CSE 401 – Compilers

Code Shape I – Basic Constructs

Hal Perkins

Winter 2015

Administrivia

- Semantics/type check due tomorrow night
 - How's it going
 - How to catch scanner errors in jflex input file
- Codegen part of the project out shortly
 - High-level view in next couple of lectures
 - Project-specific view in sections tomorrow

Agenda

- Mapping source code to x86-64
 - Mapping for other common architectures is similar
- This lecture: basic statements and expressions
 - We'll go quickly since this is review for many, fast orientation for others, and pretty straightforward
- Next: Object representation, method calls, and dynamic dispatch

Footnote: These slides include more than is specifically needed for the course project

Review: Variables

- For us, all data will be either:
 - In a stack frame (method local variables)
 - In an object (instance variables)
- Local variables accessed via %rbp movq -16(%rbp),%rax
- Object instance variables accessed via an offset from an object address in a register
 - Details later

Conventions for Examples

- Examples show code snippets in isolation
 - Much the way we'll generate code for different parts of the AST in a compiler visitor pass
 - A different perspective from the 351 holistic view
- Register %rax used here as a generic example
 - Rename as needed for more complex code using multiple registers
- 64-bit data used everywhere
- A few peephole optimizations included for a flavor of what's possible
 - Some might be easy to do in the compiler project

What we're skipping for now

- Real code generator needs to deal with many things like:
 - Which registers are busy at which point in the program
 - Which registers to spill into memory when a new register is needed and no free ones are available
 - Dealing with different sizes of data
 - Exploiting the full instruction set

Code Generation for Constants

Source

17

x86-64

movq \$17,%rax

- Idea: realize constant value in a register
- Optimization: if constant is 0

xorq %rax,%rax

(but some processors do better with movq \$0,%rax – and this has changed over time, too)

Assignment Statement

Source

```
var = exp;
```

• x86-64

```
<code to evaluate exp into, say, %rax>
movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp)
```

Unary Minus

- Source -exp
- x86-64
 <code evaluating exp into %rax>
 negq %rax
- Optimization
 - Collapse -(-exp) to exp
- Unary plus is a no-op

Binary +

Source

```
exp_1 + exp_2
```

• x86-64

```
<code evaluating exp<sub>1</sub> into %rax>
<code evaluating exp<sub>2</sub> into %rdx>
addq %rdx,%rax
```

Binary +

- Some optimizations
 - If exp₂ is a simple variable or constant, don't need to load it into another register first. Instead:
 - addq exp₂,%rax
 - Change $exp_1 + (-exp_2)$ into $exp_1 exp_2$
 - If exp₂ is 1incq %rax
 - Somewhat surprising: whether this is better than addq \$1,%rax depends on processor implementation and has changed over time

Binary -, *

- Same as +
 - Use subq for (but not commutative!)
 - Use imulg for *
- Some optimizations
 - Use left shift to multiply by powers of 2
 - If your multiplier is slow or you've got free scalar units and multiplier is busy, you can do 10*x = (8*x)+(2*x)
 - Use x+x instead of 2*x, etc. (often faster)
 - Can use leaq (%rax,%rax,4),%rax to compute 5*x, then addq %rax,%rax to get 10*x, etc. etc.
 - Use decq for x-1

Signed Integer Division

- Ghastly on x86-64
 - Only works on 128-bit int divided by 64-bit int
 - (similar instructions for 64-bit divided by 32-bit in 32-bit x86)
 - Requires use of specific registers
- Source exp₁ / exp₂
- x86-64

```
<code evaluating exp1 into %rax ONLY>
<code evaluating exp2 into %ebx>
cqto  # extend to %rdx:%rax, clobbers %rdx
idivq %ebx # quotient in %rax, remainder in %rdx
```

Control Flow

- Basic idea: decompose higher level operation into conditional and unconditional gotos
- In the following, j_{false} is used to mean jump when a condition is false
 - No such instruction on x86-64
 - Will have to realize with appropriate sequence of instructions to set condition codes followed by conditional jumps
 - Normally don't need to actually generate the value "true" or "false" in a register
 - But this is a useful shortcut hack for the project

While

- Source while (cond) stmt
- x86-64

```
test: <code evaluating cond>
    j<sub>false</sub> done
    <code for stmt>
    jmp test
```

done:

 Note: In generated asm code we need to have unique labels for each loop, conditional statement, etc.

Optimization for While

Put the test at the end:

jmp test

loop: <code for stmt>

test: <code evaluating cond>

j_{true} loop

- Why bother?
 - Pulls one instruction (jmp) out of the loop
 - Avoids a pipeline stall on jmp on each iteration
 - Although modern processors will often predict control flow and avoid the stall – x86-64 does this particularly well
- Easy to do from AST or other IR; not so easy if generating code on the fly (e.g., recursive descent 1-pass compiler)

Do-While

- Source do stmt while(cond)
- x86-64

If

```
    Source
        if (cond) stmt
    x86-64
        <code evaluating cond>
        j<sub>false</sub> skip
        <code for stmt>
        skip:
```

If-Else

```
    Source

        if (cond) stmt<sub>1</sub> else stmt<sub>2</sub>
x86-64
             <code evaluating cond>
            j<sub>false</sub> else
             <code for stmt<sub>1</sub>>
             jmp done
    else: <code for stmt<sub>2</sub>>
    done:
```

Jump Chaining

- Observation: naïve implementation can produce jumps to jumps
- Optimization: if a jump has as its target an unconditional jump, change the target of the first jump to the target of the second
 - Repeat until no further changes
 - Often done in peephole optimization pass after initial code generation

Boolean Expressions

What do we do with this?

