# Introduction to Database Systems CSE 444 

Lecture 17: Relational Algebra

## Outline

- Motivation and sets vs. bags
- Relational Algebra
- Translation from SQL to the Relational Algebra
- Read Sections 2.4, 5.1, and 5.2
- [Old edition: 5.1 through 5.4]
- These book sections go over relational operators


## The WHAT and the HOW

- In SQL, we write WHAT we want to get form the data
- The database system needs to figure out HOW to get the data we want
- The passage from WHAT to HOW goes through the Relational Algebra


## SQL = WHAT

Product(pid, name, price)
Purchase(pid, cid, store)
Customer(cid, name, city)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SELECT DISTINCT x.name, z.name } \\
& \text { FROM Product x, Purchase y, Customer z } \\
& \text { WHERE x.pid }=\text { y.pid and y.cid }=\text { z.cid and } \\
& \text { x.price }>100 \text { and } z . \text { city }=\text { 'Seattle' }
\end{aligned}
$$

It's clear WHAT we want, unclear HOW to get it

## Relational Algebra $=$ HOW



## Relational Algebra $=$ HOW

The order is now clearly specified:

- Iterate over PRODUCT...
- ...join with PURCHASE...
- ...join with CUSTOMER...
- ...select tuples with Price>100 and City='Seattle’...
- ...eliminate duplicates...
- ...and that's the final answer!


## Sets v.s. Bags

- Sets: $\{a, b, c\},\{a, d, e, f\},\{ \}, \ldots$
- Bags: $\{a, a, b, c\},\{b, b, b, b, b\}, \ldots$

Relational Algebra has two flavors:

- Over sets: theoretically elegant but limited
- Over bags: needed for SQL queries + more efficient
- Example: Compute average price of all products

We discuss set semantics

- We mention bag semantics only where needed
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## Relational Algebra

- Query language associated with relational model
- Queries specified in an operational manner
- A query gives a step-by-step procedure
- Relational operators
- Take one or two relation instances as argument
- Return one relation instance as result
- Easy to compose into relational algebra expressions


## Relational Algebra (1/3)

Five basic operators:

- Union ( $\cup$ ) and Set difference (-)
- Selection: : $\sigma_{\text {condition }}(\mathrm{S})$
- Condition is Boolean combination ( $\wedge, \vee$ ) of terms
- Term is: attribute op constant, attr. op attr.
- Op is: <, <=, =, $\neq,>=$, or >
- Projection: $\pi_{\text {list-of-attributes }}(\mathrm{S})$
- Cross-product or cartesian product ( $\times$ )


## Relational Algebra (2/3)

Derived or auxiliary operators:

- Intersection ( $\cap$ ), Division (R/S)
- Join: $R \bowtie_{\theta} S=\sigma_{\theta}(R \times S)$
- Variations of joins
- Natural, equijoin, theta-join
- Outer join and semi-join
- Rename $\rho_{\text {B1, }, \ldots, \mathrm{Bn}}$ (S)


## Relational Algebra (3/3)

Extensions for bags

- Duplicate elimination: $\delta$
- Group by: $\gamma$ [Same symbol as aggregation]
- Partitions tuples of a relation into "groups"
- Sorting: $\tau$

Other extensions

- Aggregation: $\gamma$ (min, max, sum, average, count)


## Union and Difference

- R1 $\cup$ R2
- Example:
- ActiveEmployees $\cup$ RetiredEmployees
- R1-R2
- Example:
- AllEmployees - RetiredEmployees


## Be careful when applying to bags!

## What about Intersection?

- It is a derived operator
- R1 $\cap$ R2 = R1 - (R1 - R2)
- Also expressed as a join (will see later)
- Example
- UnionizedEmployees $\cap$ RetiredEmployees


## Selection

- Returns all tuples that satisfy a condition
- Notation: $\sigma_{c}(R)$
- Examples
- $\sigma_{\text {Salary } 40000}$ (Employee)
- $\sigma_{\text {name }}=$ "Smith" $(E m p l o y e e)$
- The condition c can be
- Boolean combination ( $\wedge, \vee$ ) of terms
- Term is: attribute op constant, attr. op attr.
- Op is: <, <=, =, $\neq,>=$, or >

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234545 | John | 200000 |
| 5423341 | Smith | 600000 |
| 4352342 | Fred | 500000 |

