Pipelining – Part 2

CSE 410, Spring 2004 Computer Systems

http://www.cs.washington.edu/education/courses/410/04sp/

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b @ 2004 University of Washington

Reading and References


• Sections 6.4-6.6, Computer Organization and Design, Patterson and Hennessy

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

Control Hazards

• Branch instructions cause **control hazards** (aka **branch hazards**) because we don't know which instruction to fetch next



Idea: Stall for branch hazard

- Stall until we know which instruction to execute next
 - » would introduce a 4-cycle pipeline bubble in the basic pipeline

bne \$s0, \$s1, next	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB				
sub \$s4, \$s3, \$s0	stall			IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	

Idea: Move Branch Logic to **ID**

- Move the branch hardware to ID stage
 - » Hardware to compare two registers is simpler than hardware to add them
- We still have to stall for one cycle
- And we can't move the branch up any more

bne \$s0, \$s1, next sub \$s4, \$s3, \$s0

IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
	stall	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

Branch Delay Slot


- A branch now causes a stall of one cycle
- Try to execute an instruction instead of nop
- The compiler (assembler, programmer) must find an instruction to fill the branch delay slot
 - » 50% of the instructions are useful
 - » 50% are nops which don't do anything

Idea: Reorder Instructions

- Reordering instructions is a common technique for avoiding pipeline stalls
- Static reordering
 - » programmer, compiler and assembler do this
- Dynamic reordering
 - » modern processors can see several instructions
 - » they execute any that have no dependency
 - » this is known as *out-of-order execution* and is complicated to implement but effective

Branch Delay Slot execution

- Instruction in the branch delay slot always executes, no matter what the branch does
 - » it follows the branch in memory
 - » but it "piggybacks" and is always executed
 - » no bubble at all



IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	
		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB

actual instruction sequence after reordering by assembler

beq with delay slot

noreorder .set .set nomacro \$v0,\$zero,\$L4 beq \$s1,\$s4 move .set macro reorder .set

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

Idea: Predict the branch action

- For example, assume the branch is not taken
 - » Execute the next instruction in memory
- If we guessed right, we're golden
 - » no bubble at all
- If we guessed wrong, then we lose a little
 - » squash the partially completed instructions.
 - » This is called *flushing the pipeline*
 - » Wasted time, but would have stalled anyway

jal with delay slot

\$a0,\$s3 move \$a1,\$s0 move noreorder .set .set nomacro QuickSort jal \$a2,\$s4 move .set macro .set reorder

21-Apr-2004

Squash


- Must be able to completely suppress the effects of guessing wrong
 - » An instruction cannot write to memory or a register until we're sure it should execute

11

Assume Branch Not Taken

bne \$s0,\$zero,Done addi \$t0,\$t0,1 addi \$t0,\$t0,3 Done: move \$t1,\$t0

Branch not taken



Branch taken



21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

13

15

Static Branch Prediction

- Most backwards branch are taken (80%)
 - » they are part of loops
- Half of forward branches are taken (50%)
 - » if statements
- Common static branch prediction scheme is
 - » predict backwards branches are taken
 - » predict forward branches are not taken
- This does okay (70-80%), but not great

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

11


Dynamic Branch Prediction

- Most programs are pretty regular
 - » Most of the time only execute a small subset of the program code
 - » Same branch instructions execute repeatedly
- A particular branch instruction is usually:
 - » taken if it was taken last time
 - » not taken if it was not taken last time
- If we keep a history of each branch instruction, then we can predict much better

Dynamic Branch Prediction

- The CPU records what happened last time we executed the branch at this address
- Generally record last two results
 » simple 4-state transition table makes prediction
- Dynamic branch prediction is 92-98% accurate

2-bit prediction scheme



21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

17

19

Implementing Branch Prediction

- There is not room to store every branch instruction address
 - » so last few bits of the instruction address are used to index into a table
 - » some instructions collide like a hash table
 - » but that's okay, it just means we're wrong once in a while

21-Apr-2004

cse410-11-pipelining-b © 2004 University of Washington

10

Branch Prediction Table

Address	state?	Predict	correct?	new state
0x004012 34	11	not taken	yes	11
0x004F02 38	00	taken	no	01
0x004022 3C	10	not taken	no	00

Importance of Branch Prediction

- Branches occur very frequently
 - » every five instructions on average
- Modern processors execute up to 4 instructions per cycle
 - » so a branch occurs every 2 cycles
- Newer pipelines are getting longer
 - » 8,9,11,13 cycles
 - » error penalty is 3-5 cycles instead of 1 cycle
 - » hard to fill branch delay slots