



# CSE341: Programming Languages Lecture 8 Lexical Scope and Function Closures

Dan Grossman Fall 2011

## Very important concept

- We know function bodies can use any bindings in scope
- But now that functions can be passed around: In scope where?

Where the function was defined (not where it was called)

- There are lots of good reasons for this semantics
  - Discussed after explaining what the semantics is
- For HW, exams, and competent programming, you must "get this"
- This semantics is called *lexical scope*

Fall 2011

## Example

Demonstrates lexical scope even without higher-order functions:

- Line 2 defines a function that, when called, evaluates body x+y in environment where x maps to 1 and y maps to the argument
- Call on line 5:
  - Looks up f to get the function defined on line 2
  - Evaluates x+y in current environment, producing 7
  - Calls the function, which evaluates the body in the old environment, producing 8

#### Closures

How can functions be evaluated in old environments that aren't around anymore?

– The language implementation keeps them around as necessary

Can define the semantics of functions as follows:

- A function value has two parts
  - The code (obviously)

- The environment that was current when the function was defined

- This is a "pair" but unlike ML pairs, you cannot access the pieces
- All you can do is call this "pair"
- This pair is called a *function closure*
- A call evaluates the code part in the environment part (extended with the function argument)

### Example

(\* 1 \*) val x = 1 (\* 2 \*) fun f y = x + y (\* 3 \*) val x = 3 (\* 4 \*) val y = 4 (\* 5 \*) val z = f (x + y)

- Line 2 creates a closure and binds f to it:
  - Code: "take y and have body x+y"
  - Environment: "x maps to 1"
    - (Plus whatever else is in scope, including **f** for recursion)

## So what?

Now you know the rule. Next steps:

- (Silly) examples to demonstrate how the rule works for higherorder functions
- Why the other natural rule, *dynamic scope*, is a bad idea
- Powerful idioms with higher-order functions that use this rule
   This lecture: Passing functions to iterators like filter
  - Next lecture: Several more idioms

#### Example: Returning a function

- Trust the rule: Evaluating line 4 binds to g to a closure:
  - Code: "take z and have body x+y+z"
  - Environment: "y maps to 4, x maps to 5 (shadowing), ..."
  - So this closure will always add 9 to its argument
- So line 6 binds 15 to z

## Example: Passing a function



- Trust the rule: Evaluating line 3 binds h to a closure:
  - Code: "take y and have body x+y"
  - Environment: "x maps to 4, f maps to a closure, ..."
  - So this closure will always add 4 to its argument
- So line 4 binds 6 to z
  - Line 1a is as stupid and irrelevant as it should be

Fall 2011

## Why lexical scope?

1. Function meaning does not depend on variable names used

Example: Can change body to use  $\mathbf{q}$  instead of  $\mathbf{x}$ 

- Lexical scope: it can't matter
- Dynamic scope: Depends how result is used

```
fun f y =
let val x = y+1
in fn z => x+y+z end
```

Example: Can remove unused variables

- Dynamic scope: But maybe some g uses it (weird)

```
fun f g =
   let val x = 3
   in g 2 end
```

## Why lexical scope?

2. Functions can be type-checked & reasoned about where defined

Example: Dynamic scope tries to add a string and an unbound variable to 6

```
val x = 1
fun f y =
    let val x = y+1
    in fn z => x+y+z end
val x = "hi"
val g = f 4
val z = g 6
```

## Why lexical scope?

- 3. Closures can easily store the data they need
  - Many more examples and idioms to come

```
fun greaterThanX x = fn y => y > x
fun filter (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs => if f x
        then x::(filter(f,xs))
        else filter(f,xs)
fun noNegatives xs = filter(greaterThanX ~1, xs)
```

## Does dynamic scope exist?

- Lexical scope for variables is definitely the right default
  - Very common across languages
- Dynamic scope is occasionally convenient in some situations
  - So some languages (e.g., Racket) have special ways to do it
  - But most don't bother
- If you squint some, exception handling is more like dynamic scope:
  - **raise** e transfers control to the current innermost handler
  - Does not have to be syntactically inside a handle expression (and usually isn't)

### Recomputation

These both work and rely on using variables in the environment

The first one computes String.size once per element of xs The second one computes String.size s once per list

 Nothing new here: let-bindings are evaluated when encountered and function bodies evaluated when *called*

#### Iterators made better

- Functions like **map** and **filter** are *much* more powerful thanks to closures and lexical scope
- Function passed in can use any "private" data in its environment
- Iterator "doesn't even know the data is there" or what type it has

## Another famous function: Fold

**fold** (and synonyms / close relatives reduce, inject, etc.) is another very famous iterator over recursive structures

Accumulates an answer by repeatedly applying **f** to answer so far

- fold(f,acc,[x1,x2,x3,x4]) computes
f(f(f(f(acc,x1),x2),x3),x4)

```
fun fold (f,acc,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => acc
    | x::xs => fold(f, f(acc,x), xs)
```

- This version "folds left"; another version "folds right"

- Whether the direction matters depends on f (often not)

val fold = fn : ('a \* 'b -> 'a) \* 'a \* 'b list -> 'a

Fall 2011

## Examples with fold

These are useful and do not use "private data"

These are useful and do use "private data"

```
fun f3 (xs,hi,lo) =
   fold(fn (x,y) =>
        x + (if y >= lo andalso y <= hi
            then 1
            else 0)),
        0, xs)
fun f4 (g,xs) = fold(fn (x,y) => x andalso g y),
            true, xs)
```

## Why iterators again?

- These "iterator-like" functions are not built into the language
  - Just a programming pattern
  - Though many languages have built-in support, which often allows stopping early without using exceptions
- This pattern separates recursive traversal from data processing
  - Can reuse same traversal for different data processing
  - Can reuse same data processing for different data structures