

Announcements

- Project 2 extension: Friday, Feb 8
- Project 2 help session: today at 5:30 in Sieg 327

Projective geometry



[Ames Room](#)

Readings

- Mundy, J.L. and Zisserman, A., Geometric Invariance in Computer Vision, Appendix: Projective Geometry for Machine Vision, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1992, (read 23.1 - 23.5, 23.10)
 - available online: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~ph/869/papers/zisser-mundy.pdf>

Projective geometry—what's it good for?

Uses of projective geometry

- Drawing
- Measurements
- Mathematics for projection
- Undistorting images
- Focus of expansion
- Camera pose estimation, match move
- Object recognition

Applications of projective geometry




Vermeer's *Music Lesson*




Reconstructions by Criminisi et al.

Measurements on planes



Approach: unwrap then measure
What kind of warp is this?

Image rectification



To unwrap (rectify) an image

- solve for homography **H** given **p** and **p'**
- solve equations of the form: $\mathbf{wp}' = \mathbf{Hp}$
 - linear in unknowns: **w** and coefficients of **H**
 - **H** is defined up to an arbitrary scale factor
 - how many points are necessary to solve for **H**?

work out on board

Solving for homographies

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_i \\ y'_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} \\ h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{20} & h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x'_i = \frac{h_{00}x_i + h_{01}y_i + h_{02}}{h_{20}x_i + h_{21}y_i + h_{22}}$$

$$y'_i = \frac{h_{10}x_i + h_{11}y_i + h_{12}}{h_{20}x_i + h_{21}y_i + h_{22}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x'_i(h_{20}x_i + h_{21}y_i + h_{22}) &= h_{00}x_i + h_{01}y_i + h_{02} \\ y'_i(h_{20}x_i + h_{21}y_i + h_{22}) &= h_{10}x_i + h_{11}y_i + h_{12} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_i & y_i & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_i x_i & -x'_i y_i & -x'_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_i & y_i & 1 & -y'_i x_i & -y'_i y_i & -y'_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} \\ h_{01} \\ h_{02} \\ h_{10} \\ h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{20} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving for homographies

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_1 x_1 & -x'_1 y_1 & -x'_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 & 1 & -y'_1 x_1 & -y'_1 y_1 & -y'_1 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ x_n & y_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_n x_n & -x'_n y_n & -x'_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_n & y_n & 1 & -y'_n x_n & -y'_n y_n & -y'_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} \\ h_{01} \\ h_{02} \\ h_{10} \\ h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{20} \\ h_{21} \\ h_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{h} \quad \mathbf{0}$$

$2n \times 9 \quad 9 \quad 2n$

Defines a least squares problem: minimize $\|\mathbf{Ah} - \mathbf{0}\|^2$

- Since **h** is only defined up to scale, solve for unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$
- Solution: $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ = eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ with smallest eigenvalue
- Works with 4 or more points


The projective plane

Why do we need homogeneous coordinates?

- represent points at infinity, homographies, perspective projection, multi-view relationships

What is the geometric intuition?


- a point in the image is a ray in projective space



- Each point (x, y) on the plane is represented by a ray (sx, sy, s)
 - all points on the ray are equivalent: $(x, y, 1) \equiv (sx, sy, s)$

Projective lines

What does a line in the image correspond to in projective space?



- A line is a *plane* of rays through origin
 - all rays (x, y, z) satisfying: $ax + by + cz = 0$


$$\text{in vector notation: } 0 = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

l **p**

- A line is also represented as a homogeneous 3-vector **l**

Point and line duality

- A line **l** is a homogeneous 3-vector
- It is \perp to every point (ray) **p** on the line: $l \cdot p = 0$



What is the line **l** spanned by rays **p**₁ and **p**₂?

- **l** is \perp to **p**₁ and **p**₂ $\Rightarrow l = p_1 \times p_2$
- **l** is the plane normal


What is the intersection of two lines **l**₁ and **l**₂?

