## CSE 341 - Programming Languages <br> Midterm - Winter 2015 - Answer Key

1. (4 points) What is the value of mystery? (If it's infinite give the first several elements.)
```
mystery = "squid" : mystery
    ["squid", "squid", "squid", "squid", "squid" .....]
```

2. (10 points) Define a function nodups in Racket that takes a sorted list of numbers and returns a list that is the same, except with duplicates removed from the list. For example, (nodups '( $\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5\end{array}\right)$ ) evaluates to (1 $3 \mathrm{l}^{1} 4$ 5), and (nodups '()) evaluates to ().
```
(define (nodups s)
    (cond ((null? s) s)
                ((null? (cdr s)) s)
                ((= (car s) (cadr s)) (nodups (cdr s)))
                (else (cons (car s) (nodups (cdr s))))))
```

3. (6 points) What is the result of evaluating the following Racket expressions?
```
(let ((a 1)
            (b 10)
            (c 20))
        (let* ((a 100)
            (b a))
            (list a b c)))
'(100 100 20)
(let ((n 10))
    (letrec ((f (lambda () (+ n 1)))
                        (n 3))
            (f)))
```

4
4. (8 points) Consider the following Racket program.

```
    (define y 10)
    (define (clam)
        (+ y 1))
    (define (crab y)
            (* y (clam)))
```

(a) What is the result of evaluating (clam)?

11

What is the result of evaluating (crab 5)? 55
(b) Suppose Racket used dynamic scoping. What would be the result of evaluating (clam)?

11
What would be the result of evaluating (crab 5) ?
30
5. (6 points) Consider the zip, zip3, and uncurry functions from the Haskell Prelude. zip takes two lists and produces a single list, consisting of pairs of corresponding elements from each list. zip3 does the same thing, but for three lists. uncurry takes an ordinary curried function with two arguments and turns it into a function that takes a single argument that is a pair. Finally, let's define a function gt that is an uncurried version of $>$. These are defined as follows:

```
zip [] _ = []
zip _ [] = []
zip (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x,y) : zip xs ys
zip3 [] - _ = []
zip3 - [] _ = []
zip3 - - [] = []
zip3 (x:xs) (y:ys) (z:zs) = (x,y,z) : zip3 xs ys zs
uncurry f (x,y) = f x y
gt x = uncurry (>) x
```

For example, zip $[1,2,3][10,11,12]$ evaluates to $[(1,10),(2,11),(3,12)]$, and uncurry $(+)(3,4)$ evaluates to 7.
Circle each type declaration that is a correct type for $g t$. (Not necessarily the most general type, just a correct one.)

```
gt :: a -> b -> Bool NOT CORRECT
gt :: Int a => a -> a -> Bool NOT CORRECT
gt :: Ord a => (a,a) -> Bool CORRECT
gt :: Num a => (a,a) -> Bool NOT CORRECT
(note that Num is not a subclass of Ord)
gt :: Integral a => (a,a) -> Bool CORRECT
```

Which of the above types, if any, is the most general type for $g t$ ?
Ord a => (a,a) -> Bool
6. (5 points) Using the functions defined in Question 5, what is the type of each of the following Haskell expressions? If it has a type error, say that.

```
zip3
[a] -> [b] -> [c] -> [(a, b, c)]
uncurry
(a -> b -> c) -> (a, b) -> c
map gt zip
TYPE ERROR
map gt . zip
TYPE ERROR
map gt . (uncurry zip)
Ord t => ([t], [t]) -> [Bool]
```

7. (3 points) What is the value of each of these expressions? (They all are correctly typed.) If it is an infinite list, give at least the first 5 values in the list.
```
zip [1..] [20..25]
[(1,20),(2,21),(3,22),(4,23),(5,24),(6,25)]
map gt $ zip [1,2,3,4] [3,3,3,3]
[False,False,False,True]
zip3 [1..] [1..] [1..]
[(1,1,1),(2,2,2),(3,3,3),(4,4,4),(5,5,5) ...]
```

8. (7 points) Convert the following Haskell action into an equivalent one that doesn't use do.
```
    echo = do
        putStr "your input: "
        s <- getLine
        putStr "you typed "
        putStrLn s
echo = putStr "your input: " >> getLine >>= \s -> putStr "you typed " >>
    putStrLn s
```

9. (6 points) Consider the following Octopus program.
```
(let ((n 100))
    (letrec
            ((f (lambda (m) (+ m n))))
        (f (+ n 5))))
```

(Note: this is corrected from the printed version, by adding the extra parens, as was written on the whiteboard.) To simplify the answers a little, suppose that the global environment only contains bindings for + , - , and equal?. (Omit the other functions and constants.) So if the question were "What are the names in the global environment," the answer would be,+- , and equal?.
(a) What are the names in the environment bound in the closure for the lambda? $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{+},-$ equal?
(b) What are the names in the environment that Octopus uses when evaluating the body of the function $f$ when it is called in the above expression?

```
m, n, f, +, -, equal?
```

10. (10 points) Write a case for the Octopus eval function to handle or. You can use a helper function if needed. Your code should have Octopus handle or exactly as in Racket: it can take 0 or more arguments, and does short-circuit evaluation. Hints: (or \#f (+10 10) 3 \#t) evaluates to 20. Be sure you only evaluate $(+1010)$ one time. Here is the header for the new case:
```
eval (OctoList (OctoSymbol "or" : args)) env = .....
```

eval (OctoList (OctoSymbol "or" : args)) env = eval_or args env
eval_or [] env = OctoBool False
eval_or (x:xs) env =
if expl==OctoBool False
then eval_or xs env
else exp1
where expl = eval $x$ env
11. (10 points) True or false?
(a) In Racket, the expressions in the body of a delay will be evaluated zero times or one time, but never more than one time.
True
(b) In the Haskell expression $3+2.8$, the 3 is coerced from type Int to type Float.

False - unlike other languages, Haskell doesn't use coercion.
(c) In Racket, evaluating the expression (cons 34 ) results in an improper list.

True - it's the list (3 . 4)
(d) Suppose we have a Racket expression that uses let*, without any function definitions. With this restriction, if you replace the let * with letrec, the expression will always evaluate to the same thing.
False. Here's an example:

```
(define m 1)
```

(let* ( ( n m)
(m5))
n)

This is correct for let* and evaluates to 1, but in Racket itself gives an error for letrec since m isn't defined at the time it is used. (Interestingly, in Octopus it does work, due to the lazy construction of the environment, and gives a different answer, namely 5 . But in any case it doesn't evaluate to the same thing.)
(e) In Racket, if a and bare both bound to symbols, (equal? a b) and (eq? a b) always evaluate to the same thing.
True

