

---

CSE 374

# Programming Concepts & Tools

Brandon Myers

Winter 2015

Lecture 4 – Shell Variables, More Shell Scripts

(Thanks to Hal Perkins)

---

# test / if

---

- Recall from last lecture:
- test (not built-in) takes arguments that look like a predicate
- doesn't do anything other than return an exit code
  
- if ... then ...fi (built-ins)
- if <command>; then  
<commands>  
fi

# Where we are

---

- We understand most of the bash shell and its “programming language”. Final pieces we’ll consider:
  - Shell variables
    - Defining your own
    - Built-in meanings
    - Exporting
  - Arithmetic
  - For loops
- End with:
  - A long list of gotchas (some bash-specific; some common to shells)
  - Why long shell scripts are a bad idea, etc.

# Shell variables

---

- We already know a shell has state: current working directory, streams, users, aliases, history.
- Its state also includes shell variables that hold **strings**.
  - Always strings even if they are “123” – but you can do math
- Features:
  - Change variables’ values: `foo=blah`
  - Add new variables: `foo=blah` or `foo=`
  - Use variable: `${foo}` (braces sometimes optional)
  - Remove variables: `unset foo`
  - See what variables “are set”: `set`
- Omitted feature: Functions and local variables (see bash manual 3.3)
- Roughly “all variables are global (visible everywhere)”

# Why variables?

---

- Variables are useful in scripts, just like in “normal” programming.
- “Special” variables affect shell operation. 3 of the most common:
  - PATH (tells shell where to find programs)
  - PS1 (determines the shell prompt)
  - HOME (determines what ~ means)
- Some variables make sense only when the shell is reading from a script:
  - `$#`,  `$n` (where  `n` is an integer),  `$@`,  `$*`,  `$?`

# Export

---

- If a shell runs another program (perhaps a bash script), does the other program “see the current variables that are set”?
  - i.e., are the shell variables part of the initial environment of the new program?
- It depends.
  - `export foo` – yes it will see value of `foo`
  - `export -n foo` – no it will not see value of `foo`
  - Default is no
- If the other program sets an exported variable, does the outer shell see the change?
- No.
  - Somewhat like “call by value” parameters in conventional languages
  - Remember, each new program (and shell) is launched as a separate process with its own state, environment, etc.
- `export -p` OR `printenv` – see all exported variables

# Arithmetic

---

- Variables are strings, so `k=$i+$j` is not addition
- But `((k=$i+$j))` is (and in fact the `$` is optional here)
- So is `let k="$i + $j"`
- The shell converts the strings to numbers, silently using 0 when a variable is empty

# For loops

---

- Syntax:

```
for v in w1 w2 ... wn
do
  body
done
```
- Execute body n times, with v set to w<sub>i</sub> on i<sup>th</sup> iteration (Afterwards, v=w<sub>n</sub>)
- Why so convenient?
  - Use a filename pattern after in
  - Use list of argument strings after in: "\$@"
    - Not "\$\*" – that doesn't handle arguments with embedded blanks the way you (usually) want
- for a range of integers look at "man seq"



# Quoting

---

- Does `x=*` set `x` to string-holding-asterisk or string-holding-all-filenames?
- If `$x` is `*`, does `ls $x` list all-files or file named asterisk?
- Are variables expanded in double-quotes? single-quotes?
- Could consult the manual, but honestly it's easier to start a shell and experiment. For example:

```
x="*"
```

```
echo x
```

```
echo $x
```

```
echo "$x"    (Double quotes suppress some substitutions)
```

```
echo '$x'    (Single quotes suppress all substitutions)
```

```
...
```

# Gotchas: A very partial list

---

1. Typo in variable name on left: create new variable  
oops=7
2. Typo in variable use: get empty string – Is \$oops
3. Use same variable name again: clobber other use  
HISTFILE=uhoh
4. Spaces in variables: use double-quotes if you mean  
“one word”
5. Non-number used as number: end up with 0
6. set f=blah: apparently does nothing (assignment in  
csh)
7. Using ls to list files to iterate over in for loop (just use  
string expansion \*)
8. Many, many more...

# Shell programming revisited

---

- How do Java programming and shell programming compare?
- The shell:
  - “shorter”
  - convenient file-access, file-tests, program-execution, pipes
  - crazy quoting rules and syntax
  - also interactive
- Java:
  - verbose syntax
  - none of the previous gotchas
  - local variables, modularity, typechecking, array-checking, . . .
  - real data structures, libraries, more common syntax
- Rough rule of thumb: Don't write shell scripts over 200 lines?

# Treatment of strings

---

- Suppose foo is a variable that holds the string hello

|                            | Java         | Bash                |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Use variable (get "hello") | foo          | \$foo               |
| The string foo             | <b>"foo"</b> | foo                 |
| Assign variable            | foo = hi     | foo=hi              |
| Concatenation              | foo + "oo"   | <b>\${foo}oo</b>    |
| Convert to number          | library call | silent and implicit |

- Moral: In Java, variable-uses are easier than string-constants
- Opposite in Bash
- Both biased toward common use

# More on shell programming

---

- Metapoint: Computer scientists automate and end up accidentally inventing (bad) programming languages. It's like using a screwdriver as a pry bar.
- HW3 in part, will be near the limits of what seems reasonable to do with a shell script (and we'll end up cutting corners as a result)
- There are plenty of attempts to get “the best of both worlds” in a scripting language: Perl, Python, Ruby, . . .
- Personal opinion: it raises the limit to 1000 or 10000 lines? Gets you hooked on short programs.
- Picking the bash shell was a conscious decision to emphasize the interactive side and because it is commonly used despite its terribleness
- Next: Regular expressions, grep, sed, others.

# Bottom line

---

- Never do something manually if writing a script would save you time
- Never write a script if you need a large, robust piece of software
- Some programming languages (ruby, python, perl) try to give the “best of both worlds” – you now have seen two extremes that don’t (Java and bash)