CSE-505: Programming Languages Lecture 26 — Classless OOP

Zach Tatlock 2016

Classless OOP

OOP gave us code-reuse via inheritance and extensibility via late-binding

Can we throw out classes and still get OOP? Yes

Can it have a type system that prevents "no match found" and "no best match" errors? Yes, but we won't get there

This is mind-opening stuff if you've never seen it

Will make up syntax as we go...

Make objects directly

Everything is an object. You can make objects directly:

```
let p = [
  field x = 7;
  field y = 9;
  right_quad(){ x.gt(0) && y.gt(0) } // cf. 0.lte(y)
]
```

p now bound to an object

Can invoke its methods and read/write its fields

No classes: Constructors are easy to encode

```
let make_pt = [
   doit(x0,y0) { [ field x=x0; field y=y0;... ] }
]
```

Inheritance and Override

Building objects from scratch won't get us late-binding and code reuse. Here's the trick:

- clone method produces a (shallow) copy of an object
- method "slots" can be mutable

```
let o1 = [ // still have late-binding
  odd(x) {if x.eq(0) then false else self.even(x-1)}
  even(x) {if x.eq(0) then true else self.odd(x-1) }
]
let o2 = o1.clone()
o2.even(x) := {(x.mod(2)).eq(0)}
```

Language doesn't grow: just methods and mutable "slots" Can use for constructors too: clone and assign fields

Extension

But that trick doesn't work to add slots to an object, a common use of subclassing

Having something like "extend e1 (x=e2)" that mutates e1 to have a new slot is problematic semantically (what if e1 has a slot named x) and for efficiency (may not be room where e1 is allocated)

Instead, we can build a new object with a special parent slot:
[parent=e1; x=e2]

parent is very special because definition of method-lookup (*the* issue in OO) depends on it (else this isn't inheritance)

Method Lookup

To find the m method of o:

- \blacktriangleright Look for a slot named m
- If not found, look in object held in parent slot

But we still have late-binding: for method in parent slot, we still have self refer to the original o.

Two *inequivalent* ways to define parent=e1:

- Delegation: parent refers to result of e1
- Embedding: parent refers to result of e1.clone()

Mutation of result of e1 (or its parent or grandparent or ...) exposes the difference

We'll assume delegation

Oh so flexible

Delegation is way more flexible (and simple!) (and dangerous!) than class-based OO: The object being delegated to is usually used like a class, but its slots may be mutable

- Assigning to a slot in a delegated object changes every object that delegates to it (transitively)
 - Clever change-propagation but as dangerous as globals and arguably more subtle?
- Assigning to a parent slot is "dynamic inheritance" changes where slots are inherited from

Classes restrict what you can do and how you think, e.g., never thinking of clever run-time modifications of inheritance

Javascript: A Few Notes

- Javascript gives assignment "extension" semantics if field not already there. Implementations use indirection (hashtables).
- parent is called prototype
- new F(...) creates a new object o, calls F with this bound to o, and returns o
 - No special notion of constructor
 - Functions are objects too
 - This isn't quite prototype-based inheritance, but can code it up:

```
function inheritFrom(o) {
  function F() {}
  F.prototype = o;
  return new F();
}
```

 No clone (depending on version), but can copy fields explicitly

Rarely what you want

We have the essence of OOP in a tiny language with more flexibility than we usually want

Avoid it via careful coding idioms:

- Create trait/abstract objects: Just immutable methods
 - Analogous role to virtual-method tables
- Extend with *prototype/template* objects: Add mutable fields but don't mutate them
 - Analogous role to classes
- Clone prototypes to create concrete/normal objects
 - Analogous role to objects (clone is constructor)

Traits can extend other traits and prototypes other prototypes

Analogous to subclassing

Coming full circle

This idiom is so important, it's worth having a type system that enforces it

For example, a template object cannot have its members accessed (except clone)

We end up getting close to classes, but from first principles and still allowing the full flexibility when you want it