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## Finally, some content

For our first formal language, let's leave out functions, objects, records, threads, exceptions, ...

What's left: integers, assignment (mutation), control-flow
(Abstract) syntax using a common meta-notation:
"A program is a statement $s$ defined as follows"

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & ::=\text { skip }|x:=e| s ; s \mid \text { if } e s \mid \text { while } e s \\
e & ::=c|x| e+e \mid e * e \\
(c & \in\{\ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}) \\
(x & \left.\in\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots, \ldots\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Syntax definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & ::=\text { skip }|x:=e| s ; s \mid \text { if } e s s \mid \text { while } e s \\
e & ::=c|x| e+e \mid e * e \\
(c & \in\{\ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}) \\
(x & \left.\in\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \ldots, \ldots\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Blue is metanotation ( $::=$ "can be $a^{\prime \prime}, \mid$ "or" $)$
- Metavariables represent "anything in the syntax class"
- Use parentheses to disambiguate, e.g., if x skip $\mathrm{y}:=\mathbf{0} ; \mathrm{z}:=\mathbf{0}$
E.g.: $\mathrm{y}:=1$; while $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{y} * \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{x}-1)$


## Inductive definition

With care, our syntax definition is not circular!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s::=\text { skip }|x:=e| s ; s \mid \text { if } e s s \mid \text { while } e s \\
& e::=c|x| e+e \mid e * e
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{0}}=\emptyset$. For $\boldsymbol{i}>\mathbf{0}$, let $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ be $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}-\mathbf{1}}$ union "expressions of the form $c, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{e}+\boldsymbol{e}$, or $\boldsymbol{e} * \boldsymbol{e}$ where $e \in \boldsymbol{E}_{i-1}$ ". Let $\boldsymbol{E}=\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \boldsymbol{E}_{i}$. The set $\boldsymbol{E}$ is what we mean by our compact metanotation.

To get it: What set is $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ? $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ?
Explain statements the same way. What is $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ? $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ? Stop only when you're bored.

## Proving Obvious Stuff

All we have is syntax (sets of abstract-syntax trees), but let's get the idea of proving things carefully...

Theorem 1: There exist expressions with three constants.

## Our First Theorem

There exist expressions with three constants.
Pedantic Proof: Consider $e=1+(2+3)$. Showing $e \in E_{3}$ suffices because $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{3}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{E}$. Showing $\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{3} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{1} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{2}}$ suffices...

PL-style proof: Consider $e=1+(2+3)$ and definition of $\boldsymbol{E}$.

Theorem 2: All expressions have at least one constant or variable.

## Our Second Theorem

All expressions have at least one constant or variable.
Pedantic proof: By induction on $\boldsymbol{i}$, show for all $\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

- Base: $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{0}$ implies $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\emptyset$
- Inductive: $\boldsymbol{i}>\mathbf{0}$. Consider arbitrary $\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ by cases:
$-e \in E_{i-1} \ldots$
$-e=c \ldots$
$-\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{x} \ldots$
$-e=e_{1}+e_{2}$ where $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E_{i-1} \ldots$
$-e=e_{1} * e_{2}$ where $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E_{i-1} \ldots$


## A "Better" Proof

All expressions have at least one constant or variable.
PL-style proof: By structural induction on (rules for forming an expression) e. Cases:

- c...
- $\boldsymbol{x}$...
- $e_{1}+e_{2} \ldots$
- $e_{1} * e_{2} \ldots$

Structural induction invokes the induction hypothesis on smaller terms. It is equivalent to the pedantic proof, and the convenient way.

