reached
  - If no access modifier is specified, `struct` members default to `public` and `class` members default to `private`

Nonmember Functions

- "Nonmember functions" are just normal functions that happen to use some class
  - Called like a regular function instead of as a member of a class object instance
    - This gets a little weird when we talk about operators…
  - These do *not* have access to the class' private members

- Useful nonmember functions often included as part of interface to a class
  - Declaration goes in header file, but *outside* of class definition

`friend` Nonmember Functions

- A class can give a nonmember function (or class) access to its non-`public` members by declaring it as a `friend` within its definition
  - Not a class member, but has access privileges as if it were
  - `friend` functions are usually unnecessary if your class includes appropriate "getter" public functions

Complex.h

```
class Complex {
  ...
  friend std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a);
  ...
};  // class Complex
```

```
std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a) {
  ...
}
```

Complex.cc

When to use Nonmember and `friend`

STYLE TIP

There is more to C++ object design that we don't have time to get to; these are good rules of thumb, but be sure to think about your class carefully!

- Member functions:
  - Operators that modify the object being called on
    - Assignment operator (`operator=`)
  - "Core" non-operator functionality that is part of the class interface
- Nonmember functions:
  - Used for commutative operators
    - *e.g.*, so `v1 + v2` is invoked as `operator+(v1, v2)` instead of `v1.operator+(v2)`
  - If operating on two types and the class is on the right-hand side
    - *e.g.*, `cin >> complex;`
  - Returning a "new" object, not modifying an existing one
  - Only grant `friend` permission if you NEED to

Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse333sp

If we wanted to overload `operator==` to compare two `Point` objects, what type of function should it be?

- Reminder that `Point` has getters and a setter

A. non-friend + member

B. friend + member

C. non-friend + non-member

D. friend + non-member

E. I'm lost…

Namespaces

Same name, but different namespace

ll::Iterator
ht::Iterator

- Each namespace is a separate scope
  - Useful for avoiding symbol collisions!

lowercase

- Namespace definition:
  -
```
namespace name {
// declarations go here
}  // namespace name
```

Namespace doesn't add indentation to contents

Comment to remind that this is end of namespace

  - Doesn't end with a semi-colon and doesn't add to the indentation of its contents
  - Creates a new namespace name if it did not exist, otherwise *adds to the existing namespace* (**!**)
    - This means that components (*e.g.*, classes, functions) of a namespace can be defined in multiple source files

Classes vs. Namespaces

- They seems somewhat similar, but classes are *not* namespaces:
  - There are no instances/objects of a namespace; a namespace is just a group of logically-related things (classes, functions, etc.)
  - To access a member of a namespace, you must use the fully qualified name (*i.e.*, `nsp_name::member`)
    - Unless you are `using` that namespace
    - You only used the fully qualified name of a class member when you are defining it outside of the scope of the class definition

Complex Example Walkthrough

See:

`Complex.h`

`Complex.cc`

`testcomplex.cc`

Lecture Outline

- Class Details
  - Filling in some gaps from last time
- **Using the Heap**
  - **`new` / `delete` / `delete[]`**

C++11 `nullptr`

STYLE TIP

- C and C++ have long used `NULL` as a pointer value that references nothing

- C++11 introduced a new literal for this: `nullptr`
  - New reserved word
  - Interchangeable with `NULL` for all practical purposes, but it has type `T*` for any/every `T`, and is not an integer value
    - Avoids funny edge cases (see C++ references for details)
    - Still can convert to/from integer `0` for tests, assignment, etc.
  - Advice: prefer `nullptr` in C++11 code
    - Though `NULL` will also be around for a long, long time

new/delete

- To allocate on the heap using C++, you use the `new` keyword instead of `malloc()` from `stdlib.h`
  - You can use new to allocate an object (*e.g.*, `new Point`)
  - You can use new to allocate a primitive type (*e.g.*, `new int`)
- To deallocate a heap-allocated object or primitive, use the `delete` keyword instead of `free()` from `stdlib.h`
  - Don't mix and match!
    - *Never* `free()` something allocated with `new`
    - *Never* `delete` something allocated with `malloc()`
    - Careful if you're using a legacy C code library or module in C++

