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## Topological Sort

## Idea:

Given a DAG, order all the vertices so that every vertex comes before all of its neighbors

## Topological Sort

- Why do we perform topological sorts only on DAGs?
- Is there always a unique answer?
- Do some DAGs have exactly 1 answer? In what case?


## Topological Sort

- Why do we perform topological sorts only on DAGs?
- Cycles mean that there is no correct answer
- Is there always a unique answer?
- No, in some cases there could be multiple correct answers
- Do some DAGs have exactly 1 answer? In what case?
- Yes, a list for example


## Topological Sort Example

## Idea:



- Keep track of the in-degree of each node.
- Use a queue to ensure the proper ordering of nodes (from least to greatest in-degree)
- Every time an in-degree is 0 , enqueue it.
- Every time a node is processed, decrement it's adjacents' in-degree.


## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |

Initialize the in-degree array with each node' s in-degree, enqueue all nodes with indegree of 0

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |

Process A...

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |
| Step 3: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $(C, D)$ |

Process B...

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |
| Step 3: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $(C, D)$ |
| Step 4: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(D, E)$ |

Process C...

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |
| Step 3: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $(C, D)$ |
| Step 4: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(D, E)$ |
| Step 5: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(E)$ |

Process D...

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |
| Step 3: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $(C, D)$ |
| Step 4: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(D, E)$ |
| Step 5: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(E)$ |
| Step 6: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | () |

Process E...

## Topological Sort Example

## Graph:



|  | A | B | C | D | E | Queue <br> contents: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $(A, B)$ |
| Step 2: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $(B)$ |
| Step 3: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $(C, D)$ |
| Step 4: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(D, E)$ |
| Step 5: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $(E)$ |
| Step 6: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | () |

Final Ordering: $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$

## Running Time

- Initialization: $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{V}|+|\mathrm{E}|)$ (assuming adjacency list)
- Sum of all enqueues and dequeues: $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{V}|)$
- Sum of all decrements: $\mathrm{O}(|E|)$ (assuming adjacency list)



## Graph Traversals

## Depth-First Search:

- Recursively explore one part before going back to the other parts not yet explored
- Typically use a stack to keep track of which nodes to process next (non-recursive)


## Breadth-First Search:

- explore areas closer to the start node first
- Typically use a queue to keep track of which nodes to process next


## Graph Traversals

## For reference:

Pseudo-code is available for DFS and BFS in the lecture slides posted on the course website.

## CSE 373 HW 5

## Winter 2015

## Main Idea:

Comparing literary works of Shakespeare vs. Bacon to analyze word frequencies and squared error.

Using two types of HashTables to keep track of word frequencies:

- Separate Chaining Implementation
- Quadratic Probing Implementation


## HashTable Implementations

## Responsible for:

- constructors for each
- insert(key) -- inserting a word into the HashTable (String 'key' parameter), if already present in the table, just increment it's count
- findCount(key) -- finding the word count for a given word (String 'key' parameter)
- getNextKey () -- used to iterate through your hashtable to retrieve the next key, should allow you to access every key in the table on subsequent calls


## Homework 5 Tips

Keep in mind that you only ever care about a word AND it's frequency. If you just have one or the other, it is useless for the analysis.

For quadratic probing, a prime table size will help reduce collisions.

Not required to make your own hash function, but you get extra credit.

## Questions?

