



# CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 20
Arrays and Such,
Blocks and Procs,
Inheritance and Overriding

Dan Grossman Spring 2016

#### This lecture

Three mostly separate topics

- · Flexible arrays, ranges, and hashes [actually covered in section]
- Ruby's approach to almost-closures (blocks) and closures (Procs)
  - [partially discussed in section as well]
  - Convenient to use; unusual approach
  - Used throughout large standard library
    - · Explicit loops rare
    - · Instead of a loop, go find a useful iterator
- · Subclasses, inheritance, and overriding
  - The essence of OOP, now in a more dynamic language

2

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

## Ruby Arrays

- Lots of special syntax and many provided methods for the Array class
- · Can hold any number of other objects, indexed by number
  - Get via a[i]
  - Set via a[i] = e
- · Compared to arrays in many other languages
  - More flexible and dynamic
  - Fewer operations are errors
  - Less efficient
- "The standard collection" (like lists were in ML and Racket)

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

# Using Arrays

- · See many examples, some demonstrated here
- · Consult the documentation/tutorials
  - If seems sensible and general, probably a method for it
- · Arrays make good tuples, lists, stacks, queues, sets, ...
- · Iterating over arrays typically done with methods taking blocks
  - Next topic...

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

#### **Blocks**

Blocks are probably Ruby's strangest feature compared to other PLs

But almost just closures

- Normal: easy way to pass anonymous functions to methods for all the usual reasons
- Normal: Blocks can take 0 or more arguments
- Normal: Blocks use lexical scope: block body uses environment where block was defined

#### Examples:

```
3.times { puts "hi" }
[4,6,8].each { puts "hi" }
i = 7
[4,6,8].each {|x| if i > x then puts (x+1) end }
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

# Some strange things

- Can pass 0 or 1 block with any message
  - Callee might ignore it
  - Callee might give an error if you do not send one
  - Callee might do different things if you do/don't send one
    - Also number-of-block-arguments can matter
- Just put the block "next to" the "other" arguments (if any)
  - Syntax:  $\{e\}$ ,  $\{|x| e\}$ ,  $\{|x,y| e\}$ , etc. (plus variations)
    - Can also replace { and } with do and end
      - Often preferred for blocks > 1 line

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages 6

## Blocks everywhere

- · Rampant use of great block-taking methods in standard libraray
- · Ruby has loops but very rarely used
  - Can write (0..i).each {|j| e}, but often better options
- · Examples (consult documentation for many more)

```
a = Array.new(5) {|i| 4*(i+1)}
a.each { puts "hi" }
a.each {|x| puts (x * 2) }
a.map {|x| x * 2 } #synonym: collect
a.any? {|x| x > 7 }
a.all? {|x| x > 7 }
a.inject(0) {|acc,elt| acc+elt }
a.select {|x| x > 7 } #non-synonym: filter
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

7

### More strangeness

- · Callee does not give a name to the (potential) block argument
- Instead, just calls it with yield or yield (args)
  - Silly example:

```
def silly a
  (yield a) + (yield 42)
end
x.silly 5 { |b| b*2 }
end
```

- See code for slightly less silly example
- Can ask block\_given? but often just assume a block is given
  or that a block's presence is implied by other arguments

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

8

10

#### Blocks are "second-class"

All a method can do with a block is yield to it

- Cannot return it, store it in an object (e.g., for a callback), ...
- But can also turn blocks into real closures
- Closures are instances of class Proc
  - · Called with method call

This is Ruby, so there are several ways to make Proc objects ©

 One way: method lambda of Object takes a block and returns the corresponding Proc

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

## Example

```
a = [3,5,7,9]
```

· Blocks are fine for applying to array elements

```
b = a.map {|x| x+1 }
i = b.count {|x| x>=6 }
```

- But for an array of closures, need Proc objects
  - More common use is callbacks

```
c = a.map {|x| lambda {|y| x>=y}}
c[2].call 17
j = c.count {|x| x.call(5) }
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

#### Moral

- First-class ("can be passed/stored anywhere") makes closures more powerful than blocks
- But blocks are (a little) more convenient and cover most uses
- · This helps us understand what first-class means
- Language design question: When is convenience worth making something less general and powerful?

## More collections

- · Hashes like arrays but:
  - Keys can be anything; strings and symbols common
  - No natural ordering like numeric indices
  - Different syntax to make them

Like a dynamic record with anything for field names

- Often pass a hash rather than many arguments
- · Ranges like arrays of contiguous numbers but:
  - More efficiently represented, so large ranges fine

#### Good style to:

- Use ranges when you can
- Use hashes when non-numeric keys better represent data

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

#### Similar methods

- Arrays, hashes, and ranges all have some methods other don't
   E.g., keys and values
- · But also have many of the same methods, particularly iterators
  - Great for duck typing
  - Example