$\sigma_{\text {Salary }}$ 40000 $($ Employee $)$

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5423341 | Smith | 600000 |
| 4352342 | Fred | 500000 |

## Projection

- Eliminates columns
- Notation: $\Pi_{\text {A1, ..,An }}(R)$
- Example: project social-security number and names:
- $\Pi_{\text {SSN, Name }}$ (Employee)
- Output schema: Answer(SSN, Name)


## Semantics differs over set or over bags

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234545 | John | 200000 |
| 5423341 | John | 600000 |
| 4352342 | John | 200000 |

$\Pi_{\text {Name,Salary }}$ (Employee)

| Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: |
| John | 20000 |
| John | 60000 |

## Set semantics: duplicate elimination automatic

| SSN | Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234545 | John | 200000 |
| 5423341 | John | 600000 |
| 4352342 | John | 200000 |

$\Pi_{\text {Name,Salary }}$ (Employee)

| Name | Salary |
| :---: | :---: |
| John | 20000 |
| John | 60000 |
| John | 20000 |

Bag semantics: no duplicate elimination; need explicit $\delta$

## Selection \& Projection Examples

Patient

| no | name | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | p1 | 98125 | flu |
| 2 | p2 | 98125 | heart |
| 3 | p3 | 98120 | lung |
| 4 | p4 | 98120 | heart |

$\sigma_{\text {disease='heart' }}($ Patient $)$

| no | name | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | p2 | 98125 | heart |
| 4 | p4 | 98120 | heart |

$\pi_{\text {zip,disease }}$ (Patient)

| zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- |
| 98125 | flu |
| 98125 | heart |
| 98120 | lung |
| 98120 | heart |

$\pi_{\text {zip }}\left(\sigma_{\text {disease='heart' }}(\right.$ Patient $\left.)\right)$

| zip |
| :--- |
| 98120 |
| 98125 |

## Cartesian Product

- Each tuple in R1 with each tuple in R2
- Notation: R1 $\times$ R2
- Example:
- Employee $\times$ Dependents
- Rare in practice; mainly used to express joins


## Cartesian Product Example

| Employee |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | SSN |
| John | 999999999 |
| Tony | 777777777 |


| Dependents |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| EmployeeSSN | Dname |
| 999999999 | Emily |
| 777777777 | Joe |

Employee x Dependents

| Name | SSN | EmployeeSSN | Dname |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John | 999999999 | 999999999 | Emily |
| John | 999999999 | 777777777 | Joe |
| Tony | 777777777 | 999999999 | Emily |
| Tony | 777777777 | 777777777 | Joe |

## Renaming

- Changes the schema, not the instance
- Notation: $\rho_{\text {B1 }, \ldots, \mathrm{Bn}}(\mathrm{R})$
- Example:
- $\rho_{\text {LastName, }}$ SocSocNo (Employee)
- Output schema:

Answer(LastName, SocSocNo)

## Renaming Example

| Employee |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | SSN |
| John | 999999999 |
| Tony | 777777777 |

## $\rho_{\text {LastName, SocSocNo }}$ (Employee)

| LastName | SocSocNo |
| :--- | :--- |
| John | 999999999 |
| Tony | 777777777 |

## Different Types of Join

- Theta-join: $R \bowtie_{\theta} S=\sigma_{\theta}(R \times S)$
- Join of $R$ and $S$ with a join condition $\theta$
- Cross-product followed by selection $\theta$
- Equijoin: $R \bowtie_{\theta} S=\pi_{A}\left(\sigma_{\theta}(R \times S)\right)$
- Join condition $\theta$ consists only of equalities
- Projection $\pi_{\mathrm{A}}$ drops all redundant attributes
- By far most used join in practice
- Natural join: $\mathrm{R} \bowtie \mathrm{S}=\pi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\sigma_{\theta}(\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S})\right)$
- Equijoin
- Equality on all fields with same name in $R$ and in $S$


## Theta-Join Example

## AnonPatient P

| age | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart |
| 20 | 98120 | flu |

$P \bowtie_{\text {P.age=J.age }} \wedge$ P.zip=J.zip $\wedge$ P.age $<50 \mathrm{~J}$

| P.age | P.zip | disease | job | J.age | J.zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 98120 | flu | cashier | 20 | 98120 |

## Equijoin Example

AnonPatient $P$

| age | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart |
| 20 | 98120 | flu |

