# CSE 341: Programming Languages

Autumn 2008

Oct 24 — Macros

# **Topics**

- What are macros and what do they mean?
  - Why do they have a bad reputation?
- Scheme's macro system and hygiene
  - Free variables in macros
  - Bound variables in macros
  - Why hygiene is usually what you want
- What macros are good and not good for

### Macros

To oversimplify, a macro is just a rule for rewriting programs as a prepass to evaluation. So it's very syntactic.

The "level" at which macros are defined affects their usefulness.

- "Sublexical" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn cart into hdt.
  - No macro system does this; so macro-expander must know how to break programs into tokens.
- "Pre-parsing" e.g.: Replace add(x,y) with x + y (where x and y stand for expressions) would turn add(x,y) \* z into x + y \* z.
  - Some macro systems are this "dumb" (i.e., token-based);
    macro writers use more parens than Schemers.
- "Pre-binding" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn (let\* ([hd 0] [car 1]) hd) into (let\* ([hd 0] [hd 1]) hd).
  - Few macro systems let bindings shadow macros; Scheme does

## The bad news

- Macros are very hard to use well.
- Most macro systems are so impoverished they make it harder.
- Actual uses of macros often used to ameliorate shortcomings in the underlying language.

#### But:

- Macros have some good uses
- Scheme has a very sensible, integrated macro system
- So let's do macros justice for the day.

# Hygiene

#### A "hygienic" macro system:

- Gives fresh names to local variables in macros at each use of the macro
- Binds free variables in macros where the macro is defined

Without hygiene, macro programmers:

- Get very creative with local-variable names
- Get creative with helper-function names too
- Try to avoid local variables, which conflicts with predictable effects

# Why macros

#### Non-reasons:

- Anything where an ordinary binding would work just as well.
- Including manual control of inlining.

#### Reasons:

- Cosmetics
- "Compiling" a domain-specific language
  - But error messages a tough issue
- Changing evaluation-order rules
  - Function application will not do here
- Introducing binding constructs
  - A function here makes no sense