```
L01 annotated.v
 Oct 06, 15 1:20
                                                                       Page 1/3
(** * Lecture 01 *)
(** "Inductive" introduces a new inductive type.
    "A: B" means "A has type B". So the type bool has type Set!
Inductive bool : Set :=
| true : bool
 false : bool.
(** notb is a function that takes a bool and returns its negation.
   think of "match" like a case or switch statement.
   try removing the " | false => true" line and see what happens.
   cog's pattern-matching is required to be *exhaustive*.
Definition notb (b : bool) : bool :=
 match b with
     true => false
     false => true
 end.
(**
 andb returns the conjunction of b1 and b2.
Definition andb (b1 : bool) (b2 : bool) : bool :=
 match b1 with
     true => b2
      false => false
 end.
 Let's try to *prove* that andb is commutative.
Lemma andb_comm :
 forall b1 b2,
    andb b1 b2 = andb b2 b1.
 intro x. (** assume an arbitrary boolean, and call it x *)
 intro y. (** assume an arbitrary boolean, and call it y *)
 destruct x. (** case analysis on x *)
  - destruct y. (** case analysis on y *)
    + reflexivity. (** the goal is an equals sign with the same thing on both si
des *)
    + simpl. (** simplify the goal by running the andb function *)
     reflexivity.
 - destruct y.
    + simpl. reflexivity.
    + reflexivity.
Qed.
(** Here is a shorter version of the same proof.
  Don't worry about understanding it for now. *)
Lemma andb comm':
 forall bl b2.
   andb b1 b2 = andb b2 b1.
 destruct b1; destruct b2; auto.
Oed.
(** "Check <term>" prints the *type* of <term> *)
Check andb. (** andb: bool -> bool -> bool *)
(** Someone asked about how "andb" corresponds to this type.
  Here's a "desugared" version of andb that should make this clearer. *)
Definition andb' : bool -> bool -> bool :=
 fun b1 => fun b2 => match b1 with
                    true => b2
                    false => false
(** Note that multi-argument functions in Coq are "curried".
```

```
L01 annotated.v
 Oct 06, 15 1:20
                                                                         Page 2/3
   This means that a multi-argument function is actually
    a single-argument function that *returns* another function.
     andb : bool -> bool -> bool
   is actually
    andb : bool -> (bool -> bool) *)
Check (andb true). (** bool -> bool *)
Inductive nat : Set :=
 0 : nat
 S : nat -> nat.
(** isZero checks to see if a natural number is, well, zero.
   " " is used as a variable name to indicate to Cog (and readers!)
    that the argument it names will not be used.
Definition isZero (n : nat) : bool :=
 match n with
     0 \Rightarrow true
     S _ => false
  end
Lemma isZero 0 :
 isZero O = true.
Proof.
 simpl.
 reflexivity.
(** Let's try to define addition *)
Fail Definition add (n1 : nat) (n2 : nat) : nat :=
 match n1 with
     0 => n2
      S m1 => add m1 (S n2)
(** This fails with something like:
     "The reference add was not found in the current environment."
   This is because when we use "Definition", the thing we're defining
     isn't available in the body of the definition.
   Let's try again using "Fixpoint". Fixpoint will be how we define recursive fu
nctions
   In Cog, recursive functions are guaranteed to terminate,
    so Cog checks that recursive arguments are *smaller*.
Fixpoint add (n1 : nat) (n2 : nat) : nat :=
 match n1 with
      0 \Rightarrow n2
      S m1 \Rightarrow S (add m1 n2)
Lemma O add :
 forall n.
   add 0 n = n.
Droof
 intro n.
  simpl.
 reflexivity.
Oed.
Lemma add 0 :
 forall n.
    add n \circ 0 = n.
Proof.
 intro n.
  simpl. (** simpl doesn't do anything. *)
(** Cog can't simplify "add n O". Let's try case analysis with "destruct" *)
Lemma add 0 :
 forall n.
```

```
L01 annotated.v
 Oct 06, 15 1:20
                                                                        Page 3/3
    add n \ 0 = n.
Proof.
 intro n.
 destruct n.
 - (** n is O *) reflexivity.
  - (** n is S n (for some other n) *)
   destruct n.
    + simpl. reflexivity.
    + simpl. (** starting to get worried, here *)
     destruct n.
      * simpl. reflexivity.
      * simpl. (** Seems like we're going to need a different strategy *)
(** Let's try *induction*. *)
Lemma add_0:
 forall n,
   add n 0 = n.
Proof.
 intro n.
 induction n.
 - simpl. reflexivity.
 - simpl.
   rewrite IHn. (** find the left-hand side of IHn in the goal
                    and replace it by the right-hand side *)
    reflexivity.
Qed.
(** in class, Zach first defined add as follows: *)
Fixpoint add' (n1 : nat) (n2 : nat) : nat :=
 match n1 with
     0 => n2
     S m1 => add' m1 (S n2)
 end.
(** Optional exercise : complete the following proof.
Lemma S_add'_add'_S :
 forall x y,
   add' \times (S y) = S (add' \times y).
Proof.
 induction x.
 - intros. reflexivity.
 - intros. simpl. rewrite IHx. reflexivity.
Qed.
Lemma add_add' : (** at some point in the proof, rewrite by S_add'_add'_S *)
 forall x y,
    add x y = add' x y.
Proof.
 (** FILL IN PROOF HERE *)
Admitted.
Inductive list (A : Set) : Set :=
| nil : list A
 cons : A -> list A -> list A.
(** We'll do more list stuff next time *)
```