

due: Thursday, Dec 1. 10:30AM

Each problem is worth 10 points. “Give an algorithm” means pseudo-code, a high-level explanation and a proof of correctness. See the website for more grading guidelines.

1. Read section 7.5 of DPV and prove the equation at the bottom of page 226. The book DPV is available online at

<http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~vazirani/algorithms.html>

2. Consider the problem of writing an antivirus program that seeks to detect n different viruses. From an analysis of these viruses, you have found m code fragments that each appear in one or more viruses. For each $i \in [m]$, say that fragment i appears in viruses S_i for some subset $S_i \subseteq [n]$. However, since each fragment also may appear in legitimate code (creating false positives), we assign a cost $c_i \geq 0$ to each fragment.

Your goal is to choose a minimum-cost valid collection of code fragments T to search for. The cost of a collection T is defined to be $\sum_{i \in T} c_i$. A collection T is *valid* if it can identify all n viruses; i.e. if $\bigcup_{i \in T} S_i = [n]$. Let OPT denote the minimum cost of any valid collection of code fragments.

- (a) Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m x_i c_i \tag{1a}$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_m \in \{0, 1\} \tag{1b}$$

$$\forall j \in [n], \sum_{i: j \in S_i} x_i \geq 1 \tag{1c}$$

Prove that the solution to (1) is equal to OPT .

- (b)

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m x_i c_i \tag{2a}$$

$$\forall i \in [m], 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \tag{2b}$$

$$\forall j \in [n], \sum_{S_i \ni j} x_i \geq 1 \tag{2c}$$

Denote the solution to (2) by OPT_{LP} . Observe that $OPT_{LP} \leq OPT$. Can any of the constraints in (2) be removed without changing the answer?

- (c) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a solution to (2). Suppose that each element of $[n]$ appears in at most f subsets. Choose $T = \{i : x_i \geq 1/f\}$. Prove that T is a valid collection with cost at most equal to $f \cdot OPT$.
- (d) Write down the dual of (2).
- (e) Again starting with a solution of (2), suppose that we take $T = \{i : x_i > 0\}$. Prove that the cost of T is again $\leq f \cdot OPT$. *Hint: Use complementary slackness.*
- (f) *Extra credit.* Consider the following alternate strategy for constructing T . For each i , put i in T with probability x_i .
 - i. What is the expected cost of this strategy?
 - ii. This strategy will generally not yield a valid collection. Prove that each $j \in [n]$ is covered with probability $\geq 1 - 1/e$. *Hint: use convexity.*

- iii. Suppose we repeat this strategy $\ln(n)$ times and take the union of all of the resulting collections. Prove that with constant probability this yields a valid collection that is $\leq OPT \cdot 2 \ln(n)$.
3. Given a directed graph (V, E) with edge capacities c and vertices $s, t \in V$, define FRAC-MIN-CUT to be the value of the following LP:

$$\min \sum_{e \in E} c(e)h(e) \tag{3a}$$

$$h(v \rightarrow w) \geq 0 \forall (v, w) \in E \tag{3b}$$

$$h(v \rightarrow w) \geq g(v) - g(w) \forall (v, w) \in E \tag{3c}$$

$$g(s) = 1 \tag{3d}$$

$$g(t) = 0 \tag{3e}$$

- (a) Let MIN-CUT denote the minimum cost of any s - t cut. Prove that FRAC-MIN-CUT is equal to MIN-CUT. *Hint: You may use results from lecture such as LP strong duality, and the max-flow/min-cut theorem, without rederiving them.*
- (b) Given a solution to (3), choose a random $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and set $A = \{v : g(v) \geq \theta\}$ and $B = \{v : g(v) < \theta\}$. What is the expected (i.e. average) value of $\|A, B\|$? *Hint: The only fact about probability that you need to know is linearity of expectation, meaning that the expectation of a sum of random variables is equal to the expectation of the sum.*
- (c) Show that any choice of $\theta \in (0, 1)$ yields a minimum cut.