## Basics of Probability

## Sample spaces, events and probabilities

Begin with a set $\Omega$-the sample space
e.g., 6 possible rolls of a die.
$\omega \in \Omega$ is a sample point/possible world/atomic event
A probability space or probability model is a sample space with an assignment $P(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ s.t.
$0 \leq P(\omega) \leq 1$
$\sum_{\omega} P(\omega)=1$
e.g., $P(1)=P(2)=P(3)=P(4)=P(5)=P(6)=1 / 6$.

An event $A$ is any subset of $\Omega$

$$
P(A)=\sum_{\{\omega \in A\}} P(\omega)
$$

E.g., $P($ die roll $<4)=P(1)+P(2)+P(3)=1 / 6+1 / 6+1 / 6=1 / 2$

## Random variables

A random variable is a function from sample points to some range, e.g., the reals or Booleans
e.g., $\operatorname{Odd}(1)=$ true.
$P$ induces a probability distribution for any r.v. $X$ :

$$
P\left(X=x_{i}\right)=\sum_{\left\{\omega: X(\omega)=x_{i}\right\}} P(\omega)
$$

e.g., $P(O d d=$ true $)=P(1)+P(3)+P(5)=1 / 6+1 / 6+1 / 6=1 / 2$

## Propositions

Think of a proposition as the event (set of sample points) where the proposition is true

Given Boolean random variables $A$ and $B$ :

$$
\text { event } a=\text { set of sample points where } A(\omega)=\text { true }
$$

$$
\text { event } \neg a=\text { set of sample points where } A(\omega)=\text { false }
$$

$$
\text { event } a \wedge b=\text { points where } A(\omega)=\text { true and } B(\omega)=\text { true }
$$

Often in applications, the sample points are defined by the values of a set of random variables, i.e., the sample space is the Cartesian product of the ranges of the variables

With Boolean variables, sample point $=$ propositional logic model

$$
\text { e.g., } A=\text { true, } B=\text { false, or } a \wedge \neg b \text {. }
$$

Proposition $=$ disjunction of atomic events in which it is true

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e.g., }(a \vee b) \equiv(\neg a \wedge b) \vee(a \wedge \neg b) \vee(a \wedge b) \\
& \Rightarrow P(a \vee b)=P(\neg a \wedge b)+P(a \wedge \neg b)+P(a \wedge b)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why use probability?

The definitions imply that certain logically related events must have related probabilities

$$
\text { E.g., } P(a \vee b)=P(a)+P(b)-P(a \wedge b)
$$


de Finetti (1931): an agent who bets according to probabilities that violate these axioms can be forced to bet so as to lose money regardless of outcome.

## Syntax for propositions

Propositional or Boolean random variables
e.g., Cavity (do I have a cavity?)

Cavity $=$ true is a proposition, also written cavity
Discrete random variables (finite or infinite)
e.g., Weather is one of 〈sunny, rain, cloudy, snow〉

Weather = rain is a proposition
Values must be exhaustive and mutually exclusive
Continuous random variables (bounded or unbounded)
e.g., $\operatorname{Temp}=21.6$; also allow, e.g., $T e m p<22.0$.

Arbitrary Boolean combinations of basic propositions

## Prior probability

Prior or unconditional probabilities of propositions e.g., $P($ Cavity $=$ true $)=0.1$ and $P($ Weather $=$ sunny $)=0.72$
correspond to belief prior to arrival of any (new) evidence
Probability distribution gives values for all possible assignments:

$$
\mathbf{P}(\text { Weather })=\langle 0.72,0.1,0.08,0.1\rangle(\text { normalized, i.e., sums to } 1)
$$

Joint probability distribution for a set of r.v.s gives the probability of every atomic event on those r.v.s (i.e., every sample point) $\mathbf{P}($ Weather, Cavity $)=$ a $4 \times 2$ matrix of values:

| Weather $=$ | sunny | rain | cloudy | snow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cavity $=$ true | 0.144 | 0.02 | 0.016 | 0.02 |
| Cavity $=$ false | 0.576 | 0.08 | 0.064 | 0.08 |

Every question about a domain can be answered by the joint distribution because every event is a sum of sample points

## Probability for continuous variables

Express distribution as a parameterized function of value: $P(X=x)=U[18,26](x)=$ uniform density between 18 and 26