$P \bowtie_{\text {P.age=J.age }} J$

| age | P.zip | disease | job | J.zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart | lawyer | 98125 |
| 20 | 98120 | flu | cashier | 98120 |

## Natural Join Example

## AnonPatient $P$

| age | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart |
| 20 | 98120 | flu |

$P \bowtie J$

| age | zip | disease | job |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart | lawyer |
| 20 | 98120 | flu | cashier |

## So Which Join Is It?

- When we write $R \bowtie S$ we usually mean an equijoin, but we often omit the equality predicate when it is clear from the context


## More Joins

- Outer join
- Include tuples with no matches in the output
- Use NULL values for missing attributes
- Variants
- Left outer join
- Right outer join
- Full outer join


## Outer Join Example

AnonPatient $P$

| age | zip | disease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart |
| 20 | 98120 | flu |
| 33 | 98120 | lung |

$P \bowtie V$

AnnonJob J

| job | age | zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lawyer | 54 | 98125 |
| cashier | 20 | 98120 |


| age | zip | disease | job |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 98125 | heart | lawyer |
| 20 | 98120 | flu | cashier |
| 33 | 98120 | lung | null |

## Semijoin

- $R \bowtie S=\Pi_{A 1, \ldots, A n}(R \bowtie S)$
- Where $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are the attributes in $R$
- Example:
- Employee $\ltimes$ Dependents


## Semijoins in Distributed Databases

- Semijoins are used in distributed databases


$$
\mathrm{R}=\text { Employee } \nless \mathrm{T} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{~T}=\Pi_{\mathrm{SSN}}\left(\sigma_{\text {age }>71}(\text { Dependents })\right)
$$

## Complex RA Expressions



## Example of Algebra Queries

Q1: Jobs of patients who have heart disease $\pi_{\text {job }}\left(\right.$ AnnonJob ${ }_{\bowtie}\left(\sigma_{\text {disease='heart' }}(\right.$ AnonPatient $\left.)\right)$

## More Examples

```
Supplier(sno,sname,scity,sstate)
Part(pno,pname,psize, pcolor)
Supply(sno,pno,qty,price)
```

Q2: Name of supplier of parts with size greater than 10
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\right.$ Supplier $\bowtie$ Supply $\bowtie\left(\sigma_{\text {psize>10 }}\right.$ (Part))

Q3: Name of supplier of red parts or parts with size greater than 10 $\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\right.$ Supplier $\bowtie$ Supply $\bowtie\left(\sigma_{\text {psize>10 }}(\right.$ Part $) \cup \sigma_{\text {pcolor='red' }}($ Part $\left.\left.)\right)\right)$

## RA Expressions vs. Programs

- An Algebra Expression is like a program
- Several operations
- Strictly specified order
- But Algebra expressions have limitations


## RA and Transitive Closure

- Cannot compute "transitive closure"

| Name1 | Name2 | Relationship |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fred | Mary | Father |
| Mary | Joe | Cousin |
| Mary | Bill | Spouse |
| Nancy | Lou | Sister |

- Find all direct and indirect relatives of Fred
- Cannot express in RA !!! Need to write Java program


## Outline
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- Translation from SQL to the Relational Algebra
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## From SQL to RA

Product(pid, name, price)<br>Purchase(pid, cid, store)<br>Customer(cid, name, city)

SELECT DISTINCT x.name, z.name FROM Product x, Purchase y, Customer z
WHERE x.pid $=$ y.pid and y.cid $=$ y.cid and x.price > 100 and z.city = 'Seattle'

## From SQL to RA



## An Equivalent Expression

Query optimization $=$ finding cheaper equivalent expressions


## Operators on Bags

- Duplicate elimination $\delta$
- Grouping $\gamma$
- Sorting $\tau$


## Logical Query Plan

SELECT city, count(*)
FROM sales
GROUP BY city
HAVING sum(price) > 100

T1, T2, T3 = temporary tables

sales(product, city, price)

## Non-monontone Queries (at home!)

Product(pid, name, price)
Purchase(pid, cid, store)
Customer(cid, name, city)

```
SELECT DISTINCT z.store
FROM Customer z
WHERE z.city=`Seattle’ AND
    not exists (select *
        from Product x, Purchase y
        where x.pid= y.pid
        and y.cid = z.cid
        and x.price < 100)
```