- **p** is \perp to **l**₁ and **l**₂ $\Rightarrow p = l_1 \times l_2$

Points and lines are *dual* in projective space

- given any formula, can switch the meanings of points and lines to get another formula

Ideal points and lines



Ideal point ("point at infinity")

- $p \equiv (x, y, 0)$ – parallel to image plane
- It has infinite image coordinates

Ideal line

- $l \equiv (a, b, 0)$ – parallel to image plane
- Corresponds to a line in the image (finite coordinates)
 - goes through image origin (*principle point*)

Homographies of points and lines

Computed by 3x3 matrix multiplication

- To transform a point: $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{p}$
- To transform a line: $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{p}=0 \rightarrow \mathbf{l}'\mathbf{p}'=0$
 - $0 = \mathbf{l}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{l}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{l}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{p}' \Rightarrow \mathbf{l}' = \mathbf{l}\mathbf{H}^{-1}$
 - lines are transformed by postmultiplication of \mathbf{H}^{-1}

3D projective geometry

These concepts generalize naturally to 3D

- Homogeneous coordinates
 - Projective 3D points have four coords: $\mathbf{P} = (X, Y, Z, W)$
- Duality
 - A plane \mathbf{N} is also represented by a 4-vector
 - Points and planes are dual in 3D: $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}=0$
- Projective transformations
 - Represented by 4x4 matrices \mathbf{T} : $\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{N}' = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$


3D to 2D: "perspective" projection

Matrix Projection: $\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} wx \\ wy \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}$

What is *not* preserved under perspective projection?

What IS preserved?


Vanishing points (2D)



Vanishing point

- projection of a point at infinity


Vanishing points



Properties

- Any two parallel lines have the same vanishing point v
- The ray from C through v is parallel to the lines
- An image may have more than one vanishing point
 - in fact every pixel is a potential vanishing point


Vanishing lines



Multiple Vanishing Points

- Any set of parallel lines on the plane define a vanishing point
- The union of all of these vanishing points is the *horizon line*
 - also called *vanishing line*


Vanishing lines



Multiple Vanishing Points

- Different planes define different vanishing lines

Computing vanishing points




$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_x + tD_x \\ P_y + tD_y \\ P_z + tD_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} P_x / t + D_x \\ P_y / t + D_y \\ P_z / t + D_z \\ 1/t \end{bmatrix} \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad P_\infty \cong \begin{bmatrix} D_x \\ D_y \\ D_z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Properties $v = \text{IIP}_\infty$

- P_∞ is a point at *infinity*, v is its projection
- They depend only on line *direction*
- Parallel lines $P_0 + tD$, $P_1 + tD$ intersect at P_∞

Computing vanishing lines




Properties


- I is intersection of horizontal plane through **C** with image plane
- Compute I from two sets of parallel lines on ground plane
- All points at same height as **C** project to I
 - points higher than C project above I
- Provides way of comparing height of objects in the scene




Fun with vanishing points




Perspective cues




Perspective cues




Perspective cues




Comparing heights



Measuring height



Computing vanishing points (from lines)




Intersect p_1q_1 with p_2q_2

$$v = (p_1 \times q_1) \times (p_2 \times q_2)$$

Least squares version

- Better to use more than two lines and compute the "closest" point of intersection
- See notes by [Bob Collins](http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/~ph/869/www/notes/vanishing.txt) for one good way of doing this:
 - <http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/~ph/869/www/notes/vanishing.txt>

Measuring height without a ruler



Compute Z from image measurements


- Need more than vanishing points to do this

The cross ratio

A Projective Invariant

- Something that does not change under projective transformations (including perspective projection)

The cross-ratio of 4 collinear points



$$\frac{\|P_3 - P_1\| \|P_4 - P_2\|}{\|P_3 - P_2\| \|P_4 - P_1\|}$$

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\frac{\|P_1 - P_3\| \|P_4 - P_2\|}{\|P_1 - P_2\| \|P_4 - P_3\|}$$

Can permute the point ordering

- $4! = 24$ different orders (but only 6 distinct values)

This is the fundamental invariant of projective geometry

Measuring height





$$\frac{\|T - B\| \|\infty - R\|}{\|R - B\| \|\infty - T\|} = \frac{H}{R}$$

scene cross ratio

$$\frac{\|t - b\| \|v_z - r\|}{\|r - b\| \|v_z - t\|} = \frac{H}{R}$$

image cross ratio


scene points represented as $P = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ image points as $p = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$



Computing (X,Y,Z) coordinates

Okay, we know how to compute height (Z coords)

- how can we compute X, Y?



