



CSE341: Programming Languages Lecture 4 Records, Datatypes, Case Expressions

Dan Grossman Spring 2013

Five different things

- 1. Syntax: How do you write language constructs?
- 2. Semantics: What do programs mean? (Evaluation rules)
- 3. Idioms: What are typical patterns for using language features to express your computation?
- 4. Libraries: What facilities does the language (or a well-known project) provide "standard"? (E.g., file access, data structures)
- Tools: What do language implementations provide to make your job easier? (E.g., REPL, debugger, code formatter, ...)
 - Not actually part of the language

These are 5 separate issues

- In practice, all are essential for good programmers
- Many people confuse them, but shouldn't

Spring 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Our Focus

This course focuses on semantics and idioms

- Syntax is usually uninteresting
 - A fact to learn, like "The American Civil War ended in 1865"
 - People obsess over subjective preferences
- · Libraries and tools crucial, but often learn new ones "on the job"
 - We are learning semantics and how to use that knowledge to understand all software and employ appropriate idioms
 - By avoiding most libraries/tools, our languages may look "silly" but so would any language used this way

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

How to build bigger types

- · Already know:
 - Have various base types like int bool unit char
 - Ways to build (nested) compound types: tuples, lists, options
- · Today: more ways to build compound types
- First: 3 most important type building blocks in any language
 - "Each of": A t value contains values of each of t1 t2 ... tn
 - "One of": A t value contains values of one of t1 t2 ... tn
 - "Self reference": A t value can refer to other t values

Remarkable: A lot of data can be described with just these building blocks

Note: These are not the common names for these concepts

ng 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Examples

- Tuples build each-of types
 - int * bool contains an int and a bool
- Options build one-of types
 - int option contains an int or it contains no data
- Lists use all three building blocks
 - int list contains an int and another int list or it contains no data
- And of course we can nest compound types
 - ((int * int) option) * (int list list)) option

Rest of this Lecture

- · Another way to build each-of types in ML
 - Records: have named fields
 - Connection to tuples and idea of syntactic sugar
- A way to build and use our own one-of types in ML
 - For example, a type that contains an int or a string
 - Will lead to pattern-matching, one of ML's coolest and strangest-to-Java-programmers features
- · Later in course: How OOP does one-of types
 - Key contrast with procedural and functional programming

Spring 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages 5 Spring 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

Records

Record values have fields (any name) holding values

$${f1 = v1, ..., fn = vn}$$

Record types have fields (and name) holding types

The order of fields in a record value or type never matters

- REPL alphabetizes fields just for consistency

Building records:

$$\{f1 = e1, ..., fn = en\}$$

Accessing components:

#myfieldname e

(Evaluation rules and type-checking as expected)

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

Note we did not have to declare any record types

#id x

- The same program could also make a

{id=true,ego=false} of type {id:bool,ego:bool}

#name x

Spring 2013

Example

Evaluates to

And has type

fields with:

CSE341: Programming Languages

 ${name = "Amelia", id = 41123 - 12}$

{id = 41111, name = "Amelia"}

{id : int, name : string}

If some expression such as a variable x has this type, then get

By name vs. by position

- Little difference between (4,7,9) and {f=4,g=7,h=9}
 - Tuples a little shorter
 - Records a little easier to remember "what is where"
 - Generally a matter of taste, but for many (6? 8? 12?) fields, a record is usually a better choice
- A common decision for a construct's syntax is whether to refer to things by position (as in tuples) or by some (field) name (as with records)
 - A common hybrid is like with Java method arguments (and ML functions as used so far):
 - · Caller uses position
 - · Callee uses variables
 - · Could totally do it differently; some languages have

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

The truth about tuples

Previous lecture gave tuples syntax, type-checking rules, and evaluation rules

But we could have done this instead:

- Tuple syntax is just a different way to write certain records
- (e1,...,en) is another way of writing {1=e1,...,n=en}
- t1*...*tn is another way of writing {1:t1,...,n:tn}
- In other words, records with field names 1, 2, ...

In fact, this is how ML actually defines tuples

- Other than special syntax in programs and printing, they don't exist
- You really can write {1=4,2=7,3=9}, but it's bad style

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

Syntactic sugar

"Tuples are just syntactic sugar for records with fields named 1, 2, ... n"

- Syntactic: Can describe the semantics entirely by the corresponding record syntax
- Sugar. They make the language sweeter ©

Will see many more examples of syntactic sugar

- They simplify understanding the language
- They simplify implementing the language

Why? Because there are fewer semantics to worry about even though we have the syntactic convenience of tuples

Another example we saw: andalso and orelse vs. if then else CSE341: Programming Languages

Datatype bindings

A "strange" (?) and totally awesome (!) way to make one-of types:

A datatype binding

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int * int
                  Str of string
                  Pizza
```

- Adds a new type mytype to the environment
- Adds constructors to the environment: TwoInts, Str, and Pizza
- A constructor is (among other things), a function that makes values of the new type (or is a value of the new type):

```
- TwoInts : int * int -> mytype
- Str : string -> mytype
```

- Pizza : mytype

11

Spring 2013 CSE341: Programming Languages

The values we make

- Any value of type mytype is made from one of the constructors
- · The value contains:
 - A "tag" for "which constructor" (e.g., TwoInts)
 - The corresponding data (e.g., (7,9))
- Examples:
 - TwoInts(3+4,5+4) evaluates to TwoInts(7,9)
 - Str(if true then "hi" else "bye") evaluates to Str("hi")
 - Pizza is a value

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Using them

So we know how to build datatype values; need to access them

There are two aspects to accessing a datatype value

- 1. Check what variant it is (what constructor made it)
- 2. Extract the data (if that variant has any)

Notice how our other one-of types used functions for this:

- · null and isSome check variants
- · hd, t1, and valOf extract data (raise exception on wrong variant)

ML could have done the same for datatype bindings

- For example, functions like "isStr" and "getStrData"
- Instead it did something better

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

Case

ML combines the two aspects of accessing a one-of value with a case expression and pattern-matching

- Pattern-matching much more general/powerful (lecture 5)

Example:

```
fun f x = (* f has type mytype -> int *)
    case x of
        Pizza => 3
        | TwoInts(i1,i2) => i1+i2
        | Str s => String.size s
```

- A multi-branch conditional to pick branch based on variant
- · Extracts data and binds to variables local to that branch
- · Type-checking: all branches must have same type
- Evaluation: evaluate between case ... of and the right branch

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

Patterns

In general the syntax is:

For today, each *pattern* is a constructor name followed by the right number of variables (i.e., C or C \times or C (\times, y) or ...)

- Syntactically most patterns (all today) look like expressions
- But patterns are not expressions
 - · We do not evaluate them
 - We see if the result of e0 matches them

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

16

Why this way is better

- 0. You can use pattern-matching to write your own testing and data-extractions functions if you must
 - But do not do that on your homework
- 1. You cannot forget a case (inexhaustive pattern-match warning)
- 2. You cannot duplicate a case (a type-checking error)
- You will not forget to test the variant correctly and get an exception (like hd [])
- Pattern-matching can be generalized and made more powerful, leading to elegant and concise code

Spring 2013

CSE341: Programming Languages

17