Here $P$ is a density; integrates to 1 .
$P(X=20.5)=0.125$ really means

$$
\lim _{d x \rightarrow 0} P(20.5 \leq X \leq 20.5+d x) / d x=0.125
$$

## Gaussian density

$$
P(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$



## Conditional probability

Conditional or posterior probabilities
e.g., $P($ cavity $\mid$ toothache $)=0.8$
i.e., given that toothache is all I know

NOT "if toothache then $80 \%$ chance of cavity"
(Notation for conditional distributions:
$\mathbf{P}$ (Cavity $\mid$ Toothache $)=2$-element vector of 2-element vectors)
If we know more, e.g., cavity is also given, then we have
$P($ cavity $\mid$ toothache, cavity $)=1$
Note: the less specific belief remains valid after more evidence arrives, but is not always useful

New evidence may be irrelevant, allowing simplification, e.g.,
$P($ cavity $\mid$ toothache, 49 ersWin$)=P($ cavity $\mid$ toothache $)=0.8$
This kind of inference, sanctioned by domain knowledge, is crucial

## Conditional probability

Definition of conditional probability:

$$
P(a \mid b)=\frac{P(a \wedge b)}{P(b)} \text { if } P(b) \neq 0
$$

Product rule gives an alternative formulation:

$$
P(a \wedge b)=P(a \mid b) P(b)=P(b \mid a) P(a)
$$

A general version holds for whole distributions, e.g., $\mathbf{P}($ Weather, Cavity $)=\mathbf{P}($ Weather $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Cavity $)$
(View as a $4 \times 2$ set of equations, not matrix mult.)
Chain rule is derived by successive application of product rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} & \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(X_{n} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-2}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(X_{n-1} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-2}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(X_{n} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\ldots \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inference by enumeration

Start with the joint distribution:

|  | toothache |  | $\neg$ toothache |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | catch | $\neg$ catch | catch | $\neg$ catch |
| cavity | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| ᄀ cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

For any proposition $\phi$, sum the atomic events where it is true:
$P(\phi)=\sum_{\omega: \omega \models \phi} P(\omega)$

## Inference by enumeration

Start with the joint distribution:

|  | toothache |  | $\neg$ toothache |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | catch | $\neg$ catch | catch | $\neg$ catch |
| cavity | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| $\neg$ cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

For any proposition $\phi$, sum the atomic events where it is true:
$P(\phi)=\sum_{\omega: \omega \models \phi} P(\omega)$
$P($ toothache $)=0.108+0.012+0.016+0.064=0.2$

## Inference by enumeration

Start with the joint distribution:

|  | toothache |  | $\neg$ toothache |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | catch | $\neg$ catch | catch | $\neg$ catch |
| cavity | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| $\neg$ cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

For any proposition $\phi$, sum the atomic events where it is true:
$P(\phi)=\sum_{\omega: \omega \models \phi} P(\omega)$
$P($ cavity $\backslash$ toothache $)=0.108+0.012+0.072+0.008+0.016+0.064=0.28$

## Inference by enumeration

Start with the joint distribution:

|  | toothache |  | $\neg$ toothache |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | catch | $\neg$ catch | catch | $\neg$ catch |
| cavity | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| $\neg$ cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

Can also compute conditional probabilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\neg \text { cavity } \mid \text { toothache }) & =\frac{P(\neg \text { cavity } \wedge \text { toothache })}{P(\text { toothache })} \\
& =\frac{0.016+0.064}{0.108+0.012+0.016+0.064}=0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalization

|  | toothache |  | $\neg$ toothache |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | catch | $\neg$ catch | catch | $\neg$ catch |
| cavity | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| $\neg$ cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

Denominator can be viewed as a normalization constant $\alpha$

```
\(\mathbf{P}(\) Cavity \(\mid\) toothache \()=\alpha \mathbf{P}(\) Cavity, toothache \()\)
    \(=\alpha[\mathbf{P}(\) Cavity, toothache, catch \()+\mathbf{P}(\) Cavity, toothache,\(\neg\) catch \()]\)
    \(=\alpha[\langle 0.108,0.016\rangle+\langle 0.012,0.064\rangle]\)
    \(=\alpha\langle 0.12,0.08\rangle=\langle 0.6,0.4\rangle\)
```

General idea: compute distribution on query variable by fixing evidence variables and summing over hidden variables

## Inference by enumeration, contd.

Let X be all the variables. Typically, we want the posterior joint distribution of the query variables $Y$ given specific values e for the evidence variables E

Let the hidden variables be $\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}$
Then the required summation of joint entries is done by summing out the hidden variables:

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e})=\alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e})=\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{h})
$$

The terms in the summation are joint entries because $Y, E$, and $H$ together exhaust the set of random variables

Obvious problems:

1) Worst-case time complexity $O\left(d^{n}\right)$ where $d$ is the largest arity
2) Space complexity $O\left(d^{n}\right)$ to store the joint distribution
3) How to find the numbers for $O\left(d^{n}\right)$ entries???

