



PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL
OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 14

Thunks, Laziness, Streams, Memoization

Brett Wortzman

Summer 2019

Slides originally created by Dan Grossman

Delayed evaluation

For each language construct, the semantics specifies when subexpressions get evaluated. In ML, Racket, Java, C:

- Function arguments are *eager* (call-by-value)
 - Evaluated once before calling the function
- Conditional branches are not eager

It matters: calling `factorial-bad` never terminates:

```
(define (my-if-bad x y z)
  (if x y z))

(define (factorial-bad n)
  (my-if-bad (= n 0)
             1
             (* n (factorial-bad (- n 1)))))
```

Thunks delay

We know how to delay evaluation: put expression in a function!

- Thanks to closures, can use all the same variables later

A zero-argument function used to delay evaluation is called a *thunk*

- As a verb: *thunk the expression*

This works (but it is silly to wrap `if` like this):

```
(define (my-if x y z)
  (if x (y) (z)))

(define (fact n)
  (my-if (= n 0)
         (lambda () 1)
         (lambda () (* n (fact (- n 1))))))
```

The key point

- Evaluate an expression e to get a result:

e

- A function that *when called*, evaluates e and returns result
 - Zero-argument function for “thunking”

`(lambda () e)`

- Evaluate e to some thunk and then call the thunk

(e)

- Next: Powerful idioms related to delaying evaluation and/or avoided repeated or unnecessary computations
 - Some idioms also use mutation in encapsulated ways

Avoiding expensive computations

Thunks let you skip expensive computations if they are not needed

Great if take the true-branch:

```
(define (f th)
  (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...)))
```

But worse if you end up using the thunk more than once:

```
(define (f th)
  (... (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))
       (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))
       ...
       (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))))
```

In general, might not know many times a result is needed

Best of both worlds

Assuming some expensive computation has no side effects, ideally we would:

- Not compute it *until needed*
- *Remember the answer* so future uses complete immediately

Called *lazy evaluation*

Languages where most constructs, including function arguments, work this way are *lazy languages*

- Haskell

Racket predefines support for *promises*, but we can make our own

- Thunks and mutable pairs are enough

Delay and force

```
(define (my-delay th)
  (mcons #f th))

(define (my-force p)
  (if (mcar p)
      (mcdr p)
      (begin (set-mcar! p #t)
              (set-mcdr! p ((mcd r p)))
              (mcd r p))))
```

An ADT represented by a mutable pair

- **#f** in *car* means *cdr* is unevaluated thunk
 - Really a one-of type: thunk or result-of-thunk
- Ideally hide representation in a module

Using promises

```
(define (f p)
  (... (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))
        (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))
        ...
        (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))))
```

```
(f (my-delay (lambda () e)))
```


Lessons From Example

See code file for example that does multiplication using a very slow addition helper function

- With thunking second argument:
 - *Great* if first argument 0
 - *Okay* if first argument 1
 - *Worse* otherwise
- With precomputing second argument:
 - *Okay* in all cases
- With thunk that uses a promise for second argument:
 - *Great* if first argument 0
 - *Okay* otherwise

Streams

- A stream is an *infinite sequence* of values
 - So cannot make a stream by making all the values
 - Key idea: Use a thunk to delay creating most of the sequence
 - Just a programming idiom

A powerful concept for division of labor:

- Stream producer knows how to create any number of values
- Stream consumer decides how many values to ask for

Some examples of streams you might (not) be familiar with:

- User actions (mouse clicks, etc.)
- UNIX pipes: `cmd1 | cmd2` has `cmd2` “pull” data from `cmd1`
- Output values from a sequential feedback circuit

Using streams

We will represent streams using pairs and thunks

Let a stream be a thunk that *when called* returns a pair:

```
' (next-answer . next-thunk)
```

So given a stream `s`, the client can get any number of elements

- First: `(car (s))`
- Second: `(car ((cdr (s))))`
- Third: `(car ((cdr ((cdr (s))))))`

(Usually bind `(cdr (s))` to a variable or pass to a recursive function)

Example using streams

This function returns how many stream elements it takes to find one for which `tester` does not return `#f`

- Happens to be written with a tail-recursive helper function

```
(define (number-until stream tester)
  (letrec ([f (lambda (stream ans)
                (let ([pr (stream)])
                  (if (tester (car pr))
                      ans
                      (f (cdr pr) (+ ans 1))))))]
    (f stream 1)))
```

- `(stream)` generates the pair
- So recursively pass `(cdr pr)`, the thunk for the rest of the infinite sequence

Streams

Coding up a stream in your program is easy

- We will do functional streams using pairs and thunks

Let a stream be a thunk that *when called* returns a pair:

' (next-answer . next-thunk)

Saw how to use them, now how to make them...

- Admittedly mind-bending, but uses what we know

Making streams

- How can one thunk create the right next thunk? Recursion!
 - Make a thunk that produces a pair where cdr is next thunk
 - A recursive function can return a thunk where recursive call does not happen until thunk is called

```
(define ones (lambda () (cons 1 ones)))

(define nats
  (letrec ([f (lambda (x)
                (cons x (lambda () (f (+ x 1))))))]
    (lambda () (f 1))))

(define powers-of-two
  (letrec ([f (lambda (x)
                (cons x (lambda () (f (* x 2))))))]
    (lambda () (f 2))))
```

Getting it wrong

- This uses a variable before it is defined

```
(define ones-really-bad (cons 1 ones-really-bad))
```

- This goes into an infinite loop making an infinite-length list

```
(define ones-bad (lambda () cons 1 (ones-bad)))  
(define (ones-bad) (cons 1 (ones-bad)))
```

- This is a stream: thunk that returns a pair with cdr a thunk

```
(define ones (lambda () (cons 1 ones)))  
(define (ones) (cons 1 ones))
```

Memoization

- If a function has no side effects and does not read mutable memory, no point in computing it twice for the same arguments
 - Can keep a *cache* of previous results
 - Net win if (1) maintaining cache is cheaper than recomputing and (2) cached results are reused
- Similar to promises, but if the function takes arguments, then there are multiple “previous results”
- For recursive functions, this *memoization* can lead to *exponentially* faster programs
 - Related to algorithmic technique of dynamic programming

How to do memoization: see example

- Need a (mutable) cache that all calls using the cache share
 - So must be defined *outside* the function(s) using it
- See code for an example with Fibonacci numbers
 - Good demonstration of the idea because it is short, but, as shown in the code, there are also easier less-general ways to make **fibonacci** efficient
 - (An association list (list of pairs) is a simple but sub-optimal data structure for a cache; okay for our example)

assoc

- Example uses `assoc`, which is just a library function you could look up in the Racket reference manual:

`(assoc v lst)` takes a list of pairs and locates the first element of `lst` whose car is equal to `v` according to `is-equal?`. If such an element exists, the pair (i.e., an element of `lst`) is returned. Otherwise, the result is `#f`.

- Returns `#f` for not found to distinguish from finding a pair with `#f` in `cdr`