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## Efficiency exercise

- Write a function called reverse that accepts a list and produces the same elements in the opposite order.
- reverse([6, 2, 9, 7]) produces [7, 9, 2, 6]
- Write a function called range that accepts a maximum integer value $n$ and produces the list $[1,2,3, \ldots, n-1, n]$. Produce an empty list for all numbers less than 1.
- Example: range(5) produces [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]


## Flawed solutions

- These solutions are correct; but they have a problem...
fun reverse([]) = []
| reverse(first :: rest) = reverse(rest) @ [first];
fun range $(0)=[]$
| $\operatorname{range}(\mathrm{n})=\operatorname{range}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ @ [n];


## Efficiency of the @ operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { val } x=[2,4,7] ; \\
& \text { val } y=[5,3] ; \\
& \text { val } a=9:: x ; \\
& \text { val } z=x @ y ;
\end{aligned}
$$



- The : : operator is fast: $O(1)$
- simply creates a link from the first element to front of right
- The @ operator is slow: O(n)
- must walk/copy the left list and then append the right one
- using @ in a recursive function $n$ times : function is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$


## Flawed solution in action

fun reverse([]) = []
reverse(first : : rest) = reverse(rest) @ [first];
reverse([2, 4, 7, 6]);

[] @ first $\boxed{6}$ rest []

## Fixing inefficient reverse

- How can we improve the inefficient reverse code?
fun reverse([]) = []
| reverse(first :: rest) = reverse(rest) @ [first];
- Hint: Replace @ with : : as much as possible.
- : : adds to the front of a list. How can we perform a reversal by repeatedly adding to the front of a list? (Think iteratively...)


## Better reverse solution

fun reverse([]) = []
| reverse(L)
let (* lst accumulates reversed values *)
fun helper(lst, []) = lst
| helper(lst, first::rest) = helper(first::lst, rest)
in helper([], L)
end;

- The parameter lst here serves as an accumulator.


## Fixing inefficient range

- How can we improve the inefficient range code?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fun } \operatorname{range}(0)=[] \\
& \mid \quad \operatorname{range}(n)=\operatorname{range}(n-1) @[n] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hint: Replace @ with : : as much as possible.
- Hint: We can't build the list from front to back the way it's currently written, because $n$ (the max of the range) is the only value we have available.
- Hint: Consider a helper function that can build a range in order from smallest to largest value.


## Better range solution

fun range(n) =
let
fun helper(lst, i) =
if $i=0$ then lst else helper(i :: lst, i - 1)
in
helper([], n)
end;

- The parameter lst here serves as an accumulator.


## Times-two function

- Consider the following function:
(* Multiplies $n$ by 2; a silly function. *)
fun timesTwo(0) $=0$
| timesTwo(n) = $2+$ timesTwo( $n-1)$;
- Run the function for large values of $n$.

Q: Why is it so slow?

- A: Each call must wait for the results of all the other calls to return before it can add 2 and return its own result.


## Tail recursion

- tail recursion: When the end result of a recursive function can be expressed entirely as one recursive call.
- Tail recursion is good.

A smart functional language can detect and optimize it.

- If a call $f(x)$ makes a recursive call $f(y)$, as its last action, the interpreter can discard $f(x)$ from the stack and just jump to $f(y)$.

- Essentially a way to implement iteration recursively.


## Times-two function revisited

- This code is not tail recursive because of $\mathbf{2}+$
(* Multiplies $n$ by 2; a silly function. *)
fun timesTwo(0) $=0$
| timesTwo(n) = $2+\operatorname{timesTwo(n-1);~}$
- Exercise: Make the code faster using an accumulator.
- accumulator: An extra parameter that stores a partial result in progress, to facilitate tail recursion.


## Iterative times-two in Java

// Multiplies $n$ by 2; a silly function. public static int timesTwo(int n) \{ int sum = 0;
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) \{ sum = sum + 2;
\}
return sum;
\}

## Iterative times-two in Java, v2

// Multiplies $n$ by 2; a silly function. public static int timesTwo(int n) \{ int sum = 0;
while ( $n>0$ ) \{
sum = sum + 2;
n = n - 1;
\}
return sum;
\}

## Tail recursive times-two in ML

(* Multiplies $n$ by 2; a silly function. *)
fun timesTwo(n) =
let
help(sum, 0) = sum
| help(sum, k) = help(sum + 2, k - 1)
in
help(0, n)
end;

- Accumulator variable sum grows as $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k})$ shrinks.



## Efficiency and Fibonacci

- The fibonacci function we wrote previously is also inefficient, for a different reason.
- It makes an exponential number of recursive calls!
- Example: fibonacci(5)
- fibonacci(4)
-fibonacci(3)
» fibonacci(2)
» fibonacci(1)
-fibonacci(2)
-fibonacci(3)
-fibonacci(2)
-fibonacci(1)
- How can we fix it to make fewer $(O(n))$ calls?


## Iterative Fibonacci in Java

```
// Returns the nth Fibonacci number.
// Precondition: n >= 1
public static int fibonacci(int n) {
    if (n == 1 || n == 2) {
        return 1;
    }
    int curr = 1; // the 2 most recent Fibonacci numbers
    int prev = 1;
    // k stores what fib number we are on now
    for (int k = 2; k < n; k++) {
        int next = curr + prev; // advance to next
        prev = curr; // Fibonacci number
        curr = next;
    }
    return curr;
}
```


## Efficient Fibonacci in ML

```
(* Returns the nth Fibonacci number.
    Precondition: n >= 1 *)
fun \(\mathrm{fib}(1)=1\)
    fib(2) \(=1\)
    fib(n) \(=\)
    let
    fun helper(k, prev, curr) =
                        if \(k=n\) then curr
                        else helper(k + 1, curr, prev + curr)
in
            helper(2, 1, 1)
        end;
```


## The print function (4.1)

## print(string);

- The type of print is fn : string -> unit
- unit is a type whose sole value is () (like void in Java)
- unlike most ML functions, print has a side effect (output)
- print accepts only a string as its argument
- can convert other types to string: Int.toString(int), Real.toString(real), Bool.toString(bool), str(char), etc.


## "Statement" lists

## (expression; expression; expression)

- evaluates a sequence of expressions; a bit like \{ \} in Java
- the above is itself an expression
- its result is the value of the last expression
- might seem similar to a let-expression...
- but a let modifies the ML environment (defines symbols); a "statement" list simply evaluates expressions, each of which might have side effects


## Using print

- fun printList([]) = ()
$=$ | printList(first: :rest) = ( print(first ^ "\n); printList(rest)
);
val printList $=$ fn : string list $->$ unit
- printList(["a", "b", "c"]);
a
b
c
val it = () : unit


## print for debugging

(* Computes n!; not tail recursive. *)
fun factorial(0) $=0$
| factorial(n) = (
print("n is " ^ str(n));
n * factorial(n - 1)
);

- Useful pattern for debugging:
- ( print(whatever); your original code )

