Cache Coherency

The issue:

- must guarantee that all processors see correct data despite multiple readers & writers
- in a nutshell, how to make writes by one processor show up in other processor caches

Cache coherent processors

- all reading processors must get the most current value
- most current value for an address is the last write

Cache coherency problem

- update from a writing processor is not known to other processors

A Low-end MP



Cache Coherency

Cache coherency protocols

- (usually) hardware mechanism for maintaining cache coherency
- coherency state associated with a cache block of data
- bus/interconnect operations on shared data change the state
  - for the processor that initiates an operation
  - for other processors that have the data of that operation resident in their caches

Cache Coherency Protocols

Write-invalidate

(most multiprocessors)

- processor obtains exclusive access for writes (becomes the "**owner**") by invalidating data in other processors' caches
- **coherency miss** (invalidation miss)
- **cache-to-cache transfers**
- good for:
  - multiple writes to same word or block by one processor
  - exploits **migratory sharing** from processor to processor or **processor locality**

A Low-end MP



Cache Coherency Protocols

Write-update

(SPARCCenter 2000)

- broadcast each write to actively shared data
- each processor with a copy snoops/takes the data
- good for **inter-processor contention**

Competitive

(DEC Alphas)

- switches between them

We will focus on write-invalidate.

A Low-end MP



Cache Coherency Protocol Implementations

Snooping

- used with low-end MPs
  - few processors
  - centralized memory
  - bus-based (broadcast)
- distributed implementation: responsibility for maintaining coherence lies with each processor cache

Directory-based

- used with higher-end MPs
  - more processors
  - distributed memory
  - multi-path interconnect (point-to-point)
- distributed implementation: responsibility for maintaining coherence lies with the directory for each address

Snooping Implementation

A distributed coherency protocol

- coherency state associated with each cache block
- each snoop maintains coherency for its own cache
  - compare address on the bus with address in cache
  - response depends on coherency state

Snooping Implementation

How the bus is used

- broadcast medium
- entire coherency operation is atomic wrt other processors
  - **keep-the-bus protocol**:
    - master holds the bus until the entire operation has completed
    - do not initiate another operation while one is in progress
  - **split-transaction protocol** :
    - request & response are different phases
    - state values that indicate that an operation is in progress
    - do not initiate another operation *for a cache block* that has one in progress

Snooping Implementation

Snoop implementation:

- snoop on the highest level cache
  - another reason L2 is physically-accessed
  - property of **inclusion**:
    - all blocks in L1 are in L2
    - therefore only have to snoop on L2
    - may need to update L1 state if change L2 state
- separate tags & state for snoop lookups
  - processor & snoop communicate for a state or tag change

An Example Snooping Protocol

Invalidation-based coherency protocol

Each cache block is in one of three states

- **shared**:
  - clean in all caches & up-to-date in memory
  - block can be read by any processor
- **exclusive**:
  - dirty in exactly one cache
  - only that processor can read/write to it
- **invalid**:
  - block contains no valid data

State Transitions for a Given Cache Block

State transitions caused by:

- events caused by the **requesting processor**, e.g.,
  - read miss (go from invalid to shared)
  - write miss (go from invalid to exclusive)
  - write on shared block (go from shared to exclusive)
- events caused by **snoops of other caches**, e.g.,
  - read miss by P1 makes P2's owned block change from exclusive to shared
  - write miss by P1 makes P2's owned block change from exclusive to invalid

State Machine (CPU side)



State Machine (Bus side: the snoop)



Directory Implementation

Distributed memory machine

- each processor (or cluster of processors) has its own portion of physical memory
- processor-memory pairs are connected via a multi-path interconnection network
  - **point-to-point communication**
  - snooping with broadcasting is wasteful of the parallel communication capability
- a processor has fast access to its local memory & slower access to "remote" memory located at other processors
  - **NUMA** (non-uniform memory access) machines

A High-end MP



Coherence on High-end Machines

How cache coherency is handled

- no caches (early Cray MTA)
- disallow caching of shared data (Cray 3TD)
- software coherence (research machines)
- * hardware directories that record cache block state (most others)

Directory Implementation

Coherency state is associated with units of memory that are the size of cache blocks: directory state

- directory tracks the state of cache blocks
  - **shared**:
    - at least 1 processor has the data cached & memory is up-to-date
    - block can be read by any processor
  - **exclusive**:
    - only 1 processor (the owner) has the data cached & memory is stale
    - only that processor can write to it
  - **invalid**:
    - no processor has the data cached & memory is up-to-date
- directory tracks the sharing of memory blocks for its memory
  - bit vector in which 1 means the processor has cached the data
  - write bit to indicate if exclusive

Directory Implementation

Directories assign different uses to different processors for the purpose of maintaining coherency

- **home** node: where the memory location of an address resides (and cached data may be there too)
- **local** node: where the memory request initiated
- **remote** node: an alternate location for the data, if this processor has requested & cached it

In satisfying a memory request:

- messages sent between the different nodes in point-to-point communication
- home node identified by the address
- messages get explicit replies

Some simplifying assumptions for using the protocol

- processor blocks until the access is complete
- messages processed in the order received

Read Miss for an Uncached Block



Read Miss for an Exclusive, Remote Block



Write Miss for an Exclusive, Remote Block



Directory Protocol Messages

Message type	*Source*	*Destination*	*Message Content*
Read miss	Local cache	Home directory	P, A
– *Processor P reads data at address A; make P a read sharer and arrange to send data back*			
Write miss	Local cache	Home directory	P, A
– *Processor P writes data at address A; make P the exclusive owner and arrange to send data back*			
Invalidate	Home directory	Remote caches	A
– *Invalidate a shared copy at address A.*			
Fetch	Home directory	Remote cache	A
– *Fetch the block at address A and send it to its home directory*			
Fetch/Invalidate	Home directory	Remote cache	A
– *Fetch the block at address A and send it to its home directory; invalidate the block in the cache*			
Data value reply	Home directory	Local cache	Data
– *Return a data value from the home memory (read or write miss response)*			
Data write-back	Remote cache	Home directory	A, Data
– *Write-back a data value for address A (invalidate response)*			

Directory FSM for a Memory Block

Tracks all copies of a memory block

Makes two state changes:

- update coherency state (same as for snooping protocol)
- alter the number of sharers in the sharing set

Directory FSM for a Memory Block



CPU FSM for a Cache Block

Same coherency states as for the directory FSM

Transactions very similar to snooping implementations

- read & write misses sent to home directory
- invalidate & data fetch requests to the node with the data replace broadcasted read/write misses

CPU FSM for a Cache Block



False Sharing

Processors read & write to ***different*** words in a shared cache block

- cache coherency is maintained on a cache block basis
  - processes share cache blocks, not data
  - block ownership bounces between processor caches

A Low-end MP



False Sharing

Impact aggravated by:

- block size: why?
- cache size: why?
- large miss penalties: why?

Reduced by:

- coherency protocols (coherency state per subblock)
  - let cache blocks become incoherent as long as there is only false sharing
  - make them coherent if any processor true shares
- compiler optimizations (group & transpose, cache block padding)
- cache-conscious programming wrt initial data structure layout