CSE 413 Final Exam

11 December 2007

Name Student ID

You should assume that all input data is as specified and is free of errors unless specified otherwise. You may also assume that all calls to library functions (e.g., malloc, free, etc.) succeed. You do not need to include code to handle errors unless specifically instructed to do so.

Answer all questions; show your work. You may use:

- 1. Printout of: x86 overview.
- 2. Printout of: Code generation for D.
- 3. One 8.5 * 11" piece of paper with handwritten notes

Other items, including laptop computers, calculators, cell phones, and other communications devices, are not allowed.

Advice You have 1 hour and 50 minutes, do the easy questions first, and work quickly!

Question	Max Points	Score
1	17	
2	12	
3	10	
4	10	
5	18	
6	18	
7	15	
Total	100	

Total: 100 points.

1. [17 pts.] Consider the following C program (which compiles and runs without errors): #include <stdio.h>

```
void snowy(int* a, int* b) {
 int c; c = 37;
 *a = *b;
 printf("In snowy, before rainy: *a=%d, *b=%d, c=%d\n", *a, *b, c);
  *b = rainy(&c);
 printf("In snowy, after rainy: *a=%d, *b=%d, c=%d\n", *a, *b, c);
  }
int rainy(int *x) {
 int b; b = 50;
 b = b + *x;
 x = \&b;
  /* (a) draw picture at this point */
 printf("In rainy: *x=%d, b=%d\n", *x, b);
 return 4;
}
int main(){
 int p; int q;
 p = 75;
 q = 88;
 snowy(&p, &q);
 printf("In main: p=%d, q = %d n", p, q);
 return 0;
}
```

(a) Draw a diagram with boxes for each active function showing the situation right when execution reaches the comment in the function rainy. Be sure to show the values of all of the variables in each active function. If a variable is a pointer to another variable, indicate its value by drawing an arrow between the variable name and the storage location (variable) that it points to. This is *not* meant to be a question about ebp, esp, stack offsets, etc. This question is very similar to the one we had on the midterm. Draw a picture similar to that one.

(b) What output is produced when this program is executed? If an address is printed out, please make up an address and label the appropriate box in your picture above with that address. (Don't worry about writing out the exact details of each message, but we should be able to tell which values you are printing where.)

2. [12] Regular expressions.

(a) Give an English description of the set of strings generated by the regular expression b(a|b)+a. (Don't just re-write the rules in English; give a description of the strings, like "all strings of 17 a's followed by 42 b's").

(b) Write a regular expression that generates all strings of 0's and 1's containing an odd number of 1's (a string must contain at least one 1).

(c) Write a regular expression that generates all strings containing a's, b's, and c's with at least one a and at least one b.

3. [10] Consider the context free grammar

$$S ::= S T \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}$$
$$T ::= T \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y}$$

(a) Give a top down leftmost derivation of the string: x y y x y x

 $S \rightarrow$

(b) Draw a parse tree for the string x y y x y x (the same string as in part (a)).

4. [10] Suppose we want to add the following conditional statement to D:

```
ifequal (exp1, exp2)
statement1
smaller
statement2
larger
statement3
```

The meaning of this is that *statement1* is executed if the integer expressions exp1 and exp2 are equal; *statement2* is executed if exp1 < exp2, and *statement3* is executed if exp1 > exp2. Note that ifequal, smaller, and larger are all keywords.

(a) (5 points) Give context-free grammar production(s) for the ifequal statement that allows either or both of the "smaller" and "larger" parts of the statement to be omitted. If both the "smaller" and "larger" parts of the statement appear, they should appear in that order. You do not need to give productions for expressions and other types of statements, just the ifequal statement (which should be considered a statement as well). Write your grammar here:

statement ::=

(b) (5 points) Is the grammar with your production(s) from part (a) ambiguous? If not, argue informally why not; if it is ambiguous, give an example that shows that it is.

5. [18] x86 programming. Consider the following C function.

```
int foo(int x) {
    int temp;
    /* (a) Show the stack here */
    if (x == 100) {
        temp = x * 2;
    } else {
        temp = bar(x + 5);
    }
    return temp;
}
```

(a) Draw a picture of the stack frame for function foo showing the locations of the parameters and local variables plus any other things normally contained in a function stack frame. The picture should show the state of the stack frame at the point right before the first statement in the function is executed (i.e., before any additional items have been pushed on or popped from the stack). Be sure to show the locations referred to by registers ebp and esp, and the numeric offsets of *all* parameters and local variables

(b) On the next page, translate this C function into x86 assembly language. Your code only needs to be a correct x86 assembly language function – in particular, it does not need to slavishly imitate the code generated by your compiler project. However you must use the proper function prologue, epilogue, and calling conventions for x86 C code. You also must include translations of all statements in the original code – you can't optimize any of them away.