- It is an expression that evaluates to true or false
 - Could generate the value (0/1 or whatever the local convention is)
 - But normally we don't want/need the value –
 we're only trying to decide whether to jump

Code for exp1 > exp2

- Basic idea: Generated code depends on context:
 - What is the jump target?
 - Jump if the condition is true or if false?
- Example: evaluate exp1 > exp2, jump on false, target if jump taken is L123

```
<evaluate exp1 to %rax>
<evaluate exp2 to %rdx>
cmpq %rdx,%rax
jng L123
```

Boolean Operators: !

Source

! exp

- Context: evaluate exp and jump to L123 if false (or true)
- To compile !, just reverse the sense of the test: evaluate exp and jump to L123 if true (or false)

Boolean Operators: && and ||

- In C/C++/Java/C#/many others, these are short-circuit operators
 - Right operand is evaluated only if needed
- Basically, generate the if statements that jump appropriately and only evaluate operands when needed

Example: Code for &&

```
    Source

        if (exp<sub>1</sub> && exp<sub>2</sub>) stmt
x86-64
               <code for exp<sub>1</sub>>
               j<sub>false</sub> skip
               <code for exp<sub>2</sub>>
               j<sub>false</sub> skip
               <code for stmt>
    skip:
```

Example: Code for ||

```
    Source

       if (exp_1 | exp_2) stmt
x86-64
             <code for exp<sub>1</sub>>
             j<sub>true</sub> doit
             <code for exp<sub>2</sub>>
             j<sub>false</sub> skip
    doit: <code for stmt>
    skip:
```

Realizing Boolean Values

- If a boolean value needs to be stored in a variable or method call parameter, generate code needed to actually produce it
- Typical representations: 0 for false, +1 or -1 for true
 - C specifies 0 and 1; we'll use that
 - Best choice can depend on machine instructions;
 normally some convention is established during
 the primeval history of the architecture

Boolean Values: Example

```
Source
     var = bexp;
• x86-64
           <code for bexp>
           j<sub>false</sub> genFalse
           movq $1,%rax
                  storelt
           jmp
   genFalse:
           movq $0,%rax
                                          # or xorq
   storelt:
           movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # generated by asg stmt
```

Better, If Enough Registers

```
    Source
        var = bexp;
    x86-64
        xorq %rax,%rax
        <code for bexp>
        j<sub>false</sub> store
        incq %rax
        store:
        movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # generated by asg
```

- Better: use movecc instruction to avoid conditional jump
- Can also use conditional move instruction for sequences like x = y<z ? y : z

Better yet: setcc

Source

```
var = x < y;
```

x86-64

```
movq offset<sub>x</sub>(%rbp),%rax # load x
cmpq offset<sub>y</sub>(%rbp),%rax # compare to y
setl %al # set low byte %rax to 0/1
movzbq %al,%rax # zero-extend to 64 bits
movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # gen. by asg stmt
```

Other Control Flow: switch

- Naïve: generate a chain of nested if-else if statements
- Better: switch statement is intended to allow easier generation of O(1) selection, provided the set of switch values is reasonably compact
- Idea: create a 1-D array of jumps or labels and use the switch expression to select the right one
 - Need to generate the equivalent of an if statement to ensure that expression value is within bounds

Switch

Source

```
switch (exp) {
   case 0: stmts<sub>0</sub>;
   case 1: stmts<sub>1</sub>;
   case 2: stmts<sub>2</sub>;
}
```

"break" is an unconditional jump to the end of switch

• x86-64:

```
<put exp in %rax>
 "if (\%rax < 0 \mid | \%rax > 2)
     jmp defaultLabel"
           swtab(,%rax,4),%rax
 movq
           *%rax
 jmp
      .data
swtab:
      .quad L0
      .quad L1
      .quad L2
      .text
L0: \langle stmts_0 \rangle
L1: \langle stmts_1 \rangle
L2: <stmts<sub>2</sub>>
```

Arrays

- Several variations
- C/C++/Java
 - O-origin: an array with n elements contains variables a[0]...a[n-1]
 - 1 dimension (Java); 1 or more dimensions using row major order (C/C++)
- Key step is evaluate subscript expression, then calculate the location of the corresponding array element

0-Origin 1-D Integer Arrays

Source exp₁[exp₂]

• x86-64

```
<evaluate exp<sub>1</sub> (array address) in %rax>
<evaluate exp<sub>2</sub> in %rdx>
address is (%rax,%rdx,8) # if 8 byte elements
```

2-D Arrays

- Subscripts start with 1 (default)
- C/C++, etc. use row-major order
 - E.g., an array with 3 rows and 2 columns is stored in sequence: a(0,0), a(0,1), a(1,0), a(1,1), a(2,0), a(2,1)
- Fortran uses column-major order
 - Exercises: What is the layout? How do you calculate location of a[i][j]? What happens when you pass array references between Fortran and C/C++ code?
- Java does not have "real" 2-D arrays. A Java 2-D array is a pointer to a list of pointers to the rows

a[i][j] in C/C++/etc.

- If a is a "real" 0-origin, 2-D array, to find a[i][j], we need to know:
 - Values of i and j
 - How many columns the array has
- Location of a[i][j] is:
 - Location of a + (i*(#of columns) + j) * sizeof(elt)
- Can factor to pull out allocation-time constant part and evaluate that once – no recalculating at runtime; only calculate part depending on i, j

Coming Attractions

- Code Generation for Objects
 - Representation
 - Method calls
 - Inheritance and overriding
- Strategies for implementing code generators
- Code improvement optimization