

Polymorphic types

- Simply typed λ -calculus is “monomorphic”, i.e. a type has no “flexible” pieces

$$\tau ::= * \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

- “Good” programming languages have polymorphic types
- So we'd like to capture the essence of polymorphic types in our calculus

Polymorphic λ -calculus (System F)

- Extends simply-typed λ :
 - type syntax
 - expression/value syntax
 - typechecking rules
 - evaluation rules

Polymorphic type syntax

- Extend type syntax with a forall type

$$\tau ::= \dots \mid \forall I. \tau \mid I$$

- Can write types of polymorphic values:

```
id    :  $\forall T. T \rightarrow T$ 
map   :  $\forall T. \forall U. (T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow T \text{ list} \rightarrow U \text{ list}$ 
nil   :  $\forall T. T \text{ list}$ 
```

Polymorphic(ally typed) value syntax

- Syntax:

$$E ::= \dots \mid \Lambda I. E \mid E[\tau]$$

$$V ::= \dots \mid \Lambda I. E$$

- $\Lambda I. E$ is a function that, given a type τ , gives back E with τ substituted for I
- Use such values by instantiating them: $E[\tau]$
 - $E[\tau]$ is like function application

An example

```
(* fun id x = x
   id: 'a -> 'a *)
id =  $\Lambda T. \lambda x: T. x$ 
   :  $\forall T. T \rightarrow T$ 
```

```
id [int] 3  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
  ( $\lambda x: \text{int}. x$ ) 3  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
  3
```

```
id [bool]  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
   $\lambda x: \text{bool}. x$ 
```

Another example

```
(* fun applyTwice f x = f (f x)
   applyTwice: ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a *)
applyTwice =
   $\Lambda T. \lambda f: T \rightarrow T. \lambda x: T. f (f x)$ 
  :  $\forall T. (T \rightarrow T) \rightarrow T \rightarrow T$ 
```

```
applyTwice [int] succ 3  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
  ( $\lambda f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. \lambda x: \text{int}. f (f x)$ ) succ 3  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
  succ (succ 3)  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ 
  5
```

Yet another example

```
map = λT. λU. fix (λmap:(T→U)→T list→U list.
  λf:T→U. λlst:T list.
  fold (case (unfold lst) of
    <nil=>      => <nil=()>
    <cons=>     => <cons=(hd=f (#hd r), tl=map f (#tl r))>))
  : ∀T. ∀U. (T→U)→T list→U list
```

map [int] [bool] isZero [3,0,5] →_β [false,true,false]

- ML infers what the λI and $[\tau]$ should be

A final example

```
(* fun cool f = (f 3, f true) *)
cool ≡ λf:(∀T.T→T). (f [int] 3, f [bool] true)
      : (∀T.T→T)→(int * bool)

cool id →β
(id [int] 3, id [bool] true) →β
((λx:int. x) 3, (λx:bool. x) true) →β
(3, true)
```

- Note: \forall inside of λ and \rightarrow
 - **Can't write this in ML; not "prenex" form**
 - Type inference undecidable for full System F (and many interesting subsets); but decidable for ML-style polymorphism

Evaluation and typing rules

- Evaluation:

$$\frac{E \Downarrow (\lambda I. E_i) \quad (I \rightarrow \tau) E_i \Downarrow V}{(E[\tau]) \Downarrow V} \text{ [E-INST]}$$

- Typing:

$$\frac{\Gamma, I::\text{Type} \vdash E : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda I. E) : \forall I. \tau} \text{ [T-POLY]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \forall I. \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (E[\tau]) : I \rightarrow \tau'} \text{ [T-INST]}$$

Various kinds of functions

- $\lambda I.E$ is a function from *values* to *values*
- $\lambda I.E$ is a function from *types* to *values*
- What about functions from *types* to *types*?
 - **Type constructors** like \rightarrow , list, BTree
 - We want them!
- What about functions from *values* to *types*?
 - **Dependent type constructors** like a way to build the type "arrays of length n ", where n is a run-time computed value
 - Pretty fancy, but would be cool

Type constructors

- What's the "type" of *list*?
 - Not a simple type, but a function from types to types
 - e.g. list(int) = int_list
 - There are lots of type constructors that take a single type and return a type
 - They all have the same "meta-type"
 - Other things take two types and return a type:
 - e.g. \rightarrow , assoc_list
- A "meta-type" is called a **kind**

