Question 1. (6 points, 3 points each) Regular expressions.

(a) Describe the set of strings generated by the regular expression

(a|b) (a|b) c(x|y) +

Strings beginning with 2 a's, 2 b's, or one of each followed by one c followed by one or more x's and y's.

(b) Describe the set of strings generated by the regular expression

p*qp*qp*

Strings of p's and q's containing exactly 2 q's.

Question 2. (5 points) In C, integer constants may be written as decimal numbers (normal case), octal (base-8) numbers, or hexadecimal (base-16) numbers. The exact rules are as follows (from Kernighan & Ritchie, *The C Programming Language*):

"An integer constant consisting of a sequence of digits is taken to be octal if it begins with 0 (digit 0), decimal otherwise. Octal constants do not contain the digits 8 or 9. A sequence of digits preceded by $0 \times$ or $0 \times$ (digit 0) is taken to be a hexadecimal integer. The hexadecimal digits include a or A through f or F with values 10 through 15."

Examples: 1579 0177 0x1a9FE 1000 01000 0X1000, but not 0789, 12af.

Write a regular expression or collection of regular expressions that generate integer constants as described above.

There are many, many solutions; here's one:

octdigit ::= [0-7] decdigit ::= [0-9] posdigit ::= [1-9] hexdigit ::= [0-9a-fA-F] intconstant ::= 0 octdigit* | posdigit decdigit* | 0 (x|X) hexdigit+

Question 3. (15 points) Here is a context free grammar for a fragment of English.

sentence ::= subject verb object .
subject ::= noun-phrase
object ::= modifier noun-phrase | noun-phrase
noun-phrase ::= article noun | article adjective noun
| adjective noun | noun
modifier ::= like | as
article ::= a | an | the
adjective ::= fruit | pretty | yellow
noun ::= flies | arrow | banana | time | fruit
verb ::= like | runs | flies

(a) (5 points) List the terminal, non-terminal, and start symbols for this grammar.

Terminals: . like as a an the fruit pretty yellow flies arrow banana time runs flies

Non-terminals: sentence subject verb object noun-phrase modifier article adjective noun

[Italic font not required to receive full credit.]

Start symbol: *sentence*

(b) (5 points) Draw a parse tree for the sentence "fruit flies like a banana" ("fruit flies" is the subject; "like" is the verb).

[The production for sentence includes a period at the end, but the sample sentence doesn't. Answers received full credit whether or not they included the period.]



(continued next page)

Question 3 (cont.)

[grammar repeated to save page flipping]

(c) (5 points) Show that this grammar is ambiguous.

The easiest way to do this is to show two distinct parse trees for the same sentence. Here is a second parse tree for the sentence in part (a).



Question 4. (12 points) Not really Scheme hacking.

Scheme has a very simple expression syntax. This question gives an informal description of a subset of the legal expressions in Scheme; your job is to write a context free grammar that generates these expressions.

For this problem, an expression is either an atomic expression, a function application, or a special form. Atomic expressions are either integer constants (0, 17, 42), or symbols (car, cdr, cons, +, *, 100bottles-of-beer-on-the-wall, etc.), or the empty list (). A function application is a non-empty list of expressions: (e0), (e0 e1 ... en), etc. The two special forms you should include are (if e0 e1 e2) and lambda (for this question a lambda expression consists of the word lambda followed by a parenthesized argument list – possibly empty – followed by a single expression).

Give a context free grammar that generates these expressions. For full credit, your grammar must generate only these expressions (i.e., the regular expression [a-zA-Z0-9+*()]* does generate these Scheme expressions, but it includes many things that are not legal expressions). However, you do not need to worry about whether a syntactically legal expression is semantically legal: the expression (1 2) is syntactically legal, even though it is not a proper function application.

Your grammar should not be ambiguous (this isn't hard – almost any reasonable solution to the problem will be unambiguous). The grammar should contain non-terminals for major constructs like expressions and applications, which would make it relatively easy to construct a recursive descent parser if we wanted (not requested in this question).

