## CSE 473

## Lecture 22

(Chapters 14 \& 15)
Probabilistic Inference and Hidden Markov Models


## Recall: Probabilistic Inference

- Full joint distribution allows inference of all types of probabilities
- E.g. Given random variables A, B, E, J, M, if you want $P(B \mid J, M)$ :
$P(B \mid J, M)=\alpha P(B, J, M)=\alpha \Sigma_{E, A} P(B, J, M, E, A)$
- Problem: Full joint requires you to specify $2^{*} 2^{*} 2^{*} 2^{*} 2=32$ values


## Solution: Bayesian networks

- Simple graphical notation for conditional independence assertions
- In many cases, allows compact specification of full joint distributions
- Example BN for A, B, E, J, M


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J, M, A, B, E)= \\
& \Pi_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid P \text { arents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right)= \\
& P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A) P(A \mid B, E) P(B) P(E) \\
& \text { Only requires } 2+2+4+1+1=10 \text { values }
\end{aligned}
$$

Why is joint $=\Pi_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid P \operatorname{arents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) ?$
Keep applying definition of

conditional probability:
$P(J, M, A, B, E)=$
$=P(J \mid M, A, B, E) P(M, A, B, E)$
$=P(J \mid A) P(M, A, B, E)$
$=P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A, B, E) P(A, B, E)$
$=P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A) P(A, B, E)$
$=P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A) P(A \mid B, E) P(B, E)$
$=P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A) P(A \mid B, E) P(B) P(E)$

## Bayesian Network for Burglars and Earthquakes



What is the probability of Burglary given that John and Mary called?

Compute $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}=$ true | $\mathrm{J}=$ true, $\mathrm{M}=$ =true $)$
$P(b \mid j, m)=\alpha P(b, j, m)$
$=\alpha \Sigma_{e, a} P(b, j, m, e, a)$

$=\alpha \Sigma_{e, a} P(b) P(e) P(a \mid b, e) P(j \mid a) P(m \mid a)$
$=\alpha P(b) \Sigma_{e} P(e) \Sigma_{a} P(a \mid b, e) P(j \mid a) P(m \mid a)$

- Join all factors containing a
- Sum out a to get new function of b,e,j,m only


## Variable Elimination (VE) Algorithm

- Eliminate variables one-by-one until there is a factor with only the query variables:

1. join all factors containing that variable, multiplying probabilities
2. sum out the influence of the variable

Remaining factor is a function of $b, j, m$


Function of b,j,m

## Example of VE: P(J)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J) \\
& =\Sigma_{M, A, B, E} P(J, M, A, B, E) \\
& =\Sigma_{M, A, B, E} P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A) P(A \mid B, E) P(B) P(E) \\
& =\Sigma_{A} P(J \mid A) \Sigma_{M} P(M \mid A) \Sigma_{B} P(B) \Sigma_{E} P(A \mid B, E) P(E) \\
& =\Sigma_{A} P(J \mid A) \Sigma_{M} P(M \mid A) \Sigma_{B} P(B) f 1(A, B) \\
& =\Sigma_{A} P(J \mid A) \Sigma_{M} P(M \mid A) f 2(A) \\
& =\Sigma_{A} P(J \mid A) f 3(A) \\
& =f 4(J)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Other Inference Algorithms

- Direct Sampling:
- Repeat N times:
- Use random number generator to generate sample values for each node
- Start with nodes with no parents
- Condition on sampled parent values for other nodes
- Count frequencies of samples to get an approximation to desired distribution
- Other variants: Rejection sampling, likelihood weighting, Gibbs sampling and other MCMC methods (see text)
- Belief Propagation: A "message passing" algorithm for approximating $P(X \mid e v i d e n c e)$ for each node variable $X$
- Variational Methods: Approximate inference using distributions that are more tractable than original ones (see text for details)


Must infer probability distribution over true ghost position

## Example of Ghost Tracking (movie)



## Bayesian Network for Tracking



This "Dynamic" Bayesian network is also called a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)

- Dynamic = time-dependent
- Hidden = state (ghost position) is hidden
- Markov = current state only depends on previous state Similar to MDP (Markov decision process) but no actions


## Hidden Markov Model (HMM)



HMM is defined by 2 conditional probabilities:
$P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right)$ Transition model $=P\left(X^{\prime} \mid X\right)$
$P\left(E_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \quad$ Emission model $=P(E \mid X)$
plus initial state distribution $P\left(X_{1}\right)$

## Project 4: Ghostbusters

- Plot: Pacman's grandfather, Grandpac, learned to hunt ghosts for sport.
- Blinded by his power, but can hear the ghosts' banging and clanging sounds.
- Transition Model: Ghosts move randomly, but are sometimes biased.
- Emission Model: Pacman gets a "noisy" distance to each ghost.


## Ghostbusters HMM

- 

$P\left(X_{1}\right)=$ uniform
$P\left(X_{1}\right)$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 / 9 & 1 / 9 & 1 / 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}1 / 9 & 1 / 9 & 1 / 9\end{array}$

- $P\left(X^{\prime} \mid X\right)=$ ghost usually moves clockwise, but sometimes moves in a random direction
$\begin{array}{lll}1 / 9 & 1 / 9 & 1 / 9\end{array}$ or stays in place
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{X}=<1,2>\right)$

| $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $1 / 6$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

- $P(E \mid X)=$ compute Manhattan distance to ghost from Pac-Man and emit a noisy distance given this true distance (see example for true distance $=8$ )


## HMM Inference Problem



Where is the ghost now?
Compute posterior probability over $X_{t}$

- Given evidence (all measurements made so far) $E_{1: t}=e_{1: t}$
- Main inference problem:
- Filtering: Find posterior $P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$ for current $t$


## The "Forward" Algorithm for Filtering

- Want to compute the "belief" $B_{t}(X)=P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$
- Derive belief update rule from probability definitions, Bayes' rule and Markov assumption:
$P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}\right)=\alpha P\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right) P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right) \quad$ Bayes
$=\alpha P\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} P\left(X_{t}, X_{t-1} \mid e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right) \quad$ Markov $+\begin{aligned} & \text { Marginalize }\end{aligned}$
$=\alpha P\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right) P\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right)$
$=\alpha P\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right) P\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-1}\right)$

New Normaliestimate
zation constant

Emission model

Transition model

Previous estimate

## Example of Filtering (Tracking) using the Forward Algorithm (movie)



## Particle Filtering Motivation

- Sometimes $|\mathrm{X}|$ is too big for exact inference
- $|\mathrm{X}|$ may be too big to even store $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{X})$
E.g. when $X$ is continuous
- $|\mathrm{X}|^{2}$ may be too big to do updates
- Solution: Approximate inference
- Track a set of samples of X
- Samples are called particles
- Number of samples for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x}$ is proportional to probability of $x$

| 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |
| 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 00 |  |

## Next Time

- Particle Filtering and its Applications
- Guest lecture by Prof. Dieter Fox
- To Do:
- Project 4 (last project! Assigned today)

