BigTable Motivation

Lots of (semi)-structured data at Google

- URLs: contents, crawl metadata, links
- Per-user data: preference settings, recent queries
- Geographic locations: physical entities, roads, satellite image data

• Scale is large:

- Billions of URLs, many versions/page
- Hundreds of millions of users, queries/sec
- 100TB+ of satellite image data

Why not use commercial DB?

- Scale is too large for most commercial databases
- Even if it weren't, cost would be very high
 - Building internally means system can be applied across many projects
- Low-level storage optimizations help performance significantly
 - Much harder to do when running on top of a database layer

Goals

 Want asynchronous processes to be continuously updating different pieces of data

want access to most current data

- Need to support:
 - very high read/write rates (million ops/s)
 - efficient scans over all or interesting subsets
 - efficient joins of large datasets
- Often want to examine data changes over time
 - E.g., contents of web page over multiple crawls

Building blocks

- GFS: stores persistent state
- Scheduler: schedules jobs/nodes for tasks
- Lock service: master election
- MapReduce: data analytics
- BigTable: semi-structured data store

Question: how do these pieces fit together?

BigTable Overview

• Data Model, API

Implementation structure

• Tablets, compactions, locality groups, ...

• Details

• Shared logs, compression, replication, ...

Basic Data Model

Distributed multi-dimensional sparse map

- (row, column, timestamp) --> cell contents
- Good match for most of Google's applications



Rows

• Name is an arbitrary string

- Access to data in a row is atomic
- Row creation is implicit upon storing data
- Rows ordered lexicographically
 - Rows close together lexicographically usually on one or a small number of machines

Tablets

Large tables broken into "tablets" at row boundaries

- Tablet holds contiguous range of rows
- Aim for 100MB to 200MB of data/tablet
- Serving machine responsible for about 100 tablets
 - Fast recovery (100 machines each pick up 1 tablet from failed machine)
 - Fine-grained load balancing

Tablets & Splitting



Locating Tablets

 Since tablets move around from server to server, given a row, how do clients find the right machine?

- Need to find tablet whose row range covers the target row
- One approach: could use the BigTable master
 - Central server almost certainly would be bottleneck in large system
- Instead store special tables containing tablet location info in BigTable cell itself

Locating Tablets

• Approach: 3-level hierarchical lookup scheme for tablets

- Location is ip:port of relevant server
- 1st level: bootstrapped from lock server, points to META0
- 2nd level: Uses META0 data to find owner of META1 tablet
- 3rd level: META1 table holds location of tablets of all other tables



Basic Implementation

- Writes go to log then to in-memory table "memtable" (key, value)
- Periodically move in-memory table to disk
 - SSTable is immutable ordered subset of table; range of keys & subset of their columns
 - Tablet = all of the SSTables for one key range plus the memtable
 - some values maybe stale (due to new writes)

Basic Implementation

- Reads: maintain in-memory map of keys to SSTables
 - current version is in exactly one SSTable or memtable
 - may have to read many SSTables to get all of the columns
- Compaction:
 - SSTables similar to segments in LFS
 - need to clean old SSTables to reclaim space
 - clean by merging multiple SSTables into new one

• How do you optimize the system outlined above?

Bloom filters

- Goal: efficient test for set membership: member(key) -> true/ false
 - false ==> definitely not in the set
 - true ==> probably is in the set
- Generally supports adding elements but not removing them
- Basic version: m bit positions, k hash functions
 - For insert: compute k bit locations, set to 1
 - For lookup: compute k locations, check for 1
- BigTable: avoid reading SSTables for elements that are not present; saves many seeks