You should assume that the non-terminal *symbol* generates all legal symbols and the non-terminal *int* generates all legal integer constants, just as was done in the D grammar for identifiers and integer constants. You do not need to define these two non-terminals further; just use them as needed in your grammar.

Question 5. (18 points) x86 hacking.

Consider the following D main program and function definition:

```
// return smallest power of 2 >= n.
                                      // test pwr function
// return 0 if n < 0
                                      int main() {
int pwr(int n) {
                                         int in; int out;
  int ans;
                                         in = get();
  if (0 > n) {
                                         out = put(pwr(in));
     return 0;
                                         return out;
                                      }
   }
  ans = 1;
  while (n > ans)
    ans = 2 * ans;
  return ans;
}
```

(a) (6 points) Draw a picture showing the layouts of the stack frames for methods pwr and main. This should show the stack layout immediately after the function prologue code has been executed, but just before the first statement of the function body is executed. Be sure to show where registers ebp and esp point, and the location and offsets from ebp of each parameter and local variable.

return address	+4	main
saved ebp	<- ebp	
in	-4	
out	-8 <- esp	
	1.0	
n	+8	pwr
return address	+4	
saved ebp	<- ebp	

Question 5. (cont)

(b) (12 points) Translate both functions pwr and main into x86 assembly language. You do not need to slavishly imitate the code generated by your compiler – straightforward x86 code is fine as long as it uses the registers properly and obeys the x86 conventions used in the compiler project for stack frame layout, function calls, etc. It will help us grade your answer if you include the source code as comments near the corresponding x86 instructions. The D code is repeated here to save some page flipping.

```
// return smallest power of 2 >= n.
                                                // test pwr function
   // return 0 if n < 0
                                                int main() {
   int pwr (int n) {
                                                   int in; int out;
      int ans;
                                                   in = get();
      if (0 > n) {
                                                   out = put(pwr(in));
          return 0;
                                                   return out;
       }
                                                }
      ans = 1;
      while (n > ans)
          ans = 2 * ans;
      return ans;
   }
pwr:
      push
             ebp
                           ; prologue
      mov
             ebp,esp
      sub
             esp,4
             eax,0
                           ; if (0 > n)
      mov
             eax,[ebp+8]
      cmp
      jle
                           ; jump false
             L1
             esp,ebp
                           ; return 0 (eax==0 already)
      mov
      pop
             ebp
      ret
L1:
      mov
             eax,1
                           ; ans = 1
      mov
             [ebp-4],eax
L2:
             eax,[ebp+8]
                           ; while (n > ans)
      mov
      cmp
             eax,[ebp-4]
      jle
             L3
                           ; jump n <= ans
             eax,2
                           ; ans = 2*ans
      mov
             eax,[ebp-4]
      imul
      mov
             [ebp-4,eax]
             L2
      jmp
                           ; repeat
L3:
      mov
             eax,[ebp-4]
                           ; return ans
      mov
             esp,ebp
             ebp
      pop
      ret
```

(code for main on next page)

Question 5 (b) cont.

10	•
dSm	aın:

n: push mov sub	ebp ebp,esp esp.8	; (main: also received full credit) ; function prologue
call mov	get [ebp-4],eax	; in = get ()
mov push	eax,[ebp-4] eax	; pwr(in)
call add push	pwr esp,4 eax	; result in eax for put : call put
call add	put esp,4	, can par
mov mov	[ebp-8] ,eax eax,[ebp-8]	; store function result in out ; return out (redundant mov)
mov pop ret	esp,eop ebp	

Question 6. (14 points) Compiler hacking.

The original FORTRAN language had an interesting conditional statement that tested the value of an integer expression and executed one of three possible statements depending on whether the expression was positive, zero, or negative. For this question, you should write a parser/code generator method for a D compiler to add a similar statement to D.

The new rule in the grammar is:

statement ::= ifsign (exp) statement statement

Execution of this statement is carried out by first evaluating the integer expression *exp*. If the value is negative, the first of the three statements is executed and the remaining two are skipped. If the value is zero, the middle statement (only) is executed; if the value is positive, the third statement is executed.

