

Smalltalk: the language

- Core language is small* and elegant
 - Highly dynamic, few artificial restrictions: much like Scheme
 - Invented by Alan Kay et al. at Xerox PARC in the 70's.
- * But environment and libraries are somewhat complex (though still elegant), and probably quite different from what you are used to--we'll discuss those separately.

Variable bindings

Variable bindings:

```
x := 'hi'.
```

Variable bindings are mutable:

```
x := 28.
```

```
x := 54.
```

changes the original binding

- unlike ML

- more like Scheme's define special form

- Note that Smalltalk is dynamically typed

Messages

- Everything is an object
- Objects communicate via messages
- "Message send" = "virtual function call"
- Message types:
 - x negated. "Unary message syntax"
 - x + 5. "Binary message syntax"
 - x gcd: 21. "Keyword message syntax"
- Keyword message with multiple arguments:
 - 'Hello, world' replaceFrom: 1 to: 6
with: 'byebye' startingAt: 1.

Syntax gotchas

- **Periods** separate statements; **semicolons** separate messages sent to the **same receiver**.
 - `2 + 5;` negated. "Evaluates $2 + 5$, then 2 negated."
- **Strings** are single quoted; **comments** are double quoted.
 - `'This is a string'.` "This is a comment"
- **All** binary messages associate **left to right**. Normal arithmetic precedence rules don't apply.
 - `2 + 3 * 4` "Evaluates to 20."

Closures

- Smalltalk has lexically scoped anonymous functions (a.k.a. lambdas/closures).
- Lambdas are objects, so they are evaluated by sending one of the value messages.

"Smalltalk"

```
(* Rough ML equiv. *)
fn () => 3;
(fn () => 3)();
fn (x, y) => x + y;
val a = fn (x, y) => x + y;
a(1, 2);
```

Closures and scope

- Closures are lexically scoped
- However, they may have arbitrary side effects, including the effect of changing bindings in enclosing environments:

```
i := 5.          "i gets 5"  
[ i := 7 ] value.    "i in outer scope gets 7"  
[ :i | i := 9 ] value: 2.  
"i gets 2, then 9 in local scope;"  
"i remains 7 in outer scope"
```

Closures and control

- ML and Scheme have *both* closures and special forms like if/then/else for control structures
- Smalltalk uses closures to implement control structures

Transcript open. "Open a Transcript window"

5 timesRepeat: [Transcript show: 'hi'; cr.].

x = 0 ifTrue: [Transcript show: 'Cannot divide by zero']
ifFalse: [Transcript show: (1.0 / x) asString.].

i := 0.
[i < 10] whileTrue: [i := i + 1.].

value:value:value:value:?

- Closures with many arguments are evaluated using up to 4 value: keywords:

```
seal := [ :a :b :c :d | a + b * c + d ].
```

```
seal value: 1 value: 2 value: 3 value: 4.
```

- Longer argument lists use valueWithArguments:, which takes an array:

```
walrus := [ :a :b :c :d :e | a + b * c + d * e ].
```

```
walrus valueWithArguments: #( 10 20 30 40 50 ).
```

"Note #() syntax for arrays"

Access protection?

- Smalltalk has no access protection for methods.
- However, all member variables are accessible only to the owning instance.
- Classes inherit superclass instance variables, and can access them..
- In C++ terminology
 - **All methods are public.**
 - **All member variables are protected,**
 - except that you cannot access member variables of other objects of the same class, as in C++.
- Ownership is "instance-based", not "class-based".

Classes are objects

- Everything is an object.
- Every object has a class.
- Classes are objects.
- So, what is the class of a class?

"Smalltalk expression"

```
x := 3.  
x class.  
x class class.  
x class class class.  
x class class class class.  
x class class class class class.
```

Result of printIt

3

```
SmallInteger  
SmallInteger class  
Metaclass  
Metaclass class  
Metaclass  
Metaclass class
```