## Independence

$A$ and $B$ are independent iff

$$
\mathbf{P}(A \mid B)=\mathbf{P}(A) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbf{P}(B \mid A)=\mathbf{P}(B) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbf{P}(A, B)=\mathbf{P}(A) \mathbf{P}(B)
$$


$\mathbf{P}$ (Toothache, Catch, Cavity, Weather) $=\mathbf{P}($ Toothache, Catch, Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Weather $)$

32 entries reduced to 12 ; for $n$ independent biased coins, $2^{n} \rightarrow n$
Absolute independence powerful but rare
Dentistry is a large field with hundreds of variables, none of which are independent. What to do?

## Conditional independence

$\mathbf{P}$ (Toothache, Cavity, Catch) has $2^{3}-1=7$ independent entries
If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:
(1) $P($ catch $\mid$ toothache, cavity $)=P($ catch $\mid$ cavity $)$

The same independence holds if I haven't got a cavity:
(2) $P($ catch $\mid$ toothache,$\neg$ cavity $)=P($ catch $\mid \neg$ cavity $)$

Catch is conditionally independent of Toothache given Cavity:
$\mathbf{P}($ Catch $\mid$ Toothache, Cavity $)=\mathbf{P}($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$
Equivalent statements:
$\mathbf{P}($ Toothache $\mid$ Catch, Cavity $)=\mathbf{P}($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $)$
$\mathbf{P}($ Toothache, Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)=\mathbf{P}($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$

## Conditional independence contd.

Write out full joint distribution using chain rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}(\text { Toothache, Catch, Cavity }) \\
& =\mathbf{P}(\text { Toothache } \mid \text { Catch, Cavity }) \mathbf{P}(\text { Catch }, \text { Cavity }) \\
& =\mathbf{P}(\text { Toothache } \mid \text { Catch, Cavity }) \mathbf{P}(\text { Catch } \mid \text { Cavity }) \mathbf{P}(\text { Cavity }) \\
& =\mathbf{P}(\text { Toothache } \mid \text { Cavity }) \mathbf{P}(\text { Catch } \mid \text { Cavity }) \mathbf{P}(\text { Cavity })
\end{aligned}
$$

I.e., $2+2+1=5$ independent numbers (equations 1 and 2 remove 2)

In most cases, the use of conditional independence reduces the size of the representation of the joint distribution from exponential in $n$ to linear in $n$.

Conditional independence is our most basic and robust form of knowledge about uncertain environments.

## Bayes' theorem

Product rule $P(a \wedge b)=P(a \mid b) P(b)=P(b \mid a) P(a)$

$$
\Rightarrow \text { Bayes' theorem: } P(a \mid b)=\frac{P(b \mid a) P(a)}{P(b)}
$$

or in distribution form

$$
\mathbf{P}(Y \mid X)=\frac{\mathbf{P}(X \mid Y) \mathbf{P}(Y)}{\mathbf{P}(X)}=\alpha \mathbf{P}(X \mid Y) \mathbf{P}(Y)
$$

Useful for assessing diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$
P(\text { Cause } \mid E f f e c t)=\frac{P(\text { Effect } \mid \text { Cause }) P(\text { Cause })}{P(\text { Effect })}
$$

E.g., let $M$ be meningitis, $S$ be stiff neck:

$$
P(m \mid s)=\frac{P(s \mid m) P(m)}{P(s)}=\frac{0.8 \times 0.0001}{0.1}=0.0008
$$

Note: posterior probability of meningitis still very small!

## Bayes' theorem and conditional independence

$\mathbf{P}($ Cavity $\mid$ toothache $\wedge$ catch $)$
$=\alpha \mathbf{P}($ toothache $\wedge$ catch $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Cavity $)$
$=\alpha \mathbf{P}($ toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ catch $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Cavity $)$

This is an example of a naive Bayes model:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\text { Cause }, E f f f e c t_{1}, \ldots, E f f e c t_{n}\right)=\mathbf{P}(\text { Cause }) \prod_{i} \mathbf{P}\left(\text { Effect }_{i} \mid \text { Cause }\right)
$$



Total number of parameters is linear in $n$

