CSE 341: Programming Languages

Hal Perkins
Spring 2011
Lecture 2— Functions, pairs, and lists

What is a programming language?

Here are separable concepts for defining and evaluating a language:

- syntax: how do you write the various parts of the language?
- semantics: what do programs mean? (One way to answer: what are the evaluation rules?)
- idioms: how do you typically use the language to express computations?
- libraries: does the language provide "standard" facilities such as file-access, hashtables, etc.? How?
- tools: what is available for manipulating programs in the language?

Our focus

This course: focus on semantics and idioms to make you a better programmer

Reality: Good programmers know semantics, idioms, libraries, and tools

Libraries are crucial, but you can learn them on your own.

Goals for today

- Add some more absolutely essential ML constructs
- Discuss lots of "first-week" gotchas
- Enough to do most problems on first homework
 - (rest after Friday)
 - And we will learn better constructs soon
 - andalso, orelse also quite useful

Note: These slides make much more sense in conjunction with lec2.sml.

Recall a program is a sequence of bindings...

Function Definitions

... A second kind of binding is for functions (kinda like Java methods without fields, classes, statements, ...)

Syntax: fun x0 (x1 : t1, ..., xn : tn) = e

Typing rules:

- 1. Context for e is (the function's context extended with) x1:t1, ..., xn:tn and:
- 2. x0 : (t1 * ... * tn) -> t where:
- 3. e has type t in this context

(This "definition" is circular because functions can call themselves and the type-checker "guessed" t.)

(It turns out in ML there is always a "best guess" and the type-checker can always "make that guess". For now, it's magic.)

Evaluation: A function is a value.

Function Applications (a.k.a. Calls)

Syntax: e0 (e1,...,en) (parens optional for one argument)

Typing rules (all in the application's context):

- 1. e0 must have some type (t1 * ... * tn) \rightarrow t
- 2. ei must have type ti (for i=1, ..., i=n)
- 3. e0 (e1,...,en) has type t

Evaluation rules:

- 1. e0 evaluates to a function f in the application's environment
- 2. ei evaluates to value vi in the application's environment
- 3. result is f's body evaluated in an environment extended to bind xi to vi (for i=1, ..., i=n).

("an environment" is actually the environment where f was defined)

Some Gotchas

- The * between argument types (and pair-type components) has nothing to do with the * for multiplication
- In practice, you almost never have to write argument types
 - But you occasionally need them
 - And it can improve error messages and your understanding
 - Type inference is a very cool thing in ML
 - Types unneeded for other variables or function return-types
- Context and environment for a function body includes:
 - Previous bindings
 - Function arguments
 - The function itself
 - But not later bindings

Recursion

- A function can be defined in terms of itself.
- This "makes sense" if the calls to itself (recursive calls) solve "simpler" problems.
- This is more powerful than loops and often more convenient.
- Many, many examples to come in 341.

Pairs

Our first way to build *compound data* out of simpler data:

- Syntax to build a pair: (e1,e2)
- If e1 has type t1 and e2 has type t2 (in current context), then (e1,e2) has type t1*t2.
 - (It would be nice if it were (t1,t2), but it isn't.)
- If e1 evaluates to v1 and e2 evaluates to v2 (in current environment), then (e1,e2) evaluates to (v1,v2).
 - (Pairs of values are values.)
- Syntax to get part of a pair: #1 e or #2 e.
- Type rules for getting part of a pair:
- Evaluation rules for getting part of a pair:

Tuples

Actually, you can have tuples with any number of parts:

- (e1,e2,...,en)
- t1 * t2 * ... * tn
- #n e for any number n

Lists

We can have pairs of pairs of pairs... but we still "commit" to the amount of data when we write down a type.

Lists can have any number of elements:

- [] is the empty list (a value)
- ullet More generally, [v1,v2,...,vn] is a length n list
- If e1 evaluates to v and e2 evaluates to a list [v1,v2,...,vn], then e1::e2 evaluates to [v,v1,v2,...,vn] (a value).
- null e evaluates to true if and only if e evaluates to []
- If e evaluates to [v1,v2,...,vn], then hd e evaluates to v1 and tl e evaluates to [v2,...,vn].
 - If e evaluates to [], a run-time exception is raised (this is different than a type error; more on this later)

List types

A given list's elements must all have the same type.

If the elements have type t, then the list has type t list. Examples: int list, (int*int) list, (int list) list.

What are the type rules for ::, null, hd, and tl?

Possible exceptions do not affect the type.

Hmmm, that does not explain the type of [] ?

- It can have any list type, which is indicated via 'a list.
- That is, we can build a list of any type from [].
- Polymorphic types are 3 weeks ahead of us.
 - Teaser: null, hd, and tl are not keywords!

Recursion again

Functions over lists that depend on all list elements will be recursive:

- What should the answer be for the empty list?
- What should the answer be for a non-empty list? (*Typically in terms of the answer for the tail of the list!*)

Functions that produce lists of (potentially) any size will be recursive:

- When do we create a small (e.g., empty) list?
- How should we build a bigger list out of a smaller one?

Sharing, no mutation, etc.

Does tl copy the list or share its result with the tail of its argument? What about our elegant append?

It doesn't matter!!!

- All that worrying you did in Java about aliasing, object identity, copying versus updating, equal vs. same-object is only relevant when you have assignment statements!
 - A great reason not to use them.

In ML, if append ([1,2],[3,4,5]) produces [1,2,3,4,5], you cannot tell how much sharing there is, so you don't have to think about it.

• Implementation tends to get the efficiency of sharing (t1 is fast and doesn't make a "new" list), but without mutation there are no complications.