CSE 413 Spring 2011

Ruby Tips, Modules & Mixins, Duck Typing & Inheritance

Overview

- Next big topic is typing, classes, and inheritance
- But first, a couple of useful things
 - □ Where's "main"?
 - □ Shorthand for getters/setters
 - □ (Later) an example of an "each" iterator
- Then modules & mixins; duck typing

Where's "main"?

Traditional programming languages start programs in publicstaticvoidmain or equv.

Ruby? No main method

- A "program" is just a sequence of statements / expressions executed in order
 - But these can include class definitions, methods
 - Code outside a class belongs to the default, toplevel Object class

Getters/Setters

Recall that all instance variables are really private – need to define methods to access them class PosRat def initialize(num, denom=1) @num = num @denom = denom end

def num @num end def num=(value) @num = value end

. . .

An Alternative

Was:
 def num
 @num
 end
 def denom
 @denom
 end

. . .

Instead, can use

attr_reader :num, :denom

There is a similar attr_writer shortcut

Organizing Large(r) Programs

Issues

- Idea: divide code into manageable components
- Also: want to take advantage of reusable chunks of code (libraries, classes, etc.)
- Strategy: Split code into separate files
 Typically, one or more classes per file
 Use "require" (or sometimes "load") to access
 What about components that aren't classes?

Namespaces & Modules

- Idea: Want to break larger programs into pieces where names can be reused independently
 - Avoids clashes combining libraries written by different organizations or at different times
- Ruby solution: modules
 - Separate source files that define name spaces, but not necessarily classes

Example (from Programming Ruby)

```
module Trig
 PI = 3.14
 def Trig.sin(x)
  # ...
 end
 def Trig.cos(x)
  # ...
 end
end
```

module Moral
VERY_BAD = 0
BAD = 1
def Moral.sin(badness)
 # ...
end
end

Using Modules

...
require 'trig'
require 'moral'
y = Trig.sin(Trig::PI/4)
penance = Moral.sin(
 Moral::VERY_BAD)
...

- Key point: Each module defines a namespace
 - No clashes with same names in other modules
- Module methods are a lot like class methods

Mixins

- Modules can be used to add behavior to classes – mixins
 - Define instance methods and data in module
 - "include" the module in a class incorporates the module definitions into the class
 - Now the class has its original behavior plus whatever was added in the mixin
 - Provides most of the capabilities of multiple inheritance and/or Java interfaces

Example

module Debug def trace # ... end end class Something include debug # ... end class SomethingElse include debug # ... end

- Both classes have the trace method defined, and it can interact with other methods and data in the host class as if it was defined there
 - (trace is not "shared" by the classes and can't pass information back and forth)

Exploiting Mixins – Comparable

- The real power of this is when mixins build on or interact with code in the classes that use them
- Example: library mixin Comparable

Class must define operator <=>

(a <=> b returns -1, 0, +1 if a<b, a==b, a>b)

□ Comparable mixin uses "client" <=> to define <, <=, ==, >=, >, and between? for that class

Another example – Enumerable

- Container/collection class provides an each method to call a block for each item in the collection
- Enumerable module builds many mapping-like operations on top of this map, include?, find_all, …
 - If items in the collection implement <=> you also get sort, min, max, ...

Iterator Example

 Suppose we want to define a class of Sequence objects that have a from, to, and step, and contain numbers x such that
 from <= x <= to, and
 x = from + n*step for integer value n

(Credit: *Ruby Programming Language*, Flanagan & Matsumoto)

Sequence Class & Constructor

class Sequence # mixin all of the methods in Enumerable include Enumerable

def initialize(from, to, step)
 @from, @to, @step = from, to, step
end

Sequence each method

- To add an iterator to Sequence and make it also work with Enumerable, all we need is this: def each
 - x = @from while x <= @to yield x x += @step end end

Types in Ruby

- Ruby is dynamically typed everything is an object
- Only notion of an object's "type" is what messages it can respond to
 - i.e., whether it has methods for a particular message
 - □ This can change dynamically for either all objects of a class or for individual objects

Duck Typing

- "If it walks like a duck and talks like a duck, it must be a duck"
 - □ Even if it isn't
 - □ All that matters is how an object behaves
 - (i.e, what messages it understands)

Thought Experiment (1)

What must be true about x for this method to work?

def foo x x.m + x.n end

Thought Experiment (2)

What is true about x?

x.m + x.n

- Less than you might think
 - □ x must have 0-argument methods m and n
 - The object returned by x.m must have a + method that takes one argument
 - The object returned by x.n must have whatever methods are needed by x.m.+ (!)

Duck Typing Tradeoffs

Plus

- Convenient, promotes code reuse
- All that matters is what messages an object can receive

Minus

- □ "Obvious" equivalences don't hold: x+x, 2*x, x*2
- May expose more about an object than might be desirable (more coupling in code)
- May allow objects to "work" in unintended / inappropriate contexts

Classes & Inheritance

Ruby vs Java:

- Subclassing in Ruby is *not* about type checking (because of dynamic typing)
- □ Subclassing in Ruby is about *inheriting methods*
- Can use super to refer to inherited code
- See examples in points.rb
 - □ ThreeDPoint inherits methods x and y
 - ColorPoint inherits distance methods

Overriding

- With dynamic typing, inheritance alone is just avoiding cut/paste
- Overriding is the key difference

When a method in a superclass makes a self call, it resolves to a method defined in the subclass if there is one

Example: distFromOrigin2 in PolarPoint

Ruby – Why Subclasses?

- Since we can add/change methods on the fly, why use a subclass?
- Instead of class ColorPoint, why not just add a color field to Point?
 - Can't do this in Java
 - Can do it in Ruby, but it changes all Point instances (including subclasses), even existing ones
 - Pro: now all Point classes have a color
 - Con: Maybe that breaks something else or is the wrong abstraction for some Point clients