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## Concurrent ML

- CML is synchronous message-passing with first-class synchronization events
- Can wrap synchronization abstractions to make new ones
- At run-time
- Originally done for ML and fits well with lambdas, type-system, and implementation techniques, but more widely applicable
- Available in Racket, OCaml, Haskell, ...
- Very elegant and under-appreciated
- Think of threads as very lightweight
- Creation/space cost about like a function call
- Threads communicate via send and receive along channels instead of read and write of references
- Not so different? (can implement references on top of channels and channels on top of references)
- Synchronous message-passing
- Block until communication takes place
- Encode asynchronous by "spawn someone who blocks"

```
type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *)
val new_channel : unit -> 'a channel
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a
- Send and receive return "events" immediately
- Sync blocks until "the event happens"
- Separating these is key in a few slides
```


## Simple version

Can define helper functions by trival composition:
let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *)
let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *)
"Who communicates" is up to the CML implementation

- Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel
- Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers
Terminology note:
- Function names are those in OCaml's Event library.
- In SML, the CML book, etc.:
send $\rightsquigarrow$ sendEvt sendNow $\rightsquigarrow$ send
receive $\rightsquigarrow$ recvEvt recvNow $\rightsquigarrow$ recv


## Bank Account Example

See lec21code.ml

- First version: In/out channels are only access to private reference
- In channel of type action channel
- Out channel of type float channel
- Second version: Makes functional programmers smile
- State can be argument to a recursive function
- "Loop-carried"
- Hints at deep connection between references and channels
- Can implement the reference abstraction in CML


## The Interface

The real point of the example is that you can abstract all the threading and communication away from clients:
type acct
val mkAcct : unit -> acct
val get : acct -> float -> float
val put : acct -> float -> float
Hidden thread communcation:

- mkAcct makes a thread (the "this account server")
- get and put make the server go around the loop once

Races naturally avoided: the server handles one request at a time

- CML implementation has queues for waiting communications


## Streams

Another pattern/concept easy to code up in CML is a stream

- An infinite sequence of values, produced lazily ("on demand")

Example in lec21code.ml: square numbers
Standard more complicated example: A network of streams for producing prime numbers. One approach:

- First stream generates $2,3,4, \ldots$
- When the last stream generates a number $\boldsymbol{p}$, return it and dynamically add a stream as the new last stream
- Draws input from old last stream but outputs only those that are not divisible by $\boldsymbol{p}$


## Streams also:

- Have deep connections to circuits
- Are easy to code up in lazy languages like Haskell
- Are a key abstraction in real-time data processing


## Wanting choice

- So far just used sendNow and recvNow, hidden behind simple interfaces
- But these block until the rendezvous, which is insufficient for many important communication patterns
- Example: add : int channel -> int channel -> int
- Must choose which to receive first; hurting performance if other provider ready earlier
- Example: or : bool channel -> bool channel -> bool
- Cannot short-circuit

This is why we split out sync and have other primitives

```
type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a
val choose : 'a event list -> 'a event
val wrap : 'a event -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b event
```

- choose: when synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (cf. UNIX select, but more useful to have sync separate)
- wrap: an event with the function as post-processing
- Can wrap as many times as you want

Note: Skipping a couple other key primitives (e.g., withNack for timeouts)

## Circuits

To an electrical engineer:

- send and receive are ends of a gate
- wrap is combinational logic connected to a gate
- choose is a multiplexer
- sync is getting a result out

To a programming-language person:

- Build up a data structure describing a communication protocol
- Make it a first-class value that can be by passed to sync
- Provide events in interfaces so other libraries can compose larger abstractions

What can't you do

CML is by-design for point-to-point communication

- Provably impossible to do things like 3-way swap (without busy-waiting or higher-level protocols)
- Related to issues of common-knowledge, especially in a distributed setting
- Metamoral: Being a broad computer scientist is really useful

A note on implementation and paradigms

CML encourages using lots ( 100,000 s) of threads

- Example: X Window library with one thread per widget

Threads should be cheap to support this paradigm

- SML N/J: about as expensive as making a closure!
- Think "current stack" plus a few words
- Cost no time when blocked on a channel (dormant)
- OCaml: Not cheap, unfortunately

A thread responding to channels is a lot like an asynchronous object (cf. actors)

