

### Data in Scheme

Recall ML's approach to each-of, one-of, and self-referential types:

datatype t =

Foo of int | Bar of int \* int | Baz of string \* t

Pure Scheme's approach:

- There is One Big Datatype holding *every value*.
- Built-in predicates like null?, number?, procedure?
- Primitives implicitly raise errors for "wrong variant"
- Use pairs (lists) for each-of types
- Can also use for one-of types with explicit "tags"
  - Like our force/delay with a boolean field
  - Symbols better style
- Use helper functions like caddr (and/or define your own).

# Dynamic typing

There is still good reason to have support for *constructors*:

- Make a foo that has fields x, y, z
- Test to see if you have a foo or not

But with dynamic typing:

- Constructors are not "grouped" into types (just added to the One Big Datatype)
- The fields can hold anything

Orthogonally: We don't have pattern-matching.

### <u>define-struct</u>

DrScheme extends Scheme with define-struct, e.g.:

(define-struct card (suit value))

Semantics: Introduce several new bindings...

- constructor (make-card) that takes arguments and make values (like cons)
- *predicate* (card?) that takes 1 argument, return #t only for values made from the right constructor (like cons?).
- *accessors* (card-suit, card-value) that take 1 argument, return a field, or call error for values not made from the right constructor (like car and cdr).
- mutators (set-card-suit!, set-card-value!) that are like accessors except they mutate field contents (like set-car! and set-cdr!).

### Idiom for ML datatypes

Instead of a datatype with n constructors, you just use define-struct n times.

That "these n go together" is just convention.

Instead of case, you have a cond with n predicates and one "catch-all" error case.

```
For homework 5:
```

. . .

```
;; a variable, e.g., (make-var "foo")
(define-struct var (string))
;; a constant number, e.g., (make-int 17)
(define-struct int (num))
(define-struct add (e1 e2)) ;; add two expressions
(define-struct ifgreater (e1 e2 e3 e4)) ;; etc.
```

### define-struct is special

define-struct creates a new variant for The One Big Datatype.

Claim: define-struct is not a function.

Claim: define-struct is not a macro.

It could be a macro except for one key bit of its semantics: Values built from the constructor cause every *other* predicate (including all built-in ones like pair?) to return #f.

Advantage: abstraction and bug-catching (clients can't "abuse" your things as though they were something else)

Disadvantage: Can't write "generic" code that has a case for every possible variant in every Scheme program (like eval).

## Implementing Languages

Mostly 341 is about language meaning, not "how can an implementation do that", but it's important to "dispel the magic".

At super high-level, there are two ways to implement a language A:

- Write an *interpreter* in language *B* that evaluates a program in *A* Like we *just saw* for a little expression language
- Write a *compiler* in language B that translates a program in A to a program in language C (and have an implementation of C)

In theory, this is just an implementation decision.

HW5: An interpreter for  ${\scriptstyle\rm MUPL}$  in Scheme.

Most interesting thing about MUPL: higher-order functions.

### How is one language inside another?

How is:

```
(make-negate (make-add (make-const 2) (make-const 2)))
a "program" instead of
```

```
"- (2 + 2)"
```

Because *parsing* — turning a string/file into a tree of datatype-like things is covered in CSE401.

These trees are called abstract-syntax trees (or ASTs).

They are ideal *program representations* for passing to an interpreter.

We can write them by hand, or write a parser, or write code that produces them.

## An interpreter

A "direct" language implementation is often just writing our evaluation rules for our language in another language.

- Languages with variables need interpreters with *environments*
- "eval-prog" takes an environment and an expression and returns a value (the subset of expressions that we define to be answers)
- An environment is just a mapping from variables to values (e.g., an association list)
- "eval-prog" uses recursion
  - Example: To evaluate an addition expression, evaluate the two subexpressions under the same environment, then...
- For homework 5, expressions & environments are all we need
  - Exceptions or mutation can require more inputs/outputs to "eval-prog"

# Implementing Higher-Order Functions

The magic: How is the "right environment" around for lexical scope (the environment from when the function was defined)?

Lack of magic: Implementation keeps it around!

Interpreter:

- The interpreter has a "current environment"
- To evaluate a function (expression), create a closure (value), a *pair* of the function and the "current environment".
- Application will now apply a closure to an argument: Interpret function body, but instead of using "current environment", use closure's environment extended with the argument.

Note: This is directly implementing the semantics from week 3.

### Is that expensive?

Building a closure is easy; you already have the environment.

Since environments are immutable, it's easy to share them.

Still, a given closure doesn't need most of the environment, so for *space efficiency* it can be worth it to make a new smaller environment holding only the function's free variables.

- That is, an approximation of the things a call to the function might look up.
- Challenge problem in homework 5

# Compiling Higher-Order Functions

The key to the interpreter approach: The interpreter has an explicit environment and can "change" it to implement lexical scope.

We can also *compile* higher-order functions to a language without higher-order functions:

Instead of an *implicit* environment, we pass an *explicit* environment to every function.

- As with interpreter, we build a closure to evaluate functions.
- But all functions now take one extra argument.
- Application passes a closure's code its own environment for the extra argument.
- Evaluating variables uses this extra argument.
  - Compiler translates them to environment-reads.

Plus: Data-structure optimizations so variable-lookup is O(1)