`new`/`delete` Behavior

- `new` behavior:
  - When allocating you can specify a constructor or initial value
    - *e.g.*, `new Point(1, 2)`, `new int(333)`
  - If no initialization specified, it will use default constructor for objects and uninitialized ("mystery") data for primitives
  - You don't need to check that `new` returns `nullptr`
    - When an error is encountered, an exception is thrown (that we won't worry about)

- `delete` behavior:
  - If you `delete` already `delete`d memory, then you will get undefined behavior (same as when you double **`free`** in C)

new/delete Example

```
int* AllocateInt(int x) {
  int* heapy_int = new int;
  *heapy_int = x;
  return heapy_int;
}
```

```
Point* AllocatePoint(int x, int y) {
  Point* heapy_pt = new Point(x,y);
  return heapy_pt;
}
```

heappoint.cc

```
#include "Point.h"

...  // definitions of AllocateInt() and AllocatePoint()

int main() {
  Point* x = AllocatePoint(1, 2);
  int* y = AllocateInt(3);

  cout << "x's x_ coord: " << x->get_x() << endl;
  cout << "y: " << y << ", *y: " << *y << endl;

  delete x;
  delete y;
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Dynamically Allocated Arrays

- To dynamically allocate an array:
  - Default initialize: `type* name = new type[size];`

- To dynamically deallocate an array:
  - Use `delete[] name;`
  - It is an *incorrect* to use "`delete name;`" on an array
    - The compiler probably won't catch this, though (!) because it can't always tell if `name*` was allocated with `new type[size];` or `new type;`
      - Especially inside a function where a pointer parameter could point to a single item or an array and there's no way to tell which!
    - Result of wrong `delete` is undefined behavior

Arrays Example (primitive)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"

int main() {
  int stack_int;
  int* heap_int = new int;
  int* heap_int_init = new int(12);

  int stack_arr[3];
  int* heap_arr = new int[3];

  int* heap_arr_init_val = new int[3]();
  int* heap_arr_init_lst = new int[3]{4, 5};  // C++11

  ...

  delete heap_int;              //
  delete heap_int_init;         //
  delete heap_arr;              //
  delete[] heap_arr_init_val;   //

  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Arrays Example (class objects)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"

int main() {
  ...

  Point stack_pt(1, 2);
  Point* heap_pt = new Point(1, 2);

  Point* heap_pt_arr_err = new Point[2];

  Point* heap_pt_arr_init_lst = new Point[2]{{1, 2}, {3, 4}};
                                                          // C++11

  ...

  delete heap_pt;
  delete[] heap_pt_arr_init_lst;

  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

`malloc` vs. `new`

	`malloc()`	`new`
What is it?	a function	an operator or keyword
How often used (in C)?	often	never
How often used (in C++)?	rarely	often
Allocated memory for	anything	arrays, structs, objects, primitives
Returns	a `void*` (*should be cast*)	appropriate pointer type (*doesn't need a cast*)
When out of memory	returns `NULL`	throws an exception
Deallocating	`free()`	`delete` or `delete[]`

Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse333sp

What will happen when we invoke `Bar()`?

- If there is an error, how would you fix it?

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~Foo() { delete foo_ptr_; }

void Foo::Init(int val) {
   foo_ptr_ = new int;
  *foo_ptr_ = val;
}

Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
  delete foo_ptr_;
  Init(*(rhs.foo_ptr_));
  return *this;
}

void Bar() {
  Foo a(10);
  Foo b(20);
  a = a;
}
```

A. Bad dereference
B. Bad delete
C. Memory leak
D. “Works” fine
E. We’re lost…

Rule of Three, Revisited

- Now what will happen when we invoke `Bar()`?
  - If there is an error, how would you fix it?

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~Foo() { delete foo_ptr_; }

void Foo::Init(int val) {
   foo_ptr_ = new int;
  *foo_ptr_ = val;
}

Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
  if (&rhs != this) {
    delete foo_ptr_;
    Init(*(rhs.foo_ptr_));
  }
  return *this;
}

void Bar() {
  Foo a(10);
  Foo b = a;
}
```

Extra Exercise #1

- Write a C++ function that:
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of strings and uses `delete[]` to free it
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of pointers to strings
    - Assign each entry of the array to a string allocated using `new`
  - Cleans up before exiting
    - Use `delete` to delete each allocated string
    - Uses `delete[]` to delete the string pointer array
    - (whew!)