

Chapter 5
Divide and Conquer

Algorithm Design
JON KLEINBERG · ÉVA TARDOS

PEARSON
Addison
Wesley

Slides by Kevin Wayne.
Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley.
All rights reserved.

1

Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Break up problem into several parts.
- Solve each part recursively.
- Combine solutions to sub-problems into overall solution.

Most common usage.

- Break up problem of size n into two equal parts of size $\frac{1}{2}n$.
- Solve two parts recursively.
- Combine two solutions into overall solution in linear time.

Consequence.

- Brute force: n^2 .
- Divide-and-conquer: $n \log n$.

Divide et impera.
Veni, vidi, vici.
- Julius Caesar


2

5.1 Mergesort

Mergesort

Mergesort.

- Divide array into two halves.
- Recursively sort each half.
- Merge two halves to make sorted whole.



Jon von Neumann (1945)

A	L	G	O	R	I	T	H	M	S		
A	L	G	O	R	I	T	H	M	S	divide	$O(1)$
A	G	L	O	R	H	I	M	S	T	sort	$2T(n/2)$
A	G	H	I	L	M	O	R	S	T	merge	$O(n)$

4

Merging

Merging. Combine two pre-sorted lists into a sorted whole.

How to merge efficiently?

- Linear number of comparisons.
- Use temporary array.

A

G

L

O

R

H

I

M

S

T

A

G

H

I

Challenge for the bored. In-place merge. [Kronrud, 1969]

↓

using only a constant amount of extra storage

5

A Useful Recurrence Relation

Def. $T(n)$ = number of comparisons to mergesort an input of size n .

Mergesort recurrence.

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve left half}} + \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve right half}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solution. $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$.

Assorted proofs. We describe several ways to prove this recurrence. Initially we assume n is a power of 2 and replace \leq with $=$.

6

Proof by Recursion Tree

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

n
 $2(n/2)$
 $4(n/4)$
 \dots
 $2^k(n/2^k)$
 \dots
 $n/2$ (2)

 $n \log_2 n$

7

Proof by Telescoping

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies this recurrence, then $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.

↑
assumes n is a power of 2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf. For $n > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T(n)}{n} &= \frac{2T(n/2)}{n} + 1 \\ &= \frac{T(n/2)}{n/2} + 1 \\ &= \frac{T(n/4)}{n/4} + 1 + 1 \\ &\dots \\ &= \frac{T(n/n)}{n/n} + \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{\log_2 n} \\ &= \log_2 n \end{aligned}$$

8

Proof by Induction

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies this recurrence, then $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.

↑
assumes n is a power of 2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf. (by induction on n)

- Base case: $n = 1$.
- Inductive hypothesis: $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.
- Goal: show that $T(2n) = 2n \log_2 (2n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(2n) &= 2T(n) + 2n \\ &= 2n \log_2 n + 2n \\ &= 2n(\log_2(2n) - 1) + 2n \\ &= 2n \log_2(2n) \end{aligned}$$

9

Analysis of Mergesort Recurrence

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies the following recurrence, then $T(n) \leq n \lceil \lg n \rceil$.

↑
 $\log_2 n$

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve left half}} + \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve right half}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf. (by induction on n)

- Base case: $n = 1$.
- Define $n_1 = \lfloor n / 2 \rfloor$, $n_2 = \lfloor n / 2 \rfloor$.
- Induction step: assume true for $1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq T(n_1) + T(n_2) + n \\ &\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_1 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n \\ &\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n \\ &= n \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n \\ &\leq n(\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1) + n \\ &= n \lceil \lg n \rceil \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_2 &= \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ &\leq \lfloor 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil} / 2 \rfloor \\ &= 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1} \\ \Rightarrow \lg n_2 &\leq \lceil \lg n \rceil - 1 \end{aligned}$$

10

5.3 Counting Inversions

Counting Inversions

Music site tries to match your song preferences with others.

- You rank n songs.
- Music site consults database to find people with similar tastes.

Similarity metric: number of inversions between two rankings.

- My rank: $1, 2, \dots, n$.
- Your rank: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .
- Songs i and j inverted if $i < j$, but $a_i > a_j$.

	Songs				
	A	B	C	D	E
Me	1	2	3	4	5
You	1	3	4	2	5

Inversions
3-2, 4-2

Brute force: check all $\Theta(n^2)$ pairs i and j .

