

CSE 505, Fall 2013, Assignment 3

Due: Sunday December 1, 2013 at 11 pm

skeleton-03.tar, available on the course website, contains several Caml files you will need.

The first part of this assignment investigates adding a mutable heap to the simply-typed lambda-calculus. We did not do this in lecture, so we start with a syntax, small-step semantics, and typing rules for the lambda-calculus with mutation. (*Some rules are missing; see problem 1.*) Our syntax for creating, mutating, and retrieving the contents of a reference are like in ML. Important comments and definitions follow.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 e ::= \lambda x. e \mid x \mid e e \mid c \mid \text{ref } e \mid !e \mid e := e \mid l & \tau ::= \text{int} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \text{ ref} \\
 v ::= \lambda x. e \mid c \mid l & \Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x:\tau \mid \Gamma, l:\tau \\
 H ::= \cdot \mid H, l \mapsto v &
 \end{array}$$

$H; e \rightarrow H'; e'$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{BETA} & \text{APP1} & \text{APP2} \\
 \frac{}{H; (\lambda x. e) v \rightarrow H; e[v/x]} & \frac{H; e_1 \rightarrow H'; e'_1}{H; e_1 e_2 \rightarrow H'; e'_1 e_2} & \frac{H; e_2 \rightarrow H'; e'_2}{H; v e_2 \rightarrow H'; v e'_2} \\
 \\
 \text{ALLOC} & \text{REF1} & \text{GET} & \text{DEREF1} \\
 \frac{l \notin H}{H; \text{ref } v \rightarrow H, l \mapsto v; l} & \frac{H; e \rightarrow H'; e'}{H; \text{ref } e \rightarrow H'; \text{ref } e'} & \frac{}{H; !l \rightarrow H; H(l)} & \frac{}{H; !e \rightarrow H'; !e'}
 \end{array}$$

$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{INT} & \text{VAR} & \text{LAM} & \text{APP} \\
 \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}} & \frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} & \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1} \\
 \\
 \text{REF} & \text{ASSIGN} & \text{LABEL} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref } e : \tau \text{ ref}} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \text{ ref} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \tau} & \frac{\Gamma(l) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash l : \tau \text{ ref}}
 \end{array}$$

- The formal definition of substitution has been omitted.
- Our heap H is “threaded through” the whole program, like in IMP. The syntax $H(l)$ means lookup l in H . The syntax $H, l \mapsto v$ means the heap that is like H except l maps to v .
- References can hold values of any type, but the type of value held in a specific reference never changes.
- At run-time, a reference is a label (think of it as an address) l . Labels are distinct from variables. Labels would never exist in a source program, but our Preservation lemma will require us to type-check them. Therefore, our definition of Γ includes types for labels. Notice how the typing rule for labels is different from the rule for variables.
- The Preservation and Progress Lemmas will also require us to “typecheck a heap”. We say $\vdash H : \Gamma$ if H is $\cdot, l_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, l_n \mapsto v_n$ and Γ is $\cdot, l_1:\tau_1, \dots, l_n:\tau_n$ (i.e., Γ has no variables and exactly the same labels as H) and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\Gamma \vdash v_i : \tau_i$ (i.e., every value in the heap has the type Γ says is at that label). Note we could define $\vdash H : \Gamma$ with inference rules, but this description will suffice.
- We say “ Γ_2 extends Γ_1 ” if for all l and x , ($(\Gamma_1(l) = \tau$ implies $\Gamma_2(l) = \tau$) and $(\Gamma_1(x) = \tau$ implies $\Gamma_2(x) = \tau)$). That is, Γ_2 has to have everything Γ_1 does and with the same types, but it can have more. Note every Γ extends itself.

1. The semantics is missing rules for assignment expressions. Add them. Enforce left-to-right evaluation. The result of an assignment should be (1) a heap where one label maps to a different value and (2) the value that was assigned. Note (2) is unlike ML, where the result is ().

2. The type system is missing a rule for dereference. Add it.

3. Prove this Progress Lemma: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and e is not a value, then there exists an H' and e' such that $H; e \rightarrow H'; e'$.

Assume (i.e., use without proof) this (trivial) Canonical Forms Lemma: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \vdash v : \tau$ then:

- If τ is `int`, then v is some c .
- If τ is some $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, then v is some $\lambda x. e$.
- If τ is some τ' `ref`, then v is some l and $H(l)$ is some v' .

4. Prove this Preservation Lemma: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $H; e \rightarrow H'; e'$, then there exists a Γ' such that Γ' extends Γ , $\vdash H' : \Gamma'$, and $\Gamma' \vdash e' : \tau$.

Assume (i.e., use without proof) these lemmas:

- Weakening: If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and Γ' extends Γ , then $\Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$. (Hint: The heap requires using this lemma directly in certain cases of the Preservation proof.)
- Heap Lookup: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$, then $\Gamma \vdash H(l) : \Gamma(l)$.
- Heap Extension: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$ and $l \notin H$ and $\Gamma \vdash v : \tau$, then $\vdash H, l \mapsto v : \Gamma, l:\tau$.
- Heap Update: If $\vdash H : \Gamma$ and $\Gamma(l) = \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash v : \tau$, then $\vdash H, l \mapsto v : \Gamma$.
- Substitution: If $\Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$, then $\Gamma \vdash e[e'/x] : \tau$.

