

Security and Course Wrapup

Last Time

- Security theory
 - Access control matrix
 - Passwords
 - Encryption
- Security practice
 - Example successful attacks

Main Points

- Security practice
 - More example attacks
 - How to write an undetectable self-replicating virus
- Course wrapup

UNIX talk

- UNIX talk was an early version of Internet chat
 - For users logged onto same machine
- App was setuid root
 - Needed to write to everyone's terminal
- But it had a bug...
 - Signal handler for ctrl-C

Netscape

- How do you pick a session key?
 - Early Netscape browser used time of day as seed to the random number generator
 - Made it easy to predict/break
- How do you download a patch?
 - Netscape offered patch to the random seed problem for download over Web, and from mirror sites
 - four byte change to executable to make it use attacker's key

Code Red/Nimda/Slammer

- Dictionary attack of known vulnerabilities
 - known Microsoft web server bugs, email attachments, browser helper applications, ...
 - used infected machines to infect new machines
- Code Red:
 - designed to cause machines surf to whitehouse.gov simultaneously
- Nimda:
 - Left open backdoor on infected machines for any use
 - Infected ~ 400K machines
- Slammer:
 - Single UDP packet on MySQL port
 - Infected 100K+ vulnerable machines in under 10 minutes
- Million node botnets now common

More Examples

- Housekeys
- ATM keypad
- Automobile backplane
- Pacemakers

Thompson Virus

- Ken Thompson self-replicating program
 - installed itself silently on every UNIX machine, including new machines with new instruction sets

Add backdoor to login.c

- Step 1: modify login.c

A:

```
if (name == "ken") {  
    don't check password;  
    login ken as root;  
}
```

- Modification is too obvious; how do we hide it?

Hiding the change to login.c

- Step 2: Modify the C compiler

B:

```
    if see trigger {  
        insert A into the input stream  
    }
```

- Add trigger to login.c

```
/* gobblygook */
```

- Now we don't need to include the code for the backdoor in login.c, just the trigger
 - But still too obvious; how do we hide the modification to the C compiler?

Hiding the change to the compiler

- Step 3: Modify the compiler

C:

```
if see trigger2 {  
    insert B and C into the input stream  
}
```

- Compile the compiler with C present
 - now in object code for compiler
- Replace C in the compiler source with trigger2

Compiler compiles the compiler

- Every new version of compiler has code for B,C included
 - as long as trigger2 is not removed
 - and compiled with an infected compiler
 - if compiler is for a completely new machine: cross-compiled first on old machine using old compiler
- Every new version of login.c has code for A included
 - as long as trigger is not removed
 - and compiled with an infected compiler

Question

- Can you write a self-replicating C program?
 - program that when run, outputs itself
 - without reading any input files!

```
char *buf =  
    "char *buf = %c%s%c; main(){printf(buf, 34, buf, 34);}";  
main() { printf(buf, 34, buf, 34); }
```

Security Lessons

- Hard to re-secure a machine after penetration
 - how do you know you've removed all the backdoors?
- Hard to detect if machine has been penetrated
 - Western Digital example
- Any system with bugs is vulnerable
 - and all systems have bugs: fingerd, ping of death, Code Red, nimda, ...

Course Wrapup

Major Topics

- Protection
 - Kernel/user mode, system calls
- Concurrency
 - Threads, monitors, deadlock, scheduling
- Memory management
 - Address translation, demand paging
- File systems
 - Disk, flash, file layout, transactions

OS as Referee

- Protection
 - OS isolates apps from bugs or attacks in other apps
 - Pipes and files for interprocess communication
- CPU scheduling
 - OS decides which application thread is next onto the processor
- Memory allocation
 - OS decides how many memory frames given to each app
- File system
 - OS enforces security policy in accessing file data

OS as Illusionist

Physical Reality

Limited # of CPUs

CPU interrupts and time slicing

Limited physical memory

Apps share physical machine

Computers can crash

Abstraction

Can assume near infinite # of processes/threads

Each thread appears to run sequentially (at variable speed)

Near-infinite virtual memory

Execution on virtual machine with isolation between apps

Changes to file system are atomic and durable

OS as Glue

- Locks and condition variables
 - Not test&set instructions
- Named files and directories
 - Not raw disk block storage
- Pipes: stream interprocess communication
 - Not fixed size read/write calls
- Memory-mapped files
 - Not raw disk reads/writes

OS Trends and Future Directions

- Optimize for the computer's time
=> optimize for the user's time
- One processor => many
- One computer => server clusters
- Disk => solid state memory
- Operating systems at user level
 - Browsers, databases, servers, parallel runtimes

Advertisements

- CSE 452: Distributed Systems
 - How can we build scalable systems that work even though parts of the system can fail at any time?
- CSE 484: Security
 - How can we build systems that can withstand attack?
- CSE 444: Databases
 - How do we build systems that can manage giant amounts of data reliably and efficiently?
- CSE 461: Networks
 - How do we build protocols to allow reliable and efficient communication between computers?