Paxos

## The Part-Time Parliament

6 Parliament determines laws by passing sequence of numbered decrees

- Legislators can leave and enter the chamber at arbitrary times
6 No centralized record of approved decreesinstead, each legislator carries a ledger



## Government 101

- No two ledgers contain contradictory information
- If a majority of legislators were in the Chamber and no one entered or left the Chamber for a sufficiently long time, then
$\square$ any decree proposed by a legislator would eventually be passed
$\square$ any passed decree would appear on the ledger of every legislator


## Government 102

- Paxos legislature is non-partisan, progressive, and well-intentioned
(2) Legislators only care that something is agreed to, not what is agreed to
- We'll take care of Byzantine legislators later


## Back to the future

- A set of processes that can propose values
- Processes can crash and recover
(2) Processes have access to stable storage
- Asynchronous communication via messages
- Messages can be lost and duplicated, but not corrupted


## The Game: Consensus

## SAFETY

- Only a value that has been proposed can be chosen

6 Only a single value is chosen
(6) A process never learns that a value has been chosen unless it has been

LIVENESS
( Some proposed value is eventually chosen
(2) If a value is chosen, a process eventually learns it

## The Players

© Proposers
(2cceptors
© Learners

## Choosing a value



Use a single acceptor

## What if

## the acceptor fails?


. Choose only when a "large enough" set of acceptors accepts
(.) Using a majority set guarantees that at most one value is chosen

## Accepting a value

- Suppose only one value is proposed by a single proposer.
© That value should be chosen!
(3) First requirement:

P1: An acceptor must accept the first proposal that it receives
© ...but what if we have multiple proposers, each proposing a different value?

## P1 + multiple proposers


(7)

(6)

(2)

## Pl + multiple proposers



## P1 + multiple proposers



## Handling multiple proposals

- Acceptors must accept more than one proposal
- To keep track of different proposals, assign a natural number to each proposal
$\square$ A proposal is then a pair ( $p s n$, value)
$\square$ Different proposals have different $p s n$
$\square$ A proposal is chosen when it has been accepted by a majority of acceptors
$\square$ A value is chosen when a single proposal with that value has been chosen


## Choosing a unique value

"Any acceptor can accept as many proposals as he wants, so long as they all propose the same value" Leslie Lamport

P2. If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal that is chosen has value $v$

## It's up to the Acceptors!

P2. If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal that is chosen has value $v$

We strengthen it to:
P2a. If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal accepted by any acceptor has value $v$

## What about P1?



## It's up to the Proposers!

(2) Recall P2a:

P2a. If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal accepted by any acceptor has value $v$

We strengthen it to:
P2b. If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal issued by any proposer has value $v$

## What to propose

P2b: If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every highernumbered proposal issued by any proposer has value $v$

Suppose p wants to issue a proposal numbered n .
(2) If p can be certain that no proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$ has been chosen then p can propose any value!
$\square$ If a proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$ has been chosen, then it has been accepted by a majority set $S$
$\square$ Any majority set $S^{\prime}$ must intersect $S$
$\square$ If p can find one $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ in which no acceptors has accepted a proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$, then no such proposal can have yet been chosen!
$\square$ If no such $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$, a proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$ may have been chosen...
$\square$ Then what?

## What to propose

P2b: If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every highernumbered proposal issued by any proposer has value $v$

Suppose p wants to issue a proposal numbered n .

- If p can be certain that no proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$ has been chosen then p can propose any value!
(2) If not, p should propose the chosen value. But how?


## What to propose

P2b: If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every highernumbered proposal issued by any proposer has value $v$

Suppose p wants to issue a proposal numbered n .
6. If p can be certain that no proposal numbered $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}<\mathrm{n}$ has been chosen then p can propose any value!
(2) If not, p should propose the chosen value. But how?

- What about using induction...
$\square$ Say proposal numbered $m$ with value $v$ is chosen: some majority-set $C$ of acceptors has accepted it
$\square$ Suppose every proposal issued with number $m . . . \mathrm{n}-1$ has value v
$\square \quad$ Consider proposal $n$ : since every majority set $S^{\prime}$ intersects with $C$ and every proposal accepted by any acceptor with sequence number in m...n-1 has value v , then
$\square$ p should propose the highest numbered proposal among all proposals, numbered less than $n$, accepted by some majority set $S$


## It's up to an invariant!

P2b: If a proposal with value $v$ is chosen, then every highernumbered proposal issued by any proposer has value $v$

Achieved by enforcing the following invariant
P2c: For any $v$ and $n$, if a proposal with value $v$ and number $n$ is issued, then there is a set $S$ consisting of a majority of acceptors such that either:

- no acceptor in S has accepted any proposal numbered less than $n$, or
$\square \mathrm{v}$ is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than n accepted by the acceptors in S


## P2c in action


(2) $v$ is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than n and accepted by the acceptors in S

## P2c in action


(2) v is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than n and accepted by the acceptors in S

## P2c in action


(2) v is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than n and accepted by the acceptors in S

