CSE505: Graduate Programming Languages

Lecture 13 —
Evaluation Contexts
First-Class Continuations
Continuation-Passing Style

Dan Grossman Fall 2012

Gimme A Break (from types)

We have more to do with type systems:

- Subtyping
- Parametric Polymorphism
- ► Recursive Types (?)
- ► Type-And-Effect Systems (??)

But sometimes it's more fun to mix up the lecture schedule

This lecture: Related topics that work in typed or untyped settings:

- ▶ How operational semantics can be defined more concisely
- How lambda-calculus (or PLs) can be enriched with first-class continuations, a powerful control operator
- ► Cool programming idioms related to these concepts

Dan Grossman

SE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Toward Evaluation Contexts

 λ -calculus with extensions has many "boring inductive rules":

$$\frac{e_1 \to e_1'}{e_1 \ e_2 \to e_1' \ e_2} \quad \frac{e_2 \to e_2'}{v \ e_2 \to v \ e_2'} \quad \frac{e \to e'}{\mathsf{A}(e) \to \mathsf{A}(e')} \quad \frac{e \to e'}{\mathsf{B}(e) \to \mathsf{B}(e')}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \to e_1'}{(e_1, e_2) \to (e_1', e_2)} \quad \frac{e_2 \to e_2'}{(v_1, e_2) \to (v_1, e_2')} \quad \frac{e \to e'}{e.1 \to e'.1} \quad \frac{e \to e'}{e.2 \to e'.2}$$

match e with Ax. $e_1 \mid By. e_2 \rightarrow match \ e'$ with Ax. $e_1 \mid By. \ e_2$

And some "interesting do-work rules":

match $\mathbf{A}(v)$ with $\mathbf{A}x.\ e_1 \mid \mathbf{B}y.\ e_2 \rightarrow e_1[v/x]$

match $\mathsf{B}(v)$ with $\mathsf{A}y.\ e_1 \mid \mathsf{B}x.\ e_2 \to e_2[v/x]$

Dan Grossman

CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Evaluation Contexts

Define evaluation contexts, which are expressions with one hole where "interesting work" is allowed to occur:

$$\begin{array}{lll} E & ::= & [\cdot] \mid E \; e \mid v \; E \mid (E,e) \mid (v,E) \mid E.1 \mid E.2 \\ & \mid & \mathsf{A}(E) \mid \mathsf{B}(E) \mid (\mathsf{match} \; E \; \mathsf{with} \; \mathsf{A}x. \; e_1 \mid \mathsf{B}y. \; e_2) \end{array}$$

Define "filling the hole" E[e] in the obvious way (stapling)

 \blacktriangleright A metafunction of type EvalContext \rightarrow Exp \rightarrow Exp

Semantics: Use two judgments

$$lackbox{ } e
ightarrow e'$$
 with 1 rule: $\dfrac{e \overset{\mathrm{p}}{
ightarrow} e'}{E[e]
ightarrow E[e']}$

 $ightharpoonup e \xrightarrow{p} e'$ with all the "interesting work":

Dan Grossman

SE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Decomposition

Evaluation relies on decomposition (unstapling the correct subtree)

lacktriangledown Given e, find E, e_a , e_a' such that $e=E[e_a]$ and $e_a\stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{
ightarrow} e_a'$

Theorem (Unique Decomposition): There is at most one decomposition of \boldsymbol{e}

 Hence evaluation is deterministic since at most one primitive step can apply to any expression

Theorem (Progress, restated): If e is well-typed, then there is a decomposition or e is a value

Evaluation Contexts: So what?

Small-step semantics (old) and evaluation-context semantics (new) are *very* similar:

- ► Totally equivalent step sequence
 - ▶ (made both left-to-right call-by-value)
- \blacktriangleright Just rearranged things to be more concise: Each boring rule became a form of E
- ▶ Both "work" the same way:
 - ► Find the next place in the program to take a "primitive step"
 - ► Take that step
 - ▶ Plug the result into the rest of the program
 - Repeat (next "primitive step" could be somewhere else) until you can't anymore (value or stuck)

Evaluation contexts so far just cleanly separate the "find and plug" from the "take that step" by building an explicit ${\cal E}$

Dan Grossman CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13 5 Dan Grossman CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Continuations

Now that we have defined ${\it E}$ explicitly in our ${\it metalanguage},$ what if we also put it on our ${\it language}$

From metalanguage to language is called reification

First-class continuations in one slide:

```
\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \ldots \mid \operatorname{letcc} \ x. \ e \mid \operatorname{throw} \ e \ e \mid \operatorname{cont} \ E \\ v & ::= & \ldots \mid \operatorname{cont} \ E \\ E & ::= & \ldots \mid \operatorname{throw} \ E \ e \mid \operatorname{throw} \ v \ E \end{array}
```

 $\overline{E[\operatorname{letcc} x.\ e] \to E[(\lambda x.\ e)(\operatorname{cont} E)]} \qquad \overline{E[\operatorname{throw} \ (\operatorname{cont} E')\ v] \to E'[v]}$

- New operational rules for \rightarrow not $\stackrel{\mathbf{p}}{\rightarrow}$ because "the E matters"
- lackbox letcc x. e grabs the current evaluation context ("the stack")
- **throw** (cont E') v restores old context: "jump somewhere"
- ► cont E not in source programs: "saved stack (value)"