Example: The following code fragment would store -1, 0, or +1 in variable sign depending on whether an input value is negative, zero, or positive respectively:

```
n = get();
ifsign (n)
    sign = 0-1;
    { sign = 17; sign = sign-sign; }
    sign = 1;
```

Complete the definition of method ifsignStmt on the next page to parse and generate code for this new statement.

Hint: You may find it helps to organize your thoughts if you first sketch out the method needed to parse this new construct, and separately think about the code that needs to be generated, before you write your solution.

Reference information: You should assume that classes Token, CompilerIO, and Scanner are available as described in the compiler project handouts.

Question 6. (cont)

```
// parser
class parser {
                          // I/O interface (initialized elsewhere)
// 2
  Scanner scan;
   CompilerIO cio;
                             // Scanner (initialized elsewhere)
  Token tok;
                             // next unprocessed source program token
   // update tok by advancing to next token
   void nextTok() { tok = scan.nextToken(); }
   // write generated code string s to output file
  void gen(String s) { cio.println(s); }
   // return new unique assembly language label (without trailing `:')
   String newLabel() { ... }
   // compile ifsign ( exp ) statement statement statement
   void ifsignStmt() {
     // write your answer below
     String stmt2label = new String();
     String stmt3label = new String();
     String donelabel = new String();
     // skip ifsign and left paren, compile exp, skip right paren
     nextToken();
     nextToken();
     exp();
     nextToken();
     // compare exp to 0 and jump if 0 or positive
     gen("cmp eax,0");
     gen("jz " + stmt2label);
     gen("jg " + stmt3label);
     // statement 1
     stmt();
     gen("jmp " + donelabel);
     // statement 2
     gen(stmt2label + ":");
     stmt();
     gen("jmp " + donelabel);
     // statement 3 + final label
     gen(stmt3label + ":");
     stmt();
     gen(donelabel + ":");
   }
}
```

Question 7. (7 points) Memory management – the question that was left off the midterm(!)

(a) (4 points) *Reference counting* is a simple algorithm for automatically managing dynamically allocated memory. Each allocated block of storage contains a count of the number of references (pointers) to that block, which is updated as references change. If the count is ever decreased to 0, that block of storage is unused and can be automatically reclaimed.

Although it is simple to understand, reference counting is not used in practice to manage storage for functional and object-oriented languages like Scheme and Java. Give **two** distinct technical reasons why it is not the best choice for managing storage in these languages.

- Performance: updating reference counts adds significant overhead to simple pointer manipulation, which is a frequent operation in functional and object-oriented languages.
- Circular references: If a data structure contains a cycle, the reference counts for the items in the cycle will be positive, even if the data structure is no longer being used. Such data structures must be collected by some other algorithm besides simple reference counting.

(b) (3 points) In class we looked at two simple garbage collection algorithms: the classic marksweep collector, and a copying collector. Although it is harder to implement, a copying collector has some technical advantages over mark-sweep. Describe one of them.

The big advantage is that all active cells are moved together into a compacted region. This reduces the size of the active data area being used by the computation, which is particularly useful in systems employing virtual memory.

Question 8. (3 points, 1 point each) Java and .NET implementation strategies.

(a) True or false: A compiled Java .class file specifies the actual memory layout of objects (instances) of that class.

false

(b) The .NET compilers generate output files containing Microsoft Intermediate Language (MSIL). How is the MSIL code actually executed? (circle)

- 1. The MSIL code is interpreted during execution, as was true in the original Java virtual machines.
- 2. The MSIL code is interpreted at first, but when the interpreter detects that a segment of interpreted code is being executed repeatedly (a loop body, for example), a Just-In-Time (JIT) compiler translates it to native code, which is then executed.
- 3. The MSIL is translated to native code before anything is executed, and that translated code is what actually runs.

3

(c) In the .NET framework, *managed code* refers to safe code that, among other things, can be garbage collected automatically. The C++ compiler for .NET includes the full C++ language, including both managed and unmanaged language constructs. Is the C++ & operator (compute the address of a variable, as in &x) in the managed or unmanaged part of C++.NET?

unmanaged