CSE 461
HTTP and the Web

This Lecture

- HTTP and the Web (but not HTML)
- Focus
  - How do Web transfers work?
- Topics
  - HTTP, HTTP1.1
  - Performance Improvements
    - Protocol Latency
    - Caching



Web Protocol Stacks



- To view the URL http://server/page.html the client makes a TCP connection to port 80 of the server, by it's IP address, sends the HTTP request, receives the HTML for page.html as the response, repeats the process for inline images, and displays it.

HTTP Request/Response



FIGURE 3. HTTP File Transfer

1 RTT	channel OPEN
0.5 RTT	send request
0.5 RTT	file starts to arrive
Ftrans	time to transmit the file
---	
2 RTT + Ftrans	
= time to get a file in HTTP	

Simple HTTP 1.0



- HTTP is a tiny, text-based language
- The GET method requests an object
- There are HTTP headers, like "Content-Length:", etc.
- Try "telnet server 80" then "GET index.html HTTP/1.0"
  - Other methods: POST, HEAD,… google for details

HTTP Request/Response in Action



Figure 3-1: Packet exchanges and round-trip times for HTTP

- Problem is that:
  - Web pages are made up of many files
    - Most are very small (< 10k)
  - files are mapped to connections

For each file

- Setup/Teardown
  - Time-Wait table bloat
- 2RTT "first byte" latency
- Slow Start+ AIMD Congestion Avoidance

The goals of HTTP and TCP protocols are not aligned.

TCP Behavior for Short Connections Over Slow Networks



Figure 3-2: Throughput vs. connection length, RTT = 70 msec

Figure 3-2 shows that, in the remote case, using a TCP connection to transfer only 2 Kbytes results in a throughput less than 10% of best-case value. Even a 20 Kbyte transfer achieves only about 50% of the throughput available with a reasonable window size. This reduced throughput translates into increased latency for document retrieval. The figure also shows that, for this 70 msec RTT, use of too small a window size limits the throughput no matter how many bytes are transferred.

RTT=70ms

It's the RTT

RTT=1ms



Figure 3-3: Throughput vs. connection length, RTT near 0 msec

No slow start here (ULTRIX LAN)

HTTP1.1: Persistent Connections



- Idea: Use one TCP connection for multiple page downloads (or just HTTP methods)
- Q: What are the advantages?
- Q: What are the disadvantages?
  - *Application layer multiplexing*

HTTP/1.1



Effect of Persistent HTTP



Figure 6-1: Latencies for a remote server, image size = 2544 bytes

Image size=2544



Figure 6-2: Latencies for a remote server, image size = 45566 bytes

Image size=45566

Caching

- It is faster and cheaper to get data that is closer to here than closer to there.
- "There" is the origin server. 2-5 RTT
- "Here" can be:
  - Local browser cache (file system) (1-10ms)
  - Client-side proxy (institutional proxy) (10-50)
  - Content-distribution network (CDN -- "cloud" proxies) (50-100)
  - Server-side proxy (reverse proxy @ origin server) (2-5RTT)

Browser Caches



- Bigger win: avoid repeated transfers of the same page
- Check local browser cache to see if we have the page
- GET with If-Modified-Since makes sure it's up-to-date

Consistency and Caching Directives

- Browsers typically use heuristics
  - To reduce server connections and hence realize benefits
  - Check freshness once a "session" with GET If-Modified-Since and then assume it's fresh the rest of the time
  - Possible to have inconsistent data.
- Key issue is knowing when cached data is fresh/stale
  - Otherwise many connections or the risk of staleness
- Caching directives provide hints
  - Expires: header is basically a time-to-live
  - Also indicate whether page is cacheable or not

Proxy Caches



- Insert further levels of caching for greater gain
- Share proxy caches between many users (not shown)
  - If I haven't downloaded it recently, maybe you have
- Your browser has built-in support for this

Proxy Cache Effectiveness



Sharing, Not Locality, Drives Effectiveness



Figure 9: Hit rate divided into hits due to sharing and due to locality of a single client.



Figure 10: The percent of a total URLs in a trace requested by two or more clients and the percent of total requests to these shared objects.

The Trends

- HTTP Objects are getting bigger
- But Less important



Key Concepts

- HTTP and the Web is just a shim on top of TCP
  - Sufficient and enabled rapid adoption
  - Many "scalability" and performance issues now important