```
def foo a
   a.count {|x| x*x < 50}
end

foo [3,5,7,9]
foo (3..9)</pre>
```

Once again separating "how to iterate" from "what to do"

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

### Next major topic

- · Subclasses, inheritance, and overriding
  - The essence of OOP
  - Not unlike you have seen in Java, but worth studying from PL perspective and in a more dynamic language

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

# Subclassing

· A class definition has a superclass (Object if not specified)

```
class ColorPoint < Point ...
```

- The superclass affects the class definition:
  - Class inherits all method definitions from superclass
  - But class can override method definitions as desired
- Unlike Java/C#/C++:
  - No such thing as "inheriting fields" since all objects create instance variables by assigning to them
  - Subclassing has nothing to do with a (non-existent) type system: can still (try to) call any method on any object

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

# Example (to be continued)

```
class Point
                                class ColorPoint < Point</pre>
  attr_accessor :x, :y
                                  attr accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y)
                                  def initialize(x,y,c)
    0x = x
                                     super(x,y)
    0y = y
                                     @color = c
                                  end
  end
  def distFromOrigin
                                end
    # direct field access
    Math.sqrt(@x*@x
               + @y*@y)
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    # use getters
    Math.sqrt(x*x
               + y*y)
  end
end
 Spring 2016
                    CSE341: Programming Languages
                                                        16
```

## An object has a class

```
= Point.new(0,0)
cp = ColorPoint.new(0,0,"red")
p.class
                                 # Point
p.class.superclass
                                 # Object
cp.class
                                 # ColorPoint
cp.class.superclass
                                 # Point
cp.class.superclass.superclass
                                 # Object
cp.is a? Point
                                 # true
cp.instance_of? Point
                                 # false
cp.is a? ColorPoint
                                 # true
cp.instance_of? ColorPoint
```

- · Using these methods is usually non-OOP style
  - Disallows other things that "act like a duck"
  - Nonetheless semantics is that an instance of ColorPoint "is a" Point but is not an "instance of" Point
  - [ Java note: instanceof is like Ruby's is a? ]

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

# Example continued

Consider alternatives to:

```
class ColorPoint < Point
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c)
    super(x,y)
  @color = c
  end
end</pre>
```

 Here subclassing is a good choice, but programmers often overuse subclassing in OOP languages

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages 18

## Why subclass

- Instead of creating ColorPoint, could add methods to Point
  - That could mess up other users and subclassers of Point

```
class Point
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
     @x = x
     @y = y
     @color = c
  end
end
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

19

21

## Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing Point, could copy/paste the methods
  - Means the same thing if you don't use methods like is\_a?
     and superclass, but of course code reuse is nice

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_accessor :x, :y, :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    ...
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    Math.sqrt(@x*@x + @y*@y)
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    Math.sqrt(x*x + y*y)
  end
end
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing Point, could use a Point instance variable
  - Define methods to send same message to the Point
  - Often OOP programmers overuse subclassing
  - But for ColorPoint, subclassing makes sense: less work and can use a ColorPoint wherever code expects a Point

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    @pt = Point.new(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
  def x
    @pt.x
  end
  ... # similar "forwarding" methods
    # for y, x=, y=
end
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Overriding

- ThreeDPoint is more interesting than ColorPoint because it Overrides distFromOrigin and distFromOrigin2
  - Gets code reuse, but highly disputable if it is appropriate to say a ThreeDPoint "is a" Point
  - Still just avoiding copy/paste

So far...

- · With examples so far, objects are not so different from closures
  - Multiple methods rather than just "call me"
  - Explicit instance variables rather than environment where function is defined
  - Inheritance avoids helper functions or code copying
  - "Simple" overriding just replaces methods
- · But there is one big difference:

Overriding can make a method defined in the superclass call a method in the subclass

- The essential difference of OOP, studied carefully next lecture

Example: Equivalent except constructor

```
class PolarPoint < Point
  def initialize(r,theta)
    @r = r
    @theta = theta
  end
  def x
    @r * Math.cos(@theta)
  end
  def y
    @r * Math.sin(@theta)
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    @r
  end
...
end</pre>
```

Spring 2016

- Also need to define x= and y= (see code file)
- Key punchline: distFromOrigin2, defined in Point, "already works"

```
def distFromOrigin2
   Math.sqrt(x*x+y*y)
end
```

 Why: calls to self are resolved in terms of the object's class

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

CSE341: Programming Languages

24

20