12

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

13

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: separate list into two pieces.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

Divide: $O(1)$.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

14

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: separate list into two pieces.
- Conquer: recursively count inversions in each half.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

Divide: $O(1)$.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

Conquer: $2T(n/2)$

5 blue-blue inversions 8 green-green inversions

5-4, 5-2, 4-2, 8-2, 10-2 6-3, 9-3, 9-7, 12-3, 12-7, 12-11, 11-3, 11-7

15

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: separate list into two pieces.
- Conquer: recursively count inversions in each half.
- Combine: count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves, and return sum of three quantities.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

Divide: $O(1)$.

1

5

4

8

10

2

6

9

12

11

3

7

Conquer: $2T(n/2)$

5 blue-blue inversions 8 green-green inversions

9 blue-green inversions

5-3, 4-3, 8-6, 8-3, 8-7, 10-6, 10-9, 10-3, 10-7

Combine: ???

Total = 5 + 8 + 9 = 22.

16

Counting Inversions: Combine

Combine: count blue-green inversions

- Assume each half is sorted.
- Count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves.
- Merge two sorted halves into sorted whole.

▶

to maintain sorted invariant

3	7	10	14	18	19	2	11	16	17	23	25
						6	3	2	2	0	0

13 blue-green inversions: $6 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 0 + 0$ Count: $O(n)$

2	3	7	10	11	14	16	17	18	19	23	25
---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

Merge: $O(n)$

$$T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

17

Counting Inversions: Implementation

Pre-condition. [Merge-and-Count] A and B are sorted.
 Post-condition. [Sort-and-Count] L is sorted.

```

Sort-and-Count(L) {
  if list L has one element
    return 0 and the list L

  Divide the list into two halves A and B
  (rA, A) ← Sort-and-Count(A)
  (rB, B) ← Sort-and-Count(B)
  (rB, L) ← Merge-and-Count(A, B)

  return r = rA + rB + r and the sorted list L
}
    
```

18

5.4 Closest Pair of Points

Closest Pair of Points

Closest pair. Given n points in the plane, find a pair with smallest Euclidean distance between them.

Fundamental geometric primitive.

- Graphics, computer vision, geographic information systems, molecular modeling, air traffic control.
- Special case of nearest neighbor, Euclidean MST, Voronoi.

↙ fast closest pair inspired fast algorithms for these problems

Brute force. Check all pairs of points p and q with $\Theta(n^2)$ comparisons.

1-D version. $O(n \log n)$ easy if points are on a line.

Assumption. No two points have same x coordinate.

↓
to make presentation cleaner

20

Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.

21

Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.
Obstacle. Impossible to ensure $n/4$ points in each piece.

22

Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side.

23

Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side.
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.

24

Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side.
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively.
- Combine: find closest pair with one point in each side. ← seems like $\Theta(n^2)$
- Return best of 3 solutions.

Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $< \delta$.

Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $< \delta$.

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.

Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $< \delta$.

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.
- Sort points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.

Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, assuming that distance $< \delta$.

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L.
- Sort points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.
- Only check distances of those within 11 positions in sorted list!

29

Closest Pair of Points

Def. Let s_i be the point in the 2δ -strip, with the i^{th} smallest y-coordinate.

Claim. If $|i - j| \geq 12$, then the distance between s_i and s_j is at least δ .

Pf.

- No two points lie in same $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ -by- $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ box.
- Two points at least 2 rows apart have distance $\geq 2(\frac{1}{2}\delta)$.

Fact. Still true if we replace 12 with 7.

30

Closest Pair Algorithm

```

Closest-Pair( $p_1, \dots, p_n$ ) {
  Compute separation line L such that half the points
  are on one side and half on the other side.
   $\delta_1 = \text{Closest-Pair}(\text{left half})$ 
   $\delta_2 = \text{Closest-Pair}(\text{right half})$ 
   $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ 

  Delete all points further than  $\delta$  from separation line L
  Sort remaining points by y-coordinate.

  Scan points in y-order and compare distance between
  each point and next 11 neighbors. If any of these
  distances is less than  $\delta$ , update  $\delta$ .

  return  $\delta$ .
}
    
```

$O(n \log n)$
 $2T(n/2)$
 $O(n)$
 $O(n \log n)$
 $O(n)$

31

Closest Pair of Points: Analysis

Running time.

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log^2 n)$$

Q. Can we achieve $O(n \log n)$?

A. Yes. Don't sort points in strip from scratch each time.

- Each recursive returns two lists: all points sorted by y coordinate, and all points sorted by x coordinate.
- Sort by merging two pre-sorted lists.

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

32