

CSE 341: Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

Fall 2004

Lecture 14— Delayed Evaluation, Memoization, Thunks, Streams

Today

- Scheme top-level: forward references and evil mutation
- Delaying evaluation: Function bodies evaluated only at application
- Key idioms of delaying evaluation
 - Conditionals
 - Streams
 - Laziness
 - Memoization
- In general, evaluation rules defined by language semantics
 - Some languages have “lazy” function application!

Top-level definitions

Scheme top-level allows forward references and mutation of bindings

- What should a name clash do? (In fact, it's mutation.)
- How can you program defensively?
 - General point: Make a local copy!
- How does “primitives are functions” make this harder?
- What do Schemers do in practice?
 - Don't mutate top-level bindings
 - Use a module system for namespace management

Delayed Evaluation

For each language construct, there are rules governing when subexpressions get evaluated. In ML, Scheme, and Java:

- function arguments are “eager” (*call-by-value*)
- conditional branches are not

We could define a language in which function arguments were not evaluated before call, but instead at each use of argument in body. (*call-by-name*)

- Sometimes faster: $(\text{lambda } (x) 3)$
- Sometimes slower: $(\text{lambda } (x) (+ x x))$
- Equivalent if function argument has no effects/non-termination

Thunks

A “thunk” is just a function taking no arguments, which works great for delaying evaluation.

If thunks are lightweight enough syntactically, why not make if eager?
(Smalltalk does this!)

Streams

- A stream is an “infinite” list — you can ask for the rest of it as many times as you like and youll never get null.
- The universe is finite, so a stream must really be an object that acts like an infinite list.
- The idea: use a function to describe what comes next.

Note: Deep connection to sequential feedback circuits

Note: Connection to UNIX pipes

Best of both worlds?

The “lazy” (*call-by-need*) rule: Evaluate the argument, the first time it’s used. Save answer for subsequent uses.

- Asymptotically it’s the best
- But behind-the-scenes bookkeeping can be costly
- And it’s hard to reason about with effects
 - Typically used in (sub)languages without effects
- Nonetheless, a key idiom with syntactic support in Scheme
 - And related to *memoization*

Memoization

A “cache” of previous results is equivalent if results cannot change.

- Could be slower: cache too big or computation too cheap
- Could be faster: just a lookup
 - On homework: An example where it's a *lot* faster by preventing an exponential explosion.

An association list is not the fastest data structure for large memo tables, but works fine for 341.

Question: Why does `assoc` return the pair?