

Oct 07, 15 8:17 L02_annotated.v Page 1/7

```

(** * Lecture 02 *)

(** infer some type arguments automatically *)
Set Implicit Arguments.

Inductive list (A: Set) : Set :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.

Fixpoint length (A: Set) (l: list A) : nat :=
  match l with
  | nil => 0
  | cons x xs => S (length xs)
  end.

(** so far, Coq will not infer the type argument for nil:
<<
Check (cons 1 nil).

Error: The term "nil" has type "forall A : Set, list A"
while it is expected to have type "list nat".
>>
*)

Check (cons 1 (nil nat)).

(** we can tell Coq to always try though *)
Arguments nil {A}.

Check (cons 1 nil).

Fixpoint countdown (n: nat) :=
  match n with
  | 0 => cons n nil
  | S m => cons n (countdown m)
  end.

Eval cbv in (countdown 0).
Eval cbv in (countdown 3).
Eval cbv in (countdown 10).

Fixpoint map (A B: Set) (f: A -> B) (l: list A) : list B :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | cons x xs => cons (f x) (map f xs)
  end.

Eval cbv in (map (plus 1) (countdown 3)).
Eval cbv in (map (fun _ => true) (countdown 3)).

Definition is_zero (n: nat) : bool :=
  match n with
  | 0 => true
  | S m => false
  end.

Eval cbv in (map is_zero (countdown 3)).

Fixpoint is_even (n: nat) : bool :=
  match n with
  | 0 => true
  | S 0 => false
  | S (S m) => is_even m
  end.

Eval cbv in (map is_even (countdown 3)).

(** Note that this proof uses bullets (+).
See the course web page for more information about bullets. *)

```

Oct 07, 15 8:17 L02_annotated.v Page 2/7

```

Lemma map_length:
  forall (A B: Set) (f: A -> B) (l: list A),
  length (map f l) = length l.
Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl.
  (** Replace "length (map f l)" with "length l" *)
  rewrite IHl.
  reflexivity.
Qed.

(** Induction is what we use to prove properties about infinite sets.
We do this by proving that the property holds on the "base cases"--nonrecurs
ive constructors.
For example, (0 : nat) and (nil : list A) are base cases.
Then, we prove that the property is *preserved* by the recursive constructor
S.
To prove the inductive case for a property P of nats, we'll need to prove
forall n, P n -> P (S n).
For lists, it will be
forall l x, P l -> P (cons x l).
*)

Definition compose (A B C: Set)
  (f: B -> C)
  (g: A -> B)
  : A -> C :=
  fun x => f (g x).

Lemma map_map_compose:
  forall (A B C: Set)
  (g: A -> B) (f: B -> C) (l: list A),
  map f (map g l) = map (compose f g) l.
Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite IHl.
  (** need to "unfold" compose to simpl *)
  unfold compose. reflexivity.
Qed.

Fixpoint foldr (A B: Set) (f: A -> B -> B)
  (l: list A) (b: B) : B :=
  match l with
  | nil => b
  | cons x xs => f x (foldr f xs b)
  end.

(**
  foldr f (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 nil))) x
  -->
  f 1 (f 2 (f 3 x))

  Notice how foldr replaces "cons" with "f" and "nil" with "x".
*)

(** "foldr plus" is a summation.
Let's sum the values from 0 to 10
*)
Eval cbv in (foldr plus (countdown 10) 0).

Fixpoint fact (n: nat) : nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 => 1
  | S m => mult n (fact m)
  end.

```

Oct 07, 15 8:17

L02_annotated.v

Page 3/7

```

Eval cbv in (fact 0).
Eval cbv in (fact 1).
Eval cbv in (fact 2).
Eval cbv in (fact 3).
Eval cbv in (fact 4).

```

```

Definition fact' (n: nat) : nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 => 1
  | S m => foldr mult (map (plus 1) (countdown m)) 1
end.

```

```

Eval cbv in (fact' 0).
Eval cbv in (fact' 1).
Eval cbv in (fact' 2).
Eval cbv in (fact' 3).
Eval cbv in (fact' 4).

```

```

Lemma fact_fact':
  forall n,
  fact n = fact' n.
Proof.
  (** challenge problem *)
Admitted.

```

(** we can also define map using fold *)

```

Definition map' (A B: Set) (f: A -> B) (l: list A) : list B :=
  foldr (fun x acc => cons (f x) acc) l nil.

