CSE 374
Programming Concepts & Tools

Hal Perkins

Fall 2017

Lecture 9 – C: Locals, lvalues and rvalues, more pointers

The story so far…

- The low-level execution model of a process (one address space)
- Basics of C:
  - Language features: functions, pointers, arrays
  - Idioms: Array-lengths, strings with '\0' terminators
  - Control constructs and int guards
- Today, more features:
  - Local declarations
  - Storage duration and scope
  - Left vs. right expressions; more pointers
  - Dangling pointers
  - Stack arrays and implicit pointers (confusing)
- Later: structs; the heap and manual memory management

Storage, lifetime, and scope

- At run-time, every variable needs space & has a lifetime
  - When is the space allocated and deallocated?
- Every variable has scope
  - Where can the variable be used (unless another variable shadows it)?
- C has several answers (with inconsistent reuse of the word static)
- Some answers rarely used but understanding storage, lifetime, and scope is important
- Related: Allocating space is separate from initializing that space
  - Use uninitialized bits? Hopefully crash but who knows?
  - Unlike Java, which zeros out objects and complains about uninitialized locals

Storage, lifetime, and scope

- *Global variables* allocated before main, deallocated after main. Scope is entire program
  - Usually bad style, kind of like public static Java fields
  - But can be OK for truly global data like conversion tables, physical constants, etc.
- *Static global variables* like global variables but scope is just that source file, kind of like private static Java fields
  - Related: static functions cannot be called from other files
- *Static local variables* lifetime like global variables (!) but scope is just that function, rarely used (We *won't* use them)
- *Local variables* (often called *automatic*) allocated "when reached" deallocated "after that block", scope is that block
  - With recursion, multiple copies of same variable (one per stack frame/function activation)
  - Like local variables in Java

lvalues vs rvalues

- In intro courses we are usually fairly sloppy about the difference between the left side of an assignment and the right (e.g., different meanings of x in "x=x+1;"). To "really get" C, it helps to get this straight:
  - Law #1: Left-expressions get evaluated to locations (addresses)
  - Law #2: Right-expressions get evaluated to values
  - Law #3: Values include numbers and pointers (addresses)
- The key difference is the "rule" for variables:
  - As a left-expression, a variable *is* a location and *we are done*
  - As a right-expression, a variable gets evaluated to its location's *contents*, and *then* we are done
  - Most things do not make sense as left expressions
- Note: This is true in Java too

Function arguments

- Storage and scope of arguments is like for local variables
- But initialized by the caller ("copying" the value)
- So assigning to an argument has no affect on the caller
- But assigning to the space *pointed-to* by an argument might

```
void f() {
   int i=17;
   int j=g(i);
   printf("%d %d",i,j);
}
```

```
int g(int x) {
   x = x+1;
   return x+1;
}
```

Function arguments

- Storage and scope of arguments is like for local variables
- But initialized by the caller ("copying" the value)
- So assigning to an argument has no affect on the caller
- But assigning to the space *pointed-to* by an argument might

```
void f() {
   int i=17;
   int j=g(&i);
   printf("%d %d",i,j);
}
```

```
int g(int* p) {
   *p = (*p) + 1;
   return (*p) + 1;
}
```

Function arguments

- Storage and scope of arguments is like for local variables
- But initialized by the caller ("copying" the value)
- So assigning to an argument has no affect on the caller
- But assigning to the space *pointed-to* by an argument might

```
void f() {
   int i=17;
   int j=g(&i);
   printf("%d %d",i,j);
}
```

```
int g(int* p) {
   int k = *p;
   int *q = &k;
   *p = *q;
   (*p) = (*q) + 1;
   return (*q) + 1;
}
```

Pointers to pointers to …

- Any level of pointer makes sense:
  - Example: argv, *argv, **argv
  - Same example: argv, argv[0], argv[0][0]
- But &(&p) makes no sense (&p is not a left-expression, the value is an address but the value is in no-particular-place)
- This makes sense (well, at least it's legal C):

```
void f(int x) {
  int*p = &x;
  int**q = &p;
  ... can use x, p, *p, q, *q, **q, ...
}
```

- Note: When playing, you can print pointers (i.e., addresses, i.e., locations in memory) with %p (just numbers in hexadecimal)

Dangling pointers

```
int* f(int x) {
    int *p;
    if(x) {
      int y = 3;
      p = &y;              /* ok */
    }                      /* ok, but p now dangling */
    /* y = 4 does not compile */
    *p = 7;                /* could CRASH but probably not */
    return p;              /* uh-oh, but no crash yet */
}
void g(int *p) { *p = 123; }
void h() {
    g(f(7));    /* HOPEFULLY YOU CRASH (but maybe not) */
}
```

Arrays and Pointers

- If p has type T* or type T[ ] :
  - *p has type T
  - If i is an int, p+i refers to the location of an item of type T that is i items past p (*not* +i storage locations unless each item of type T takes up exactly 1 unit of storage)
  - p[i] is defined to mean *(p+i)
  - if p is used in an expression (including as a function argument) it has type T*
    - Even if it is declared as having type T[ ]
    - One consequence: array arguments are always "passed by reference" (as a pointer), not "by value" (which would mean copying the entire array value)

Arrays revisited

- "Implicit array promotion": a variable of type T[ ] becomes a variable of type T* in an expression

```
void f1(int* p) { *p = 5; }

int* f2() {
   int x[3];            /* x on stack */
   x[0] = 5;
/* (&x)[0] = 5; wrong */
   *x = 5;
   *(x+0) = 5;
   f1(x);
/* f1(&x); wrong – watch types! */
/* x = &x[2]; wrong – x isn't really a pointer! */
   int *p = &x[2];
   return x;     /* wrong – dangling pointer – but type correct */
}
```