5. Explain why the Preservation Proof would not succeed if it did not state that Γ' extends Γ .

The second part of this assignment investigates implementing a type-checker and a *left-to-right, large-step, environment-based* interpreter for our language in ML.

Language and Concrete Syntax: The code provided to you defines abstract syntax and a parser for the simply-typed λ -calculus with integers, addition, multiplication, greater-than, conditionals (0 is false, other integers are true), and a heap. To make parsing and type-checking easier, functions have the concrete form `(fn x:t. e)`. Specifically, they must be surrounded by parentheses, they must have explicit argument types, and the “:” and “.” must be present. Conditionals, assignment, dereference, allocation, and let-expressions also require parentheses around them; see the example file provided. The parser desugars let to function application. Advice: If you get a parser error, make a copy of your file and use manual binary search to find it.

Large-Step: A large-step interpreter for a language with a heap must produce a heap and a value as results and “thread the heap along”. For example, if we were using substitution (we are not; see below), the code for application would correspond to this inference rule:

$$\frac{H; e_1 \Downarrow H_1; \lambda x. e_3 \quad H_1; e_2 \Downarrow H_2; v_2 \quad H_2; e_3[v_2/x] \Downarrow H_3; v}{H; e_1 e_2 \Downarrow H_3; v}$$

Environments: An environment-based interpreter does not use substitution. Instead, the program state includes an environment, which maps variables to values. To implement lexical scope correctly, functions are not values—they evaluate to *closures* (written $\langle e, E \rangle$ below). Here is a formal large-step semantics for a small language without a heap (see above). Pay particular attention to the rule for function application—we evaluate function bodies using the environment in its closure! Notice we do not “thread the environment along”; evaluation does not produce an environment.

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e e \mid \langle \lambda x. e, E \rangle \\ E &::= \cdot \mid E, x \mapsto v \\ v &::= c \mid \langle \lambda x. e, E \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{E; v \Downarrow v} \qquad \overline{E; x \Downarrow E(x)} \qquad \overline{E; \lambda x. e \Downarrow \langle \lambda x. e, E \rangle} \\ \hline \frac{E; e_1 \Downarrow \langle \lambda x. e_3, E_1 \rangle \quad E; e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad E_1, x \mapsto v_2; e_3 \Downarrow v}{E; e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v} \end{array}$$

6. Complete the type-checker `Main.typecheck`. Your type-checker should succeed only for “source programs” – reject any expression containing a label or a closure. Raise `TypeError` if and only if the expression does not typecheck under the provided context. Use the little list library in the file for managing the context (but remember to catch `ListError` where necessary).
7. Complete the large-step interpreter `Main.interpret`. Remember to “thread the heap through.” In particular, at a function call, the body is evaluated using the environment in the closure but the current heap. Use the little list library in the file for managing environments and heaps. Your interpreter should raise an exception if it gets stuck. (But expressions that type-check should not get stuck).
8. The provided example program should produce the value 3. Explain why by explaining how the “function” bound to `newf` behaves.
9. In a file called `infinite` write a program that type-checks and for which the interpreter will run forever. Hint: A function can call itself via “backpatching” through the heap.
10. In the provided file `factorial`, replace the expression bound to `fact` so that it produces a function that computes the factorial of positive numbers. Hint: Use the same “backpatching” trick.

Challenge Problems:

- A. Add “garbage collection” to your interpreter as follows. Whenever the heap has 50 labels in it, run a procedure that removes any “unreachable” labels. A label is reachable if it (1) it occurs in the current expression or (2) it occurs in the value for a label that is itself reachable. For a closure’s environment, a label occurrence “counts” only if it is in the binding of a variable that occurs in the closure body. If nothing can be garbage-collected, terminate evaluation with an “out-of-memory” exception.
- B. Give an example program that runs forever, would use an infinite heap without garbage collection, but never runs out of memory with your garbage collector.
- C. Give an example program that runs out of memory only because the garbage collector is too conservative. That is, it does not remove labels, but if it did, then the program would not run out of memory and the program would never get stuck. Your example should not be specialized to the value 50. That is, it should work for any “maximum heap size.”

What to turn in:

- PDF of answers in a file called `hw-03.pdf` with answers to problems 1–5 and 8.
- Caml source code in a file called `main.ml`.
- Files `infinite` and `factorial`.
- If you do the Caml challenge problems, do them in another file named `challenge.ml` and turn that in too..

Do not modify Caml files other than `main.ml`.

Follow the dropbox link on the course website, follow the "Homework 3" link, and upload your files. If you wrote your non-code answers by hand on paper, turn your solutions in to my or James's mailbox or give them to me directly.