Camera calibration

Goal: estimate the camera parameters

- Version 1: solve for projection matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} wx \\ wy \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{X}$$

- Version 2: solve for camera parameters separately
 - intrinsic (focal length, principle point, pixel size)
 - extrinsic (rotation angles, translation)
 - radial distortion

Vanishing points and projection matrix

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 & \pi_4 \end{bmatrix} = [\pi_1 \quad \pi_2 \quad \pi_3 \quad \pi_4]$$

- $\pi_1 = \mathbf{\Pi} [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T = \mathbf{v}_x$ (X vanishing point)
- similarly, $\pi_2 = \mathbf{v}_y$, $\pi_3 = \mathbf{v}_z$
- $\pi_4 = \mathbf{\Pi} [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T$ = projection of world origin

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = [\mathbf{v}_x \quad \mathbf{v}_y \quad \mathbf{v}_z \quad \mathbf{o}]$$

Not So Fast! We only know \mathbf{v} 's up to a scale factor


$$\mathbf{\Pi} = [a \mathbf{v}_x \quad b \mathbf{v}_y \quad c \mathbf{v}_z \quad \mathbf{o}]$$

- Can fully specify by providing 3 reference points

Calibration using a reference object

Place a known object in the scene

- identify correspondence between image and scene
- compute mapping from scene to image



Issues

- must know geometry very accurately
- must know 3D->2D correspondence

Chromaglyphs




Courtesy of Bruce Culbertson, HP Labs
http://www.hpl.hp.com/personal/Bruce_Culbertson/br98/chromagl.htm

Estimating the projection matrix

Place a known object in the scene

- identify correspondence between image and scene
- compute mapping from scene to image



$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Direct linear calibration

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_i = \frac{m_{00}X_i + m_{01}Y_i + m_{02}Z_i + m_{03}}{m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}}$$

$$v_i = \frac{m_{10}X_i + m_{11}Y_i + m_{12}Z_i + m_{13}}{m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}}$$

$$u_i(m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}) = m_{00}X_i + m_{01}Y_i + m_{02}Z_i + m_{03}$$

$$v_i(m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}) = m_{10}X_i + m_{11}Y_i + m_{12}Z_i + m_{13}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_i & Y_i & Z_i & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_i X_i & -u_i Y_i & -u_i Z_i & -u_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_i & Y_i & Z_i & 1 & -v_i X_i & -v_i Y_i & -v_i Z_i & -v_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} \\ m_{01} \\ m_{02} \\ m_{03} \\ m_{10} \\ m_{11} \\ m_{12} \\ m_{13} \\ m_{20} \\ m_{21} \\ m_{22} \\ m_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Direct linear calibration

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & Y_1 & Z_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1 X_1 & -u_1 Y_1 & -u_1 Z_1 & -u_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1 & Y_1 & Z_1 & 1 & -v_1 X_1 & -v_1 Y_1 & -v_1 Z_1 & -v_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ X_n & Y_n & Z_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_n X_n & -u_n Y_n & -u_n Z_n & -u_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_n & Y_n & Z_n & 1 & -v_n X_n & -v_n Y_n & -v_n Z_n & -v_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} \\ m_{01} \\ m_{02} \\ m_{03} \\ m_{10} \\ m_{11} \\ m_{12} \\ m_{13} \\ m_{20} \\ m_{21} \\ m_{22} \\ m_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Can solve for m_{ij} by linear least squares

- use eigenvector trick that we used for homographies

Direct linear calibration

Advantage:

- Very simple to formulate and solve


Disadvantages:

- Doesn't tell you the camera parameters
- Doesn't model radial distortion
- Hard to impose constraints (e.g., known focal length)
- Doesn't minimize the right error function

For these reasons, *nonlinear methods* are preferred

- Define error function E between projected 3D points and image positions
 - E is nonlinear function of intrinsics, extrinsics, radial distortion
- Minimize E using nonlinear optimization techniques
 - e.g., variants of Newton's method (e.g., Levenberg Marquart)

Alternative: multi-plane calibration



Images courtesy Jean-Yves Bouguet, Intel Corp.

Advantage

- Only requires a plane
- Don't have to know positions/orientations
- Good code available online!
 - Intel's OpenCV library: <http://www.intel.com/research/mf/research/opencv/>
 - Matlab version by Jean-Yves Bouguet: http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouquetj/calib_doc/index.html
 - Zhengyou Zhang's web site: <http://research.microsoft.com/~zhang/Calib/>

Some Related Techniques

Image-Based Modeling and Photo Editing

- Mok et al., SIGGRAPH 2001
- <http://graphics.csail.mit.edu/ibedit/>

Single View Modeling of Free-Form Scenes

- Zhang et al., CVPR 2001
- <http://grail.cs.washington.edu/projects/svm/>

Tour Into The Picture

- Anijo et al., SIGGRAPH 1997
- http://koigakubo.hitachi.co.jp/little/DL_TipE.html