

## Cache Coherency

### Cache coherent processors

- most current value for an address is the last write
- all reading processors must get the most current value

### Cache coherency problem

- update from a writing processor is not known to other processors

### Cache coherency protocols


- (usually hardware) mechanism for maintaining cache coherency
- coherency state associated with a cache block of data
- bus/interconnect operations on shared data change the state
  - for the processor that initiates an operation
  - for other processors that have the data of the operation resident in their caches

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

1

## A Low-end MP



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

2

## Cache Coherency Protocols

### Write-invalidate

(most multiprocessors)


- processor obtains exclusive access for writes (becomes the “owner”) by invalidating data in other processors’ caches
- **coherency miss** (invalidation miss)
- **cache-to-cache transfers**
- good for:
  - multiple writes to same word or block by one processor
  - exploits **migratory sharing** from processor to processor, or **processor locality**

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

3

## A Low-end MP



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

4

## Cache Coherency Protocols

### Write-update

(SPARCcenter 2000)

- broadcast each write to actively shared data
- each processor with a copy snoops/takes the data
- good for **inter-processor contention**

### Competitive

(Alphas)

- switches between them


We will focus on write-invalidate.

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

5

## A Low-end MP



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

6

## Cache Coherency Protocol Implementations

### **Snooping**

- used with low-end MPs
  - few processors
  - centralized memory
  - bus-based
- distributed implementation: responsibility for maintaining coherence lies with each processor cache

### **Directory-based**

- used with higher-end MPs
  - more processors
  - distributed memory
  - multi-path interconnect
- distributed implementation: responsibility for maintaining coherence lies with the directory for each address

## Snooping Implementation

A distributed coherency protocol

- coherency state associated with each cache block
- each snoop maintains coherency for its own cache
  - compare address on the bus with address in cache
  - response depends on coherency state

## Snooping Implementation

How the bus is used

- broadcast medium
- entire coherency operation is atomic wrt other processors
  - **keep-the-bus protocol:**
    - master holds the bus until the entire operation has completed
    - do not initiate another operation while one is in progress
  - **split-transaction protocol :**
    - request & response are different phases
    - state values that indicate that an operation is in progress
    - do not initiate another operation for a cache block that has one in progress

## Snooping Implementation

Snoop implementation:

- snoop on the highest level cache
  - another reason L2 is physically-accessed
  - property of **inclusion:**
    - all blocks in L1 are in L2
    - therefore only have to snoop on L2
    - may need to update L1 state if change L2 state
- separate tags & state for snoop lookups
  - processor & snoop communicate for a state or tag change

## An Example Snooping Protocol

**Invalidation-based** coherency protocol

Each cache block is in one of three states


- **shared:**
  - clean in all caches & up-to-date in memory
  - block can be read by any processor
- **exclusive:**
  - dirty in exactly one cache
  - only that processor can write to it
- **invalid:**
  - block contains no valid data

## State Transitions for a Given Cache Block


State transitions caused by:

- events caused by the **requesting processor**, e.g.,
  - read miss (go from invalid to shared)
  - write miss (go from invalid to exclusive)
  - write on shared block (go to exclusive)
- events caused by **snoops of other caches**, e.g.,
  - read miss by P1 makes P2's owned block change from exclusive to shared
  - write miss by P1 makes P2's owned block change from exclusive to invalid

### State Machine (CPU side)



### State Machine (Bus side: the snoop)



## Directory Implementation

Distributed memory machine


- processor-memory pairs are connected via a multi-path interconnection network
  - **point-to-point communication**
    - snooping with broadcasting is wasteful of the parallel communication capability
- each processor (or cluster of processors) has its own memory
- a processor has fast access to its local memory & slower access to “remote” memory located at other processors
  - **NUMA** (non-uniform memory access) machines

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

15

## A High-end MP



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

16



## Coherence on High-end Machines

How cache coherency is handled

- no caches (Cray MTA)
- disallow caching of shared data (Cray 3TD)
- software coherence (research machines)
- hardware directories that record cache block state (most others)

## Directory Implementation

Coherency state is associated with units of memory that are the size of cache blocks: directory state

- directory tracks the state of cache blocks
  - **shared:**
    - at least 1 processor has the data cached & memory is up-to-date
    - block can be read by any processor
  - **exclusive:**
    - 1 processor (the owner) has the data cached & memory is stale
    - only that processor can write to it
  - **invalid:**
    - no processor has the data cached & memory is up-to-date
- directory tracks the sharing of memory blocks
  - bit vector in which 1 means the processor has cached the data
  - write bit to indicate if exclusive

## Directory Implementation

Directories assign different uses to different processors

- **home** node: where the memory location of an address resides (and cached data may be there too)
- **local** node: where the memory request initiated
- **remote** node: an alternate location for the data, if this processor has requested & cached it

In satisfying a memory request:

- messages sent between the different nodes in point-to-point communication
- messages get explicit replies

Some simplifying assumptions for using the protocol


- processor blocks until the access is complete
- messages processed in the order received

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

19

## Read Miss for an Uncached Block




Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

20

### Read Miss for an Exclusive, Remote Block




Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

21

### Write Miss for an Exclusive, Remote Block



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

22

## Directory Protocol Messages

Message type	Source	Destination	Msg Content
Read miss	Local cache	Home directory	P, A
– Processor P reads data at address A; make P a read sharer and arrange to send data back			
Write miss	Local cache	Home directory	P, A
– Processor P writes data at address A; make P the exclusive owner and arrange to send data back			
Invalidate	Home directory	Remote caches	A
– Invalidate a shared copy at address A.			
Fetch	Home directory	Remote cache	A
– Fetch the block at address A and send it to its home directory			
Fetch/Invalidate	Home directory	Remote cache	A
– Fetch the block at address A and send it to its home directory; invalidate the block in the cache			
Data value reply	Home directory	Local cache	Data
– Return a data value from the home memory (read or write miss response)			
Data write-back	Remote cache	Home directory	A, Data
– Write-back a data value for address A (invalidate response)			

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

23

## CPU FSM for a Cache Block

States identical to the snooping protocol

Transactions very similar


- read & write misses sent to home directory
- invalidate & data fetch requests to the node with the data replace  
broadcasted read/write misses

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

24

## CPU FSM for a Cache Block



## Directory FSM for a Memory Block

Same states and structure as for the cache block FSM


Tracks all copies of a memory block

Makes two state changes:

- update coherency state
- alter the number of sharers in the sharing set



## A Low-end MP



Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

29

## False Sharing

Impact aggravated by:

- block size: why?
- cache size: why?
- large miss penalties: why?

Reduced by:

- coherency protocols (state per subblock)
  - let cache blocks become incoherent as long as there is only false sharing
  - make them coherent if any processor true shares
- compiler optimizations (group & transpose, cache block padding)
- cache-conscious programming wrt initial data structure layout

Spring 2009

CSE 471 - Cache Coherence

30