```

```

Lemma map_map':
  forall (A B: Set) (f: A -> B) (l: list A),
  map f l = map' f l.

```

```

Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. unfold map'. simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite IHl.
  (** again, need to unfold so simpl can work *)
  unfold map'. simpl.
  reflexivity.
  (** note: very sensitive to order of rewrite and unroll! *)

```

Qed.

(** another flavor of fold. what's the difference? when would you use one or the other? *)

```

Fixpoint foldl (A B: Set) (f: A -> B -> B)
  (l: list A) (b: B) : B :=

```

```

  match l with
  | nil => b
  | cons x xs => foldl f xs (f x b)
end.

```

(** add one list to the end of another *)

```

Fixpoint app (A: Set) (l1: list A) (l2: list A) : list A :=

```

```

  match l1 with
  | nil => l2
  | cons x xs => cons x (app xs l2)
end.

```

```

Eval cbv in (app (cons 1 (cons 2 nil)) (cons 3 nil)).

```

```

Theorem app_nil:
  forall A (l: list A),
  app l nil = l.

```

```

Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.

```

Oct 07, 15 8:17

L02_annotated.v

Page 4/7

```

+ simpl. reflexivity.
+ simpl. rewrite IHl. reflexivity.
Qed.

```

(** app is associative, meaning we can freely re-associate (move parens around) *)

```

Theorem app_assoc:
  forall A (l1 l2 l3: list A),
  app (app l1 l2) l3 = app l1 (app l2 l3).

```

```

Proof.
  intros.
  induction l1.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite IHl1. reflexivity.

```

Qed.

(** simple but inefficient way to reverse a list *)

```

Fixpoint rev (A: Set) (l: list A) : list A :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | cons x xs => app (rev xs) (cons x nil)
end.

```

(** tail recursion is faster, but more complicated. "acc" is short for "accumulator". we "accumulate" with each recursive call.

note that fast_rev_aux calls itself in tail position, i.e., as its result.

tail recursion is faster because compilers for functional programming languages

often do tail-call optimization ("TCO"), in which stack frames are re-used by recursive calls.

*)

```

Fixpoint fast_rev_aux (A: Set) (l: list A) (acc: list A) : list A :=
  match l with
  | nil => acc
  | cons x xs => fast_rev_aux xs (cons x acc)
end.

```

```

Definition fast_rev (A: Set) (l: list A) : list A :=
  fast_rev_aux l nil.

```

(** let's make sure we got that right *)

```

Theorem rev_ok:
  forall A (l: list A),
  fast_rev l = rev l.

```

```

Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. (** reduces rev, but does nothing to rev_fast *)
  unfold fast_rev. (** unfold fast_rev to fast_rev_aux *)
  simpl. (** now we can simplify the term *)
  reflexivity.

```

(** TIP: if simpl doesn't work, try unfolding! *)

```

+ unfold fast_rev in *.
  (** this looks like it could be trouble... *)
  simpl. rewrite <- IHl.
  (** STUCK! need to know about the rev_aux accumulator (acc) *)
  (** TIP: if your IH seems weak, try proving something more general *)

```

Abort.

```

Lemma fast_rev_aux_ok:
  forall A (l1 l2: list A),
  fast_rev_aux l1 l2 = app (rev l1) l2.

```

```

Proof.
  intros.
  induction l1.
  + simpl. reflexivity.

```


Oct 07, 15 8:17

L02_annotated.v

Page 7/7

```
+ simpl. reflexivity.
+ simpl. rewrite IHl1. reflexivity.
Qed.

Lemma plus_1_S:
  forall n,
    plus n 1 = S n.
Proof.
  intros.
  induction n.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite IHn. reflexivity.
Qed.

Lemma rev_length:
  forall A (l: list A),
    length (rev l) = length l.
Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite length_app.
    simpl. rewrite plus_1_S.
    rewrite IHl. reflexivity.
Qed.

Lemma rev_app:
  forall A (l1 l2: list A),
    rev (app l1 l2) = app (rev l2) (rev l1).
Proof.
  intros.
  induction l1.
  + simpl. rewrite app_nil. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite IHl1. rewrite app_assoc.
    reflexivity.
Qed.

Lemma rev_involutive:
  forall A (l: list A),
    rev (rev l) = l.
Proof.
  intros.
  induction l.
  + simpl. reflexivity.
  + simpl. rewrite rev_app.
    simpl. rewrite IHl. reflexivity.
Qed.
```