Dan Grossman

CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Examples (exceptions-like)

$$1 + (\operatorname{letcc}\ k.\ 2 + 3) \to^* 6$$

$$1 + (\operatorname{letcc}\ k.\ 2 + (\operatorname{throw}\ k\ 3)) \to^* 4$$

$$1 + (\operatorname{letcc}\ k.\ (\operatorname{throw}\ k\ (2 + 3))) \to^* 6$$

$$1 + (\operatorname{letcc}\ k.\ (\operatorname{throw}\ k\ (\operatorname{throw}\ k\ (\operatorname{throw}\ k\ 2)))) \to^* 3$$

Dan Grossma

E505 Fall 2012 | Lecture 13

Example ("time travel")

Caml doesn't have first-class continuations, but if it did:

SML/NJ does: This runs and binds 10 to z:

```
open SMLofNJ.Cont
val x = ref true (* avoids infinite loop *)
val g : int cont option ref = ref NONE
val y = ref (1 + 2 + (callcc (fn k => ((g := SOME k); 3))))
val z = if !x then (x := false; throw (valOf (!g)) 7) else !y
```

Dan Grossman

CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Is this useful?

First-class continuations are a single construct sufficient for:

- Exceptions
- Cooperative threads (including coroutines)
 - "yield" captures the continuation (the "how to resume me") and gives it to the scheduler (implemented in the language), which then throws to another thread's "how to resume me"
- ▶ Other crazy things
 - Often called the "goto of functional programming" incredibly powerful, but nonstandard uses are usually inscrutable
 - Key point is that we can "jump back in" unlike boring-old exceptions

Dan Grossma

SE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 1

40

Another view

If you're confused, think call stacks:

- ▶ What if your favorite language had operations for:
 - ► Store current stack in x
 - $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ Replace current stack with stack in x
- "Resume the stack's hole" with something different or when mutable state is different
 - Else you are sure to have an infinite loop since you will later resume the stack again

Where are we

Done:

- ▶ Redefined our operational semantics using evaluation contexts
- ► That made it easy to define first-class continuations
- Example uses of continuations

Now: Rather than adding a powerful primitive, we can achieve the same effect via a *whole-program translation* into a sublanguage (source-to-source transformation)

- ▶ No expressions with nontrivial evaluation contexts
- ▶ Every expression becomes a continuation-accepting function
- ▶ Never "return" instead call the current continuation
- ightharpoonup Will be able to reintroduce letcc and throw as O(1) operations

Dan Grossman CSE505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

Da

E505 Fall 2012, Lecture 13

12

The CPS transformation (one way to do it)

A metafunction from expressions to expressions

Example source language (other features similar):

$$e ::= x \mid \lambda x. \ e \mid e \ e \mid c \mid e + e$$
$$v ::= x \mid \lambda x. \ e \mid c$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(v) & = & \lambda k. \ k. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{V}}(v) \\ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_1 + e_2) & = & \lambda k. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_1) \ \lambda x_1. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_2) \ \lambda x_2. \ k \ (x_1 + x_2) \\ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_1 \ e_2) & = & \lambda k. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_1) \ \lambda f. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e_2) \ \lambda x. \ f \ x \ k \\ \\ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{V}}(c) & = & c \\ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{V}}(x) & = & x \\ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{V}}(\lambda x. \ e) & = & \lambda x. \ \lambda k. \ \mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e) \ k \end{array}$$

To run the whole program e, do $\mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e) \ \lambda x. \ x$

Encoding first-class continuations

If you apply the CPS transform, then letcc and throw can become O(1) operations encodable in the source language

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{CPS_E}(\mathsf{letcc}\;k.\;e) &=& \lambda k.\; \mathsf{CPS_E}(e)\;k \\ \mathsf{CPS_E}(\mathsf{throw}\;e_1\;e_2) &=& \lambda k.\; \mathsf{CPS_E}(e_1)\;\lambda x_1.\; \mathsf{CPS_E}(e_2) &\underbrace{\lambda x_2.\; x_1\; x_2}_{\mathsf{or\;just}\;x_1} \end{array}$$

- ▶ letcc gets passed the current continuation just as it needs
- ▶ throw ignores the current continuation just as it should

You can also manually program in this style (fully or partially)

▶ Has other uses as a programming idiom too...

Result of the CPS transformation

- ▶ Correctness: e is equivalent to $\mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e) \ \lambda x$. x
- ▶ If whole program has type au_P and e has type au, then $\mathsf{CPS}_{\mathsf{E}}(e)$ has type $(\tau \to \tau_P) \to \tau_P$
- Fixes evaluation order: $CPS_E(e)$ will evaluate e in left-to-right call-by-value
 - ▶ Other similar transformations encode other evaluation orders
 - ▶ Every intermediate computation is bound to a variable (helpful for compiler writers)
- ▶ For all e, evaluation of $CPS_E(e)$ stays in this sublanguage:

$$e ::= v \mid v v \mid v v v \mid v (v+v)$$
$$v ::= x \mid \lambda x. \ e \mid c$$

- ► Hence no need for a call-stack: every call is a tail-call
 - ▶ Now the *program* is maintaining the evaluation context via a closure that has the next "link" in its environment that has the next "link" in its environment, etc.

A useful advanced programming idiom

- ▶ A first-class continuation can "reify session state" in a client-server interaction
 - ▶ If the continuation is passed to the client, which returns it later, then the server can be stateless
 - Suggests CPS for web programming
 - ▶ Better: tools that do the CPS transformation for you
 - ▶ Gives you a "prompt-client" primitive without server-side state
- ▶ Because CPS uses only tail calls, it avoids deep call stacks when traversing recursive data structures
 - See lec13code.ml for this and related idioms.

In short, "thinking in terms of CPS" is a powerful technique few programmers have