# CSE 374 Programming Concepts & Tools

Hal Perkins Winter 2017

Lecture 12 – C: structs, linked lists, and casts

#### Where we are

- We've seen most of the basic stuff about C, but we still need to look at structs (aka records or objects without methods) and linked data structures
  - Understand the code posted with today's lecture;
     we won't have time to walk through all the details
- Next: Rest of the C preprocessor (# stuff, macros), building multi-file programs
- Then: more programming tools (make)
- That will set us up for the next programming project
  - Which will start right after Monday's midterm

#### structs

- A struct is a record (i.e., a collection of data fields)
- A pointer to a struct is like a Java object with no methods
- x.f is for field access. (if is x not a pointer new!)
- (\*x) .f in C is like x.f in Java. (if x is a pointer)
- x->f is an abbreviation for (\*x).f
- There is a huge difference between a struct (value) parameter and a pointer to a struct
- There is a huge difference between local variables that are structs and those that are pointers to structs
- Again, left-expressions evaluate to locations (which can be whole struct locations or just a field's location)
- Again, right-expressions evaluate to values (which can be whole structs or just a field's contents)

## struct tags

A typical struct definition looks like:

```
struct person_info {
  char * name;
  int age;
}
```

- The identifier person\_info after "struct" is *not* a type name, it is a struct *tag*. The "type" of this struct is **struct** person info.
  - So type names (int, char) and struct tags are not the same kind of names

## C parameters - revisited

- C has a uniform rule for parameters (almost): When a function is called, each parameter is *initialized* with a copy of the corresponding argument (int, char, ptr,...)
  - This holds even for structs! a copy is created
  - There is no further connection between the argument and the parameter value in the function
    - But they can point to the same thing, of course
- But: if the argument is an array name, the function parameter is initialized with a pointer to the array argument instead of a copy of the entire array
  - Implicit array promotion (we already knew this)

## struct parameters

- A struct argument is copied (call-by-value)
- It is far more common to use a pointer to a struct as an argument instead of copying an entire struct
  - Gives same semantics as Java object references
  - Usually what you want pointer to data that lives outside the function
    - Also avoids cost of copying a possibly large object
  - But occasionally you want call-by value (small things like complex numbers, geometric points, ...)
- Puzzle: if an argument is an array containing a single struct, is it copied or is it promoted to a pointer?
  - What if it's a struct containing only a single array?

## Linked lists, trees, and friends

- Very, very common data structures
- Building them in C
  - Use malloc to create nodes
  - Need to use casts for "generic" types
  - Memory management issues if shared nodes
  - Usually need to explicitly free entire thing when done
  - Shows tradeoffs between lists and arrays
- Look at the sample code and understand what it does/how it does it

# C types

- There are an infinite number of types in C, but only a few ways to make them:
  - char, int, double, etc. (many variations like unsigned int, long, short, ...; mostly "implementation-defined")
  - void (placeholder; a "type" no expression can have)
  - struct T where there is already a declaration for that struct type
  - Array types (basically only for stack arrays and struct fields, every use is automatically converted to a pointer type)
  - T\* where T is a type
  - union T, enum E (later, maybe)
  - function-pointer types (later)
  - typedefs (just expand to their definition; type synonym)

**UW CSE 374 Winter 2017** 

# **Typedef**

- Defines a synonym for a type does not declare a new type
- Syntax

```
typedef type name;
```

After this declaration, writing *name* is the same as writing *type*Caution: array typedef syntax is weirder

Examples:

# Casts, part 1

- Syntax: (t) e where t is a type and e is an expression (same as Java)
- Semantics: It depends
  - If e is a numeric type and t is a numeric type, this is a conversion
    - To wider type, get same value
    - To narrower type, may not (will get mod)
    - From floating-point to integral, will round (may overflow)
    - From integral to floating-point, may round (but int to double is exact on most machines)

Note: Java is the same without the "most machines" part

Note: Lots of implicit conversions such as in function calls

Bottom Line: Conversions involve actual operations; (double) 3 is a very different bit pattern than (int) 3

# Casts, part 2

- If e has type t1\*, then (t2\*)e is a (pointer) cast.
  - You still have the same pointer (index into the address space).
  - Nothing "happens" at run-time.
  - You are just "getting around" the type system, making it easy to write any bits anywhere you want.
  - Old example: malloc has return type void\*

Note: The C standard is more picky than we suggest, but few people know that and little code obeys the official rules.

# C pointer casts, continued

#### Questions worth answering:

- How does this compare to Java's casts?
  - Unsafe, unchecked (no "type fields" in objects)
  - Otherwise more similar than it seems
- When should you use pointer casts in C?
  - For "generic" libraries (malloc, linked lists,
     operations on arbitrary (generic) pointers, etc.)
  - For "subtyping" (later)
- What about other casts?
  - Casts to/from struct types (not struct pointer casts) are compile-time errors.