You should assume that function bar, called from this function, has a single int argument and returns an int value.

It would be helpful if you included some brief comments in your code to make it easier to follow your solution, but these do not need to be extensive. (i.e., don't waste a lot of time writing here)

You may use either Intel/Microsoft or AT&T/Unix assembly language syntax. Indicate which one on your answer.

5. (cont.) Write your x86 version of this function below.

Syntax used (circle): Intel/Microsoft AT&T/Unix

```
int foo(int x) {
    int temp;
    if (x == 100) {
        temp = x * 2;
    } else {
        temp = bar(x + 5);
    }
    return temp;
}
```

6. [18] Compiler hacking. We would like to extend the D language and compiler to handle a new loop statement of the following form:

repeat
 statement1
until (bool-exp)

This is a loop that will always execute once with its test at the bottom. In detail, this new statement executes as follows

- 1. Execute *statement1*.
- 2. Evaluate *bool-exp*. If *bool-exp* is true, the loop terminates and execution continues after the loop.
- 3. If *bool-exp* is false, execution continues by jumping back to the repeat keyword, and execution continues at step 1 with *statement1*.

On the next page, write an implementation of compiler function repeat_until_stmt that, when called, will parse and generate code for this new kind of loop. You can assume that this function is to be added to a D compiler constructed as described in the compiler assignments. In particular, you should assume the following:

- There is a global variable current_token defined in the parser and accessible to all of the functions in the parser. When a parser function is called, this variable contains the first token in the construct that is to be parsed.
- Scanner function next_token() can be called at any time to advance current_token to the next token in the source program.
- The token header file has new symbols TOK_REPEAT and TOK_UNTIL defined for the new repeat and until keywords (if you need to refer to these).
- Functions statement(), exp(), and so forth are available in the parser to compile each of the major non-terminals in the D grammar.
- A function new_label(char* lbl) is available. Each time it is called, it replaces the contents of the string lbl with a new, unique string that can be used as a label in the generated assembly language program.
- Function bool_exp(char* lbl) parses and compiles a *bool-exp* and generates code that will evaluate the boolean expression and jump to lbl if the condition is false.
- Finally, there is a function gen(...) that writes its argument as a new line in the assembly language program. Treat this as a pseudo-code function whose argument doesn't need to be strictly legal C as long as the meaning is clear. For example, you can write gen(jmp lbl); if you want to generate the instruction "jmp lbl" in the program, where jmp is a literal opcode and lbl is a string variable. (i.e., do this instead of writing detailed string handling code.)
- Use the correct AT&T/Unix syntax in the generated code

6. (cont). Write your compiler function below.

```
/* Parse and compile:
    * repeat statement until(bool-exp) */
void repeat_until_stmt() {
```

- 2. [15] Short Answer/Fill in the blank/Multiple Choice:
 - a) Programming language designed by committee. Introduced "call by name" semantics.
 - b) Programming language first developed by John Backus at IBM in 1954. Still in use today.
 - c) It is easiest to write a recursive descent parser for a language that (pick one):a) is LL(1),b) has left recursive rules,c) is ambiguous,d) is LR(1)
 - d) "Functional programming is programming without side effects". In this context, what do we mean by "side effects"?

e) Give 3 examples of things that a compiler might check during the type checking phase (these should not just be the same thing written 3 different ways).

Extra Credit:

• List one advantage that dynamic linking has over static linking.

- What is a weakness of mark sweep garbage collection: (circle one)
 - i. Doesn't collect circular structures
 - ii. Big pauses while marking and sweeping
 - iii. Extra overhead on every pointer access
 - iv. All of the above
 - v. None of the above
 - vi. i and ii.
- When implementing Object oriented languages using vtables (as described in lecture), how are overridden functions handled? (circle one)
 - i. Generate code to search multiple vtables until the function is found
 - ii. Wait until runtime to determine which offset to use in a vtable
 - iii. Generate code to call the function at a pre-determined offset in a vtable
 - iv. All of the above
 - v. None of the above
 - vi. i and ii.