Kinds

- A *type* describes a *set of values* or value constructors (a.k.a. functions) with a common structure

$$\tau ::= \text{int} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \dots$$
- A *kind* describes a *set of types* or type constructors with a common structure

$$\kappa ::= * \mid \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \kappa_2$$
 As in the s.t. λ calculus, $*$ is the "base kind"
- Write $\tau::\kappa$ to say that a type τ has kind κ

```
int :: *
int→int :: *
list :: * ⇒ *
list int :: *
assoc_list :: * ⇒ * ⇒ *
assoc_list string int :: *
```

Kinded polymorphic λ -calculus (System F_ω)

- Full syntax:

$\kappa ::= * \mid \kappa_1 \Rightarrow \kappa_2$

$\tau ::= \text{int} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \forall I::\kappa.\tau \mid I \mid \lambda_\tau I::\kappa.\tau \mid \tau_1 \tau_2$

$E ::= \lambda I:\tau.E \mid I \mid E_1 E_2 \mid \Lambda I::\kappa.E \mid E[\tau]$

$V ::= \lambda I.E \mid \Lambda I::\kappa.E$

- Functions and applications at both the value and the type level

- Arrows at both the type and kind level

Examples

```
pair =
  λτT::*. λτU::*. {first:T, second:U}
  :: * ⇒ * ⇒ *
```

```
pair int bool "→p" {first:int, second:bool}
```

```
{first=5, second=true} : pair int bool
```

```
swap =
  ΔP::type ⇒ type ⇒ type. ΔT::*. ΔU::*.
  λp:P T U . {first=#second p, second=#first p}
  : ∀P::* ⇒ * ⇒ *. ∀ T::*. ∀ U::*.
    P T U → P U T
```

```
swap [pair] [int] [bool] ...
```

Expression typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 :: * \quad \Gamma, I:\tau_1 \vdash E:\tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda I:\tau_1. E) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ [T-ABS]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, I:\kappa \vdash E:\tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda I::\kappa.E) : \forall I::\kappa.\tau} \text{ [T-POLY]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \forall I::\kappa.\tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau::\kappa}{\Gamma \vdash (E[\tau]) : [I \rightarrow \tau]\tau'} \text{ [T-INST]}$$

(T-VAR and T-APP unchanged)

Type kinding rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{int} :: *} \text{ [K-INT]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 :: * \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 :: *}{\Gamma \vdash (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) :: *} \text{ [K-ARROW]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, I:\kappa \vdash \tau :: *}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall I::\kappa.\tau) :: *} \text{ [K-FORALL]} \quad \frac{I:\kappa \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash I::\kappa} \text{ [K-VAR]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, I:\kappa_1 \vdash \tau::\kappa_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda_\tau I::\kappa_1.\tau) :: \kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2} \text{ [K-ABS]} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 :: \kappa_2 \rightarrow \kappa_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 :: \kappa_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\tau_1 \tau_2) :: \kappa_1} \text{ [K-APP]}$$

Higher-order kinds?

- Could "lift" polymorphism to type level...

$\kappa ::= \dots \mid \forall I.\kappa \mid I$

$\tau ::= \dots \mid \Lambda_\tau I::\kappa.\tau \mid \kappa[\tau]$

- Could "lift" meta-kinding to kind level...

$M ::= * \mid M \Rightarrow M$

$\kappa ::= \dots \mid \lambda_\kappa I::M.\kappa \mid \kappa_1 \kappa_2$

- ...and so on to arbitrary "tower" of meta-levels of language

Phase distinction

- Could also collapse all levels of language down to one:

$E ::= I \mid \lambda I:E.E \mid E_1 E_2$

- Loses **phase distinction** between run-time and typecheck-time

- Fundamental to achieving benefits of type systems

- (More generally, might be desirable to have many phases: compile, link, initialize, run, etc.; could use meta-levels in language to encode these phase distinctions.)

Summary

- Saw ever more powerful static type systems for the λ -calculus
 - Simply typed λ -calculus
 - Polymorphic λ -calculus, a.k.a. System F
 - Kinded poly. λ -calculus, a.k.a. System F_ω
- Exponential ramp-up in power, once build up sufficient critical mass
- Real languages typically offer some of this power, but in restricted ways
 - Could benefit from more expressive approaches

Other uses

- Compiler internal representations for advanced languages
 - E.g. FLINT: compiles ML, Java, ...
- Checkers for interesting non-type properties, e.g.:
 - proper initialization
 - static null pointer dereference checking
 - safe explicit memory management
 - thread safety, data-race freedom