The invariant is violated

## Future telling?

(2 p must learn the highest-numbered proposal with number less than n , if any, that has been or will be accepted by each acceptor in some majority of acceptors.
(2) Avoid predicting the future by extracting a promise from a majority of acceptors not to subsequently accept any proposals numbered less than $n$

## The proposer's protocol (I)

(2) A proposer chooses a new proposal number $n$ and sends a request to each member of some set of acceptors, asking it to respond with:
a. A promise never again to accept a proposal numbered less than $n$, and
b. The accepted proposal with highest number less than $n$ if any.
...call this a prepare request with number $n$

## The proposer's protocol (II)

(2) If the proposer receives a response from a majority of acceptors, then it can issue a proposal with number $n$ and value $v$, where $v$ is

- the value of the highest-numbered proposal among the responses, or
- is any value selected by the proposer if responders returned no proposals

A proposer issues a proposal by sending, to some set of acceptors, a request that the proposal be accepted.
...call this an accept request.

## The acceptor's protocol

(2) An acceptor receives prepare and accept requests from proposers.
$\square$ It can always respond to a prepare request
$\square$ It can respond to an accept request, accepting the proposal, iff it has not promised not to, e.g.

Pla: An acceptor can accept a proposal numbered n iff it has not responded to a prepare request having number greater than $n$
...which subsumes P1.

## Small optimizations

(2) If an acceptor receives a prepare request $r$ numbered $n$ when it has already responded to a prepare request for n' > n, then the acceptor can simply ignore r .
...so an acceptor needs only remember the highest numbered proposal it has accepted and the number of the highest-numbered prepare request to which it has responded.

## Choosing a value: Phase 1

(2) A proposer chooses a new $n$ and sends <prepare, $n\rangle$ to a majority of acceptors
(2) If an acceptor a receives $\left\langle\right.$ prepare, $\left.n^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $\left.n^{\prime}\right\rangle n$ of any <prepare, $n>$ to which it has responded, then it responds to <prepare, $n$ ' > with
$\square$ a promise not to accept any more proposals numbered less than $n$ '
$\square$ the highest numbered proposal (if any) that it has accepted

## Choosing a value: Phase 2

(2) If the proposer receives a response to <prepare, $n>$ from a majority of acceptors, then it sends to each <accept, $n, v\rangle$, where $v$ is either
$\square$ the value of the highest numbered proposal among the responses
$\square$ any value if the responses reported no proposals
(2) If an acceptor receives <accept, $n, v\rangle$, it accepts the proposal unless it has in the meantime responded to <prepare, $n$ '>, where $n$ '>n

## Learning chosen values (I)

Once a value is chosen, learners should find out about it. Many strategies are possible:
i. Each acceptor informs each learner whenever it accepts a proposal.
ii. Acceptors inform a distinguished learner, who informs the other learners
iii. Something in between (a set of not-quite-as-distinguished learners)

## Questions

(2) What are the liveness properties of Paxos?

## Question

- What do you do when nodes fail?


## Question

© Are there any advantages/disadvantages to having a designated leader?

## Implementing State Machine Replication

- Implement a sequence of separate instances of consensus, where the value chosen by the $i^{\text {th }}$ instance is the $i^{\text {th }}$ message in the sequence.
- Each server assumes all three roles in each instance of the algorithm.
(2) Assume that the set of servers is fixed


## The role of the leader

(2) In normal operation, elect a single server to be a leader. The leader acts as the distinguished proposer in all instances of the consensus algorithm.
$\square$ Clients send commands to the leader, which decides where in the sequence each command should appear.
$\square$ If the leader, for example, decides that a client command is the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ command, it tries to have the command chosen as the value in the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ instance of consensus.

## A new leader $\lambda$ is elected...

© Since $\lambda$ is a learner in all instances of consensus, it should know most of the commands that have already been chosen. For example, it might know commands $1-10,13$, and 15.
$\square$ It executes phase 1 of instances 11,12 , and 14 and of all instances 16 and larger.
$\square$ This might leave, say, 14 and 16 constrained and 11, 12 and all commands after 16 unconstrained.
$\square \lambda$ then executes phase 2 of 14 and 16 , thereby choosing the commands numbered 14 and 16

## Stop-gap measures

6. All replicas can execute commands 1-10, but not 13-16 because 11 and 12 haven't yet been chosen.

6 $\lambda$ can either take the next two commands requested by clients to be commands 11 and 12 , or can propose immediately that 11 and 12 be no-op commands.

- $\lambda$ runs phase 2 of consensus for instance numbers 11 and 12.
(2) Once consensus is achieved, all replicas can execute all commands through 16 .


## To infinity, and beyond

(2) $\lambda$ can efficiently execute phase 1 for infinitely many instances of consensus! (e.g. command 16 and higher)
$\square \lambda$ just sends a message with a sufficiently high proposal number for all instances
$\square$ An acceptor replies non trivially only for instances for which it has already accepted a value

## Question

(2) What are the costs to